Appendix A

DNR's Forest Management

Federal Grant Lands

On November 11, 1889, President Benjamin Harrison signed the proclamation that made Washington the 42nd state. As part of the preparation for statehood, the Omnibus Enabling Act of 1889, passed by Congress a few months earlier, set aside 2 square miles of every 36 to produce financial support for the common schools. In addition, the act granted additional lands to other public institutions. These lands are known as federal grant lands and consist of eight specific trusts:

1. Common school lands, which support the construction of public schools.
2. Agricultural school lands, which support Washington State University in Pullman.
3. Charitable, educational, penal and reformatory institutions lands, which support those public institutions.
4. University original lands, which were used to support the University of Washington in Seattle. Only a small amount of that acreage remains.
5. University transfer lands, which were originally part of the charitable trust but were transferred by the state legislature to provide additional support to the University of Washington.
6. Normal school lands, which currently support three universities (Western Washington University in Bellingham, Central Washington University in Ellensburg and Eastern Washington University in Cheney).
7. Scientific school lands, which support Washington State University.
8. Capitol building lands, which support the construction of state office buildings on the capitol campus in Olympia.

Forest Board Lands

The Forest Board was established in 1923 to manage logged and abandoned properties formerly owned by individuals and corporations. The land reverted to the counties when the original owners failed to pay property taxes. These properties were subsequently transferred to the state, and the Forest Board was established to regenerate trees on the lands, which are now managed for timber production in perpetuity. Revenues produced from Forest Board Transfer lands support the county and junior taxing districts (such as schools, road, and cemetery districts) in which they are located. The department manages these properties as trustee. Forest Board Purchase lands were acquired by gift or

purchase. Revenues go to the county and junior taxing districts in which they are located and the state general fund for the benefit of public schools.

Community College Forest Reserve Lands

In addition to federal grant and Forest Board lands, the department also manages a small amount of forest lands for community colleges. The Community College Forest Reserve was established by the state legislature in 1990; monies for the department to purchase the properties were appropriated that year. Additional land will be purchased if funds are allocated. These lands, located near urban areas, form a buffer between working forests and suburban uses. The properties are managed for sustainable timber production, but special consideration is given to aesthetics, watershed protection, and wildlife habitat. Revenues go in a special fund for building and capital improvements on community college campuses.

Natural Area Preserves and Natural Resource Conservation Areas

In recognition of the need for the state to own special lands, the legislature created programs to identify and purchase Natural Area Preserves (NAP) and Natural Resource Conservation Areas (NRCA). For each NAP and NRCA, DNR is preparing a management plan that outlines protection, enhancement, restoration, and allowable uses. These vary widely with the current condition and conservation objectives of each site. NAPs provide the highest level of protection for the excellent examples of unique or typical natural features of Washington State. NAPs are valued particularly by land managers and scientists because they provide (1) a genetic resource for native plants and animals, especially endangered, threatened, or rare species; (2) environmental reference points; and, (3) outdoor laboratories for scientific research and education.

NRCAs are established to protect outstanding examples of native ecosystems, habitat for endangered, threatened and sensitive plants and animals, and scenic landscapes. Some NRCAs provide opportunities for outdoor environmental education as well as opportunities for low-impact public use consistent with resource protection.

Summary of Forest Resource Plan Policies

General Management Policies

Federal Grant Land Base

The department will maintain a diversified base of federal grant lands, including nonforest properties. In deciding whether to sell, exchange, or acquire lands, the department will balance current economic returns and trust benefits with future economic returns and trust benefits.

Forest Board Land Base

The department will perpetuate a productive forest base of Forest Board lands. In deciding whether to exchange lands, the department will assess whether timber harvesting

is impractical on these properties and, if so, will attempt to replace them with productive forest lands.

Land Classifications

The department intends to designate those lands and timber resources that are unavailable for harvest as "off-base." All deferrals will be included in this category.

Harvest Regulation Policies

Sustainable, Even-Flow Timber Harvest

The department will manage state forest lands to produce a sustainable, even-flow harvest of timber, subject to economic, environmental, and regulatory considerations.

Harvest Levels Based on Volume

The department's harvest calculations will be based on volume rather than acreage or other considerations.

Western Washington Ownership Groups

The department will establish a sustained, even-flow harvest level within specified ownership groups in western Washington, as follows:

1. Forest Board Transfer lands, where the harvest will be calculated by individual counties.
2. Federal grant lands and Forest Board Purchase lands, where the harvest will be calculated by department administrative regions.
3. The Capitol State Forest, which will be considered a separate ownership group.
4. The Olympic Experimental State Forest, which will also be considered a separate ownership group.

Eastern Washington Ownership Groups

The department will establish sustained, even-flow harvest levels within specified ownership groups in eastern Washington, as follows:

1. Yakima River.
2. Klickitat.
3. Highlands and South Okanogan.
4. Arcadia.
5. North Columbia.

Special Forest Products

The department will encourage and promote the sale of special forest products where appropriate and will market them in a manner consistent with the overall policies of this plan.

Forest Health Trust Asset Protection Policies

The department will incorporate forest health practices into the management of state forest land to bring about a net benefit through the reduction or prevention of significant forest resource losses from insects, diseases, animals, and other similar threats to trust assets.

Fire Protection

The department will supplement the state's fire protection program to bring about a net benefit through the reduction of significant resource losses from wildfire on department-managed land.

Financial Policies

Managing "On-Base" Lands

The department will manage "on-base" forest lands at different levels of intensity depending on biological productivity and economic potential. Investment decisions will be made according to expected returns.

Annual Review of Financial Assumptions

The department will review and adjust annually its financial assumptions used in management decisions.

Special Lands Policies

Special Ecological Features

The department will identify state forest lands with special ecological features that fill critical gaps in ecosystem diversity, and it will seek legislation and funding to remove these lands from trust ownership.

Old Growth Research Area Deferrals

During this planning period, the department will continue to defer from harvest certain old growth research stands in western Washington to maintain the ability to acquire information on ecological relationships which may affect intensive timber management.

The Genetic Resource

The department will protect and enhance a diverse gene pool of native trees on state forest lands to ensure well-adapted, future, commercial forests.

Landscape Planning Policies

Landscape Planning

The department will develop plans by setting management objectives for specified landscapes consistent with the Forest Resource Plan.

Soliciting Information

The department will solicit comments from interested parties, including local neighborhoods, tribes, and government agencies when preparing landscape-level objectives.

SEPA Policy

SEPA Review

The department will conduct a SEPA review when subsequent plans and activities constitute a non-exempt agency action under the act.

Aquatic Systems Policies

Watershed Analysis

The department will analyze by watershed the effects of past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future activities on water quality and quantity, and it will modify operations to control risks to public resources and trust interests.

Riparian Management Zones

The department will establish riparian management zones along Type 1-4 Waters and when necessary along Type 5 Waters. The department will focus its efforts on protecting key nontimber resources, such as water quality, fish, wildlife habitat, and sensitive plant species.

Wetlands

The department will allow no overall net loss of naturally occurring wetland acreage and function.

Wildlife Policy

Wildlife Habitat

The department will provide wildlife habitat conditions which have the capacity to sustain native wildlife populations or communities. The department will develop wildlife habitat objectives based upon habitat availability and function, species status and vulnerability, and trust obligations. When there are apparent conflicts between meeting the wildlife habitat and trust management objectives, the department will seek balanced solutions and policies.

Endangered, Threatened, and Sensitive Species Policy

Endangered Species

The department will meet the requirements of federal and state laws and other legal requirements that protect endangered, threatened, and sensitive species and their habitats. The department will actively participate in efforts to recover and restore endangered and threatened species to the extent that such participation is consistent with trust obligations.

Historic and Archaeological Sites Policy

Identifying Historic Sites

The department will establish a program to identify and inventory historic and archaeological sites and protect them at a level which, at a minimum, meets regulatory requirements.

Public Access and Rights-of-Way Policies

Providing Public Access

The department will provide public access for multiple uses on state forest lands. In certain circumstances the department will control vehicular or other access, but only where necessary to accomplish specific management objectives. Public access may be closed, restricted, or limited to protect public safety; to prevent theft, vandalism, and garbage dumping; to protect soils, water quality, plants, and animals; or to meet other objectives identified in the plan.

Granting Public Rights-of-Way

The department will grant rights-of-way to private individuals or entities when there is an opportunity for enhancing trust assets and when any detriments are offset.

Acquiring Rights-of-Way

The department will acquire right-of-way across private or other public lands to department-managed forest land when this access is needed to increase the value of trust assets or for management purposes. The department will acquire these rights-of-ways by gift, purchase, exchange, condemnation, or road use agreement. Permanent, public access rights are preferred.

Developing and Maintaining Roads

The department will develop and maintain a road system which integrates management needs and controls effects on the forest environment.

Forest Recreation Policy

Recreation on State Forest Lands

The department will allow recreation on state forest land when compatible with the objectives of the Forest Resource Plan. As part of its efforts, the department will continue to comply with the Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan.

Silviculture Policies

Silviculture Activities

The department will plan and implement silvicultural activities to meet trust responsibilities. In cases warranting special attention, the department will accept a reduction in current income or return on investment when the department determines that it is necessary to provide extra protection for soil, water, wildlife, fish habitat, and other public resources.

Harvest and Reforestation Methods

The department will select the harvest method which produces the best mix of current and long-term income, achieves reforestation objectives, and integrates nontimber resource objectives identified in the Forest Resource Plan. Reforestation objectives must ensure adequate restocking, produce acceptable benefits to the trusts, and protect public resources.

Green-up of Harvest Units

The department will reduce the impacts of clearcutting and certain even-aged silvicultural systems by generally limiting the size of harvest areas to a maximum of 100 acres, requiring "green-up" of adjacent areas before harvesting timber, and employing other techniques to blend harvested areas into the landscape.

Control of Competing Vegetation

To prevent domination of crop trees by other vegetation, the department will select from the following methods for controlling competing vegetation:

1. No treatment.
2. Nonherbicide.
3. Ground-applied herbicide.
4. Aerial-applied herbicide.

The department will consider the no treatment method first and then move sequentially down the list. The department will select the first method on the list which is both effective and produces an acceptable return on investment. A method lower on the list may be used only if it substantially outperforms other methods.

Fertilizing, Thinning, and Pruning

The department will use fertilization, thinning, and pruning on stands which will respond and produce an acceptable rate of return on investment.

Appendix B

Geology/Soils/Vegetation

Geology

Five West-Side Units

Puget Sound is a partially submerged glaciated area with moderate relief (change in elevation). The coastal section, including the Willapa Hills, is made of unconsolidated deposits of alluvial, glacial, and volcanic materials. Glaciers have carved deep, steep-sided valleys along the western slopes of the Cascade Range. Tributary channels flow at high angles into rivers that, in turn, flow through broad valleys, such as the Skagit River valley. Steep slopes are subject to debris flows from the heads of stream channels (USDA and USDI 1994a p. 3&4-8).

Olympic Peninsula

The Olympic Peninsula Province is made up of a central core of the rugged Olympic Mountains surrounded by almost level lowlands. The lowland strips are narrow on the east and north, but wider on the west and south sides of the peninsula. Most ridges in the Olympic Mountains are 4,000-5,000 feet in elevation with some higher peaks attaining elevations to 7,965 feet. Glaciation has strongly influenced landforms. All main river valleys are broad and U-shaped, and all major peaks are ringed with cirques, many containing active glaciers (Franklin and Dyrness 1973 p. 9).

Geologically, the mountainous portion of the Olympic Peninsula is made up of volcanic and sedimentary rocks. The sedimentary rocks make up the center and western part of the peninsula while the volcanic form the northern, eastern and southern fringe. Unconsolidated sediments from glacial outwash, till, and alluvium dominate the low elevation areas west of mountains (Henderson et al. 1989).

Three East-Side Units

The three east-side planning units are referred to as the Klickitat, Yakima, and Chelan. The Klickitat unit ranges in topography from 12,276 at the peak of Mount Adams to about 72 feet on the Columbia River pool behind Bonneville Dam. The southern and southeastern part of the unit is underlain by Columbia River basalt cut by northwest-trending faults. Elevations rise toward the westernmost part of the unit, near Mount Adams. The bedrock in this part of the unit is an older (Miocene to Oligocene) sequence of tuffs and volcanic sandstones overlain by Quaternary andesite and basalt flows. Many cinder cones aligned on extensions of the older faults to the southeast are present on the Quaternary lava flows. Patchy deposits of alpine glacial drift are found above elevations

of about 1,800-2,000 feet. In the northeast part of the unit, Columbia River basalts are folded into anticlinal ridges separated by synclines that are filled with Pliocene through Recent alluvium.

The Yakima unit extends from the Cascade crest from Mount Adams to Snoqualmie Pass and eastward to the Columbia Basin. Accreted Mesozoic metamorphic and altered sedimentary rocks are found in the vicinity of White and Snoqualmie Passes. The rest of the area in the higher elevations is comprised of tertiary lava flows and volcaniclastic rocks patchily covered with alpine glacial drift. The lower elevations to the east consist of
anticlinal ridges of Columbia River basalt separated by synclinal basins filled with upper Miocene through recent alluvial sediments and distal alpine glacial outwash deposits.

The Chelan unit extends from Stevens Pass to the Canadian border along the Cascade crest on the west to the Columbia Basin on the southeast and the Okanogan Highlands on the east and northeast. The rugged, mountainous core along the western and central parts of the unit are underlain by the crystalline core of the North Cascades--thoroughly metamorphosed gneisses and granitic stocks and batholiths ranging in age from pre-Cambrian through Eocene. In the eastern and southern parts of the unit are two northwest-trending structural basins filled with Cretaceous and Eocene sedimentary and volcanic rocks respectively. All but the highest peaks in the area have been heavily glaciated and the valleys all have relatively flat bottoms and steep walls. Glacial scour has been extremely deep, gouging out basins reaching depths of nearly 2,000 feet in Lake Chelan.

Soils

Soil can be defined as the material at the earth's surface which is capable of supporting plants. It is the ecosystem element located at the interface of the climatic, geologic, water, and biologic ecosystem elements. It is a dynamic, natural, three-dimensional body composed of weathered mineral and organic material that provides plants with air, water, root anchorage, and nutrients. Soil characteristics and soil behavior are a product of the interaction of five soil-forming factors: (1) parent material (the material from which the soil has formed); (2) climate; (3) organisms; (4) topography; and, (5) time. The soil characteristics and soil behavior which occur across HCP planning units will be discussed in terms of these soil forming factors. This discussion is based on soil maps and detailed information including soil characteristics, soil behavior, and forest soil management interpretations from the Soil Layer of DNR's Geographic Information System and soil survey reports published by the U.S. Soil Conservation Service (now the U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service).

West-Side Planning Units

North Puget Sound, South Puget Sound, and Straits Planning Units

Soil characteristics and soil behavior on most forested state trust lands in the North Puget Sound, South Puget Sound, and Straits planning units, as well as other glaciated terrain in Washington State, are strongly influenced by glacial activity during the Fraser Glaciation.

In the Fraser Glaciation, which occurred approximately 25,000 to 10,000 years B.P., alpine glacial activity in the Cascade and Olympic ranges and continental glacial activity on terrain covered by the Puget and Juan de Fuca glacial lobes shaped topography and deposited soil parent materials throughout this area.

The relatively short time period since deglaciation is a factor which has limited the degree of soil formation in the parent materials on this glaciated terrain. The glacial deposits and other surface parent materials remaining after deglaciation have not experienced the higher level of physical and chemical alteration and related soil horizon development generally found in unglaciated areas of Washington State. These glaciated terrain soils tend to have much lower levels of organic matter accumulation in their surface horizons and less horizon development in general than the older, more heavily weathered, better-developed soils in other parts of Washington State.

Parent material is a major factor influencing soil characteristics and soil behavior on this glaciated terrain. Major types of glacial parent materials, in order of their relative coverage, are glacial till, glacial outwash, and glacial lake sediments.

Glacial till is an unsorted, nonstratified mixture of clay, silt, sand, and rock fragments deposited directly by glacial ice. Glacial till soils are commonly found on broad, moderately sloping till plains, but can also be found capping the bedrock on steeper mountainous terrain. Glacial till soils are generally found with hard, impermeable lodgement till at an average depth of 24-36 inches, covered by loose, permeable ablation till. Restricted soil drainage caused by the impermeable lodgement till at shallow depths can be a management concern on glacial till soils. The deeper, better drained, more heavily weathered glacial till soils such as the Tokul Gravelly Loam found in the higher precipitation areas along the foothills of the Cascades tend to be among the most productive forest soils in the Puget Sound lowland.

Glacial outwash is the gravel, sand, and silt, usually stratified, deposited by glacial meltwater. Glacial outwash soils are commonly found on broad outwash plains or on the higher terraces in the larger valleys. Most soils on the lower terraces in these valleys have formed on recent stream alluvium and tend to have younger, less well-developed soil profiles.

Restricted drainage is rarely a problem on glacial outwash soils because they tend to be deep and relatively coarse textured. These coarse textured soils also tend to have lower compaction potentials than the finer textured glacial till or glacial lake sediment soils. The coarse textures of glacial outwash soils such as the Everett Very Gravelly Sandy Loam tends to limit their capacity to retain and supply nutrients and water and, therefore, limits their potential productivity. The soils formed on glacial outwash, as well as the soils formed on other glacial parent materials, tend to be less productive in the lower precipitation areas in the rain shadow of the Olympics.

Glacial lake sediments consist primarily of silt and clay materials which were deposited in lakes dammed by glacial ice. Most glacial lake sediments are bedded, interbedded or laminated. Glacial lake sediment soils are commonly found in mountain valleys which

were dammed by glacial ice. The drainage in glacial lake sediment soils tends to be restricted by their fine textures and their bedding or laminations, when present. Although they tend to have high potential productivity, soils, such as the Pastik Silt Loam, have a higher mass-wasting potential on steep topography than soils formed on glacial till or glacial outwash because they tend to have higher pore-water pressures and lower soil strengths. Glacial lake sediment soils also tend to have higher compaction potentials because of their finer textures and higher moisture contents, particularly during the wet season.

Soils formed from mixtures of colluvial bedrock materials, glacial drift deposits, and volcanic ash are often found at medium to high elevations in glaciated portions of the Cascade and Olympic ranges. These soils tend to be deeper, finer textured, better developed, and more productive on gentle slopes and on toeslopes or other terrain features where soil parent materials tend to accumulate and be retained. Soils in these areas, however, tend to be thinner, have less soil profile development, and are less productive on the steeper, less stable topography where high levels of surface erosion and mass-wasting activity tend to minimize soil retention. The potential for surface erosion and mass-wasting activity tends to be greater on soils in the rain-on-snow elevation zones in the Cascades and Olympics because the potential for surface flow is greater.

Volcanic ash has been deposited on most soil surfaces throughout the Cascades, the Puget Sound lowlands and the Olympics. Variations in volcanic ash content are influenced primarily by topography and geographic location. As indicated above, gentle topography has tended to favor thicker volcanic ash accumulations. Most volcanic ash from the Cascade volcanoes was deposited to the north and east of the Cascade crest and the soils of the Puget Sound lowlands and the Olympics tend to have less volcanic ash influence than soils in and along the Cascades. Increases in weathered volcanic ash content tend to increase the nutrient status, water-holding capacity and potential productivity of soils. Deep, heavily weathered volcanic ash soils such as the Cinebar Silt Loam are among the most productive soils at moderate elevations in the Cascades.

South Coast and Columbia Planning Units

The South Coast and Columbia planning units, in comparison with the North Puget, South Puget, and Straits planning units described above, have had relatively small portions of their forested state trust lands influenced by glacial activity. Some soils on older, more heavily weathered glacial deposits from the Olympics are found in northern portions of the South Coast Planning Unit and some soils on younger, less heavily weathered glacial deposits are found in the Cascades in the Columbia Planning Unit.

The soils on forested state trust lands in the South Coast and Columbia planning units tend to be older, deeper, finer-textured, and have higher nutrient status than those on the more widely glaciated planning units to the north. Because of these soil characteristics and the generally favorable climatic conditions, the average potential productivity of the forested state trust lands in the South Coast and Columbia planning units tends to be higher than in other planning units.

Most forested state trust land soils on terrain features above the alluvial valley bottoms in the South Coast and Columbia planning units have formed on parent materials derived from the underlying bedrock. Topography has played a major role influencing the characteristics and behavior of these soils. Primarily because of the increased potential for surface erosion and mass wasting, the soils on the steeper terrain tend to be shallower, have higher gravel content and lower potential productivities than the soils formed on gentle terrain.

Major soils on basalt bedrock in the Willapa Hills, for example, include the moderately deep Katula Very Cobbly Loam on ridgetops and very steep sideslopes; the deep Bunker Silt Loam on moderate to steep sideslopes; and the very deep Boistfort Silt Loam on gentle to moderate sideslopes and toeslopes. Similar relationships between topography and soil characteristics and behavior are found on sedimentary bedrock types and other parent materials.

Parent materials play a major role in determining the mass-wasting potential of soils in the South Coast and Columbia planning units. The high mass-wasting potential of the St. Martin Gravelly Silty Clay Loam and similar soils in Skamania County is determined by the unstable character of the old landslide deposits on which they have formed. Soils formed over sedimentary bedrock or weathered or brecciated igneous bedrock tend to have higher mass-wasting potential than soils formed over unweathered or unbrecciated igneous bedrock.

OESF

The range in soil characteristics and behavior on forested state trust lands in the Olympic Experimental State Forest is very large because of the wide range in each of the soil forming factors. As in other west-side planning units, those soils with the highest potential productivity and lowest mass-wasting potential tend to be found on gentle to moderate slopes and low to moderate elevations and those soils with the lowest productivity and highest mass-wasting potential tend to be found on the steepest terrain at the highest elevations.

The Ilwaco Silt Loam and the Klone Very Gravelly Loam soils are two of the most productive and easily managed soils on forested state trust lands in this planning unit. The Ilwaco soils are very deep, well-drained, and formed in highly weathered sandstone residuum on moderately sloping foothill topography. The Klone soils are very deep, well- drained, and formed on glacial outwash terrace deposits. Well-drained soil conditions favor high commercial forest productivity levels, but many areas, primarily on glacial drift plains and alluvial bottoms at lower elevations in the Olympic Experimental State Forest Planning Unit, have limited commercial forest productivity potential because of poor drainage conditions and high water tables.

Limited commercial forest productivity potential is also found on higher elevation soils in the Olympics due primarily to shallower soil depths in combination with shorter growing seasons. The Sollecks Very Gravelly Loam, Frigid, 60-90 percent Slopes is a major soil type on state trust lands at higher elevations in the Olympics. The Sollecks is formed

from colluvial sandstone and conglomerate parent materials on ridgetops and very steep sideslopes. The depth of this soil ranges from shallow to moderately deep, varying with percentage slope and slope position. This high elevation terrain where the Sollecks and similar soils are found has a high mass-wasting potential because it is very steep and because of the frequent heavy rainfall storms and rain-on-snow events.

East-Side Planning Units

Climatic differences have resulted in significant differences between the soils in the west-side planning units described above and the east-side planning units. The east-side planning units occur in the rain shadow of the Cascade Range and their lower precipitation levels have tended to limit their potential forest productivity levels and soil profile development.

The forested state trust lands closer to the eastern edges of the east-side planning units are those which tend to have the lowest potential forest productivity and lowest levels of soil profile development because they have the lowest mean annual precipitation levels. The forested state trust lands closer to the western edges of the east-side planning units will tend to have higher potential forest productivity and more soil profile development because of their higher mean annual precipitation levels. Potential forest productivity, however, is restricted on the higher elevation areas with shorter growing seasons. Forested state trust lands in east-side planning units tend to have fewer problems with mass-wasting activity than those in west-side units because of their lower frequency of heavy rainfall storms and rain-on-snow events.

Vegetation / Forest Zones

Vegetative Zones

Vegetative zones are broad areas that have similar types of vegetation. The HCP area includes land in the zones described below. These brief descriptions are followed by Table 1 that lists selected plant species found in each zone. This table, compiled from "Natural Vegetation of Oregon and Washington" (Franklin and Dyrness 1973), is meant to illustrate the variety of tree, shrub, and other vegetation found in Washington, and is not intended to be a complete list.

Sitka Spruce Zone

Along the Pacific coast and extending inland up river valleys is a narrow band of vegetation where Sitka spruce is considered climax. This is the Sitka spruce zone. In most places it is usually only a few miles wide and occurs where summer fog and drip precipitation are common. The climate in this zone is the mildest of any Washington forest zones. Winter rains are heavy and snow is infrequent. Trees are tall and stands are dense. Productivity and biomass are high, and there are relatively few hardwoods. Rain forests of the Olympic National Park are a special type within the Sitka spruce zone.

Western Hemlock Zone

The western hemlock zone extends from sea level to 2,000 feet throughout most of Washington. The inland boundary of this zone coincides roughly with the western boundary of the national forests in the Cascade mountains. The climax trees are western hemlock, with western redcedar in moister areas and Douglas-fir in drier areas. The forest canopy is dense, tall conifers. This forest zone is the largest in the state and contains some of the most productive and most intensively managed forest lands. Most state forest land in western Washington is in this zone. However, because of its extent and accessibility, most of the western hemlock zone has been disturbed, logged, or burned at least once in the past 200 years. As a result, large portions are now dominated by Douglas-fir in seral stands or contain mixtures of hardwoods. Even before settlement by Europeans, there were extensive Douglas-fir stands, probably the result of old fires. Remnants of these original stands are commonly referred to as old growth. Red alder is a common pioneer species throughout the zone.

Climate of the western hemlock zone is mild, wet, and maritime. Snow is common but not persistent. The Puget Sound lowlands are considered a special type; forest composition is modified by the rain shadow of the Olympic Mountains and gravelly glacial soils.

A version of the western hemlock zone occurs east of the Cascade Range. Extensive stands of western hemlock and western redcedar occur in moist pockets and along streams and rivers throughout northeastern Washington, as well as farther east. The trees, understory vegetation, and high precipitation give these inland stands their distinct maritime flavor.

Pacific Silver Fir Zone

The Pacific silver fir zone extends from about 2,000 to 4,000 feet in elevation in Washington. On the west side of the Cascades, it abuts the western hemlock zone at lower elevations and extends upward to subalpine forests in the Olympic and Cascade mountains. Pacific silver fir community types are also found east of the Cascades.

Throughout the zone the climate is cool and wet, with a short growing season. It is common in this zone for up to half of the annual precipitation to fall as snow and persist as winter snowpacks for 3-7 months. Dense forests consist of tall conifers and patches of shrubby undergrowth. Huckleberry species are common. Douglas-fir is also a major component of this zone.

Subalpine Fir/Mountain Hemlock Zone

Subalpine fir/mountain hemlock forests make up the highest forest zone in the Olympics and on both sides of the Cascade mountains, extending from about 4,000 feet to the timberline. Mountain hemlock predominates at the lower elevations and is replaced with subalpine fir at higher elevations. The zone ends at the high altitudes mosaic of tree groups, glades, and meadows.

East of the Cascades and in the Okanogan Highlands, subalpine fir is found associated with Engelmann spruce.

Scattered pockets of Engelmann spruce are found on the east side of the Olympics and west of the Cascades in the Mt. Baker-Ross Lake area. This zone is Washington's coolest and wettest forest environment. Forests here are dense and contain short to medium-tall conifers, often with an understory mixture of shrub and herbaceous vegetation.

Alpine Zone

Alpine meadows and high-altitude barrens are found in the Olympics and Cascades above timberlines. This zone lacks timber production potential. Vegetation consists of complex mixtures of forbs, grasses, sedges, and low shrubs. Several types of plant communities on Washington alpine lands are linked to local microclimatic variations of moisture, snowpack duration, and substrate. Winters are cold and long, and summers are brief. Growth, except for spectacular floral displays, is slow.

Grand Fir Zone

An extensive grand fir zone occurs below the subalpine forest in eastern Washington. From a management point of view, the grand fir zone and Douglas-fir zone, with which it merges, are usually considered together. However, in an ecological sense, they should be considered separately. The zone is cooler and moister than the lower Douglas-fir zone, but warmer and with less snow accumulation than subalpine forests.

Douglas-fir Zone

The Douglas-fir zone in eastern Washington is particularly prominent in the northern portion of the state. Douglas-fir in Washington is commonly bordered at lower and drier elevations by a band of ponderosa pine that separates it from shrub steppe and grass communities of the Columbia Basin.

Subtle limitations of temperature and moisture are probably important in separating the Douglas-fir zone from the moister grand fir zone and the drier ponderosa pine zone. Forests in both the grand fir and Douglas-fir zones consist of dense medium and tall conifers. Where overstory density permits, understory vegetation may be of extensive brush or grass, depending on soil moisture content.

Ponderosa Pine Zone

The ponderosa pine zone, lowest of the forest zones in eastern Washington, occurs between 2,000 and 4,000 feet elevation. The ponderosa pine zone typically borders the shrub-grassland zone but in south-central Washington, a community of Oregon white oak is located between the two.

This zone is the driest of the Washington forest zones. Precipitation is low, especially in summer. Winter precipitation commonly falls as snow which accumulates as a result of low temperatures. Summer days are hot and summer nights cool. The effective growing season is short and probably moisture-limited. Soil moisture regulates the distribution of understory vegetation, which ranges from brush to grass. The forest consists of dense to open stands of tall trees.

Table 1: Vegetative zones of area covered by the HCP

(Source - compiled from Franklin and Dyrness 1973)

Vegetative Zone	Elevation range (feet)	Average precipitation (inches)	Major tree species	Common shrubs	Herbaceous plants
Sitka spruce	0 - 500'	80 - 120"	Sitka spruce, western hemlock, western redcedar, Douglas-fir, grand fir, Pacific silver fir, red alder	red huckleberry, devilsclub, salmonberry	sword fern, Oregon oxalis, false lily-of-the-valley, evergreen violet, wood violet, Smith's fairybells
western hemlock	0 - 3,000'	60 - 120"	Douglas-fir, western hemlock, western redcedar, red alder, bigleaf maple	vine maple, Pacific rhododendron, creambush oceanspray, California hazel, western yew, Pacific dogwood, red huckleberry, Oregongrape, salal, trailing blackberry	deerfoot vanillaleaf, evergreen violet, white trillium, sword fern, twinflower, Pacific peavine, common tarweed, white hawkweed, snow-queen, common beargrass, Oregon iris, western fescue, western coolwort, Hooker's fairybells, wild ginger, ladyfern, deerfern, Oregon oxalis

Vegetative Zone	Elevation range (feet)	Average precipitation (inches)	Major tree species	Common shrubs	Herbaceous plants
Pacific silver fir	2,000 - 4,250'	80 - 120"	Pacific silver fir, western hemlock, noble fir, Douglas-fir, western redcedar	vine maple, salal, Oregongrape, red huckleberry, big huckleberry, Alaska huckleberry, ovalleaf huckleberry, devilsclub	beargrass, twinflower, bunchberry dogwood, deerfoot vanillaleaf, queencup beadlily, dwarf blackberry, western coolwort, white trillium, ladyfern
mountain hemlock and subalpine fir	4,000 - 6,000'	65 - 110"	mountain hemlock, subalpine fir, lodgepole pine, Alaska-cedar	big huckleberry, ovalleaf huckleberry, Cascade azalea, blueleaf huckleberry, rustyleaf	beargrass, one-sided wintergreen, dwarf blackberry, Sitka valerian, evergreen violet, avalanche fawnlily
alpine	4,000+	60-120		western cassiope, blueleaf huckleberry, red mountainheath, luetkea	Alaskan clubmoss, mountain hairgrass, American bistort, Sitka valerian, showy sedge, feathery mitrewort, American false hellebore, arctic lupine, fireweed, black alpine sedge, alpine willowweed, slender hawkweed, fanleaf cinquefoil, smallflower paintbrush, western pasqueflower

Vegetative Zone	Elevation range (feet)	Average precipitation (inches)	Major tree species	Common shrubs	Herbaceous plants
grand fir	3,500 - 6,500'	25 - 50"	grand fir, ponderosa pine, lodgepole pine, western larch, Douglas-fir	common snowberry, shinyleaf spirea, woods rose, Nootka rose, mallow ninebark, creambush oceanspray	pinegrass, northwestern sedge, elk sedge, broadleaf arnica, kinnikinnick
Douglas-fir	2,000 - 4,500'		Douglas-fir, ponderosa pine, lodgepole pine, western larch	baldhip rose, Oregon boxwood, prickly currant, big huckleberry	Columbia brome, sweetscented bedstraw, starry solomonplume, western meadow-rue, heartleaf arnica, sideflower mitrewort, bigleaf sandwort, white hawkweed, twinflower, trail plant, Piper anemone, Lyall anemone, wood violet, white trillium, queencup beadlily, wild ginger, broadleaf lupine, dwarf blackberry

Vegetative Zone	Elevation range (feet)	Average precipitation (inches)	Major tree species	Common shrubs	Herbaceous plants
ponderosa pine	2,000 - 4,000'	15 - 30"	ponderosa pine, western juniper, quaking aspen, Oregon white oak	Saskatoon serviceberry, chokecherry, black hawthorn, creambush oceanspray, common snowberry, woods rose, Nootka rose, mallow ninebark, shinyleaf spirea, creeping western barberry, Wyeth buckwheat, snow eriogonum, yellow leafless mistletoe	bluebunch wheatgrass, Idaho fescue, Sandberg's bluegrass, western yarrow, western gromwell, yellow salsify, large-flowered brodiaea, beauty cinquefoil, purple-eyed grass, spreading dogbane, arrowleaf balsamroot, sagebrush buttercup, low pussytoes, slender fringecup, littleflower collinsia, miner's lettuce, Japanese brome, cheatgrass brome, narrow-leaved montia, smallflower forgetmenot, vernal draba, autumn willowweed, Nuttall's fescue, little tarweed, pink annual phlox, shining chickweed

Current Resource Management Practices and Policies

DNR Forest Management

In addition to following statutory regulations, management guidance is provided to the department through policies established by the Board of Natural Resources and the Commissioner of Public Lands. The Forest Resource Plan (DNR 1992b) is the major policy document providing direction for management of forested trust lands. The Forest Resource Plan was developed and written by the Department of Natural Resources to guide it in managing 2.1 million acres of state forest land through 2002. The plan does not identify management activities on specific tracts of land (for example, individual timber sales). Rather, it describes the department's general policies and priorities.

The Forest Resource Plan reaffirms the department's commitment to act as a prudent land manager. The department will continue to generate income from state forest land to support schools and other beneficiaries. The policies of the plan also require the department to analyze and, if necessary, modify the impact of its activities on watersheds, wildlife habitat, special ecological features, wetlands, and other natural resources. The plan focuses the department's attention on these resources so that it can make better decisions that accommodate the public's need for school revenue, wood, and healthy forests.

DNR divisions and regions are responsible for carrying out these policies. Many of the policies are translated into operational guidelines for implementation. Regions accomplish planning for, and on-the-ground management of, forest lands in a manner consistent with policy. See Appendix A, p. A2 for summary of Forest Resource Plan policies.

Forest Habitat Characteristics of Three Seral Stages

Seral Stages Defined

Ecological succession can be thought of as a series of progressive changes that a plant community goes through, that culminate in a relatively stable condition. Seral stages are the communities and environmental conditions that replace each other as succession progresses. A seral stage is characterized by a particular range of environmental attributes including plants, moisture, and nutrient regimes, soil conditions, physical structure, and habitat features.

Succession occurs in different ways on different sites. Variations in moisture, temperature and nutrients can result in profound differences in species, structure, and rates of change. In describing an individual seral stage, it's helpful to remember that ecological parameters exist on a continuum, so that a description of a particular seral stage is a generalized snapshot, not a model that will apply in all cases. The duration of each seral stage depends in large part on the longevity of the dominant species, which is able to maintain dominance until senescence (the end of the life cycle).

Different terminologies are used to describe successional change. The systems used by Oliver (1981) and Brown (1985) are roughly parallel to seral stages in that they are tree-growth related, but use artificial systems based on tree diameter as opposed to an ecologically based approach. The seral stages described by Spies and Franklin (1991) are based on major ecosystem processes (such as nutrient cycling, rate of growth, types of vegetation, and soil character) that change as a forest matures.

Table 2 describes a general progression of seral stages for forests in western Washington. Eastern Washington forests are more difficult to describe, due to a more complex history of human intervention including fire suppression, and such human-induced disturbances as grazing, slash burning, and partial cutting, which has had a powerful impact on succession. In addition, succession on the east slope of the Cascades is likely to proceed at a slower rate than that described in the Table 3, due to generally drier and colder growing conditions.

The first, early seral stage begins after a disturbance such as logging or fire.[1] The vegetation that initially invades a site is generally shade-intolerant, nutrient demanding and often relatively short-lived. The canopy structure generally consists of a single tree layer (which may include several species), and an understory of deciduous shrubs and herbs. After some time, the canopy will close to the extent that the light-demanding understory species are shaded out and excluded from the stand. This stage is also known as the "stem exclusion stage" because the stand density inhibits the establishment of new stems, and trees with a competitive advantage will suppress or kill off their less competitive neighbors. (Oliver and Larson 1990). The mid-seral (or understory reinitiation) stage begins when shade tolerant trees and understory species start to establish in the understory. This is the stage that silviculturists recognize as mature forest, where mean annual increment is culminated. The structure of such forests is likely to still consist of one major canopy layer through most of the stage, until gradually gaps form in the canopy and some of the trees in the understory begin to achieve some height. The late seral stage is characterized by multiple canopy layers, large diameter live trees, large snags, and down logs. Trees of all ages exist in the stand, and canopy gaps supply light for a variety of understory species. This stage encompasses most definitions of old growth. It is important to note that old-growth forests are not synonymous with climax forests; a climax forest is dominated by the most shade tolerant tree species that can reproduce on the site, while old-growth forests are defined by structure and are frequently dominated by late seral species.

The Old Growth Definition Task Group (1986) has a definition of old growth that applies to forests in western Washington, and includes the following minimum requirements:

> **Live trees:** Two or more species, with a wide range of age and size. More than eight Douglas-fir trees per acre, either greater than 32 inches dbh or greater than 200 years old.

[1] Brown et al. 1985 state that a temperate stand that has been clearcut and broadcast burned will remain in an "open sapling, pole" stage for 10 to 20 years, in a "closed sapling, pole" stage for 40 to 100 years, in a "large saw-timber" stage for 10 to 120 years, and an "old growth" stage for up to 700 years.

Canopy: Deep and multi-layered.
Snags: Greater than 4 conifer snags per acre, greater than 20 inches dbh and greater than 15 feet tall.
Logs: Greater than 15 tons of logs per acre, including 10 pieces per acre that are greater than 23 inches in diameter and greater than 49 feet long.

Habitat Characteristics

Wildlife species depend on a variety of structural features in the forest for foraging, breeding, shelter, and resting. Some species require all the conditions described by a particular seral stage or stand condition[2] for some or all of their life cycle. Others require only one or two key habitat features (such as nest cavities or deciduous forage), without regard for the type of stand where such features occur. Each species also has its own degree of flexibility as to what type of stand conditions it can utilize; some species are obligate denizens of old-growth or early seral-stand conditions, and others may use several stand conditions throughout their lives.

It is the particular structural features of a stand that make it good or poor habitat for a given wildlife species. Table 3 outlines some of the key habitat features that are generally associated with different seral stages.

Table 2: Comparison of classification systems

Classification system	EARLY herb and shrub	EARLY seral	MID seral	LATE seral
Brown 1985	Grass-forb, shrub, and open sapling-pole conditions	Closed sapling-pole, sawtimber condition	Large sawtimber condition	Old growth
Spies and Franklin 1991	---	Young	Mature	Old growth
Oliver 1981	Stand initiation stage	Stand initiation stage, stem exclusion stage	Understory re-initiation stage	Old growth

[2] Brown (1985) describes several stand conditions that are roughly equivalent to the seral stages described above; Early = open pole-sapling and closed pole-sapling conditions, Mid = large sawtimber condition, Late = old-growth condition.

Table 3: Comparison of Seral Stage Structure and Vegetation

Seral stage	EARLY herb and shrub stage	EARLY seral	MID seral	LATE seral
Age of dominant trees[3]	0 to 30 years	30 to 80 years	80 to 195 years	> 195 years
Characteristic structure	Initially dominated by light and nutrient-demanding herb and shrub species and tree seedlings. Eventually an "open" pole stand condition evolves, with shade-intolerant tree species dominating.	Single-layer canopy, often of shade-intolerant species, usually including hardwoods as well as conifers, deciduous shrubs and forbs in understory. Eventually may develop closed canopy with little understory; some mortality in canopy.	Single-layer canopy with an understory of small shade-tolerant seedlings, saplings and evergreen shrubs. Snags and down logs mostly pre-date current stand. Where stand may appear multi-layered, understory trees are of one age, but achieve different sizes through competition.	Multi-layer canopy of mixed species and mixed ages, including some smaller diameter, shade-tolerant tree species. Understory may include shade-tolerant evergreen shrubs as well as deciduous shrubs and forbs in canopy gaps. Large live trees, large snags, large diameter down logs and canopy gaps are characteristic.

[3] Spies and Franklin 1991

Seral stage	EARLY herb and shrub stage	EARLY seral	MID seral	LATE seral
Habitat features[4]	A mix of coniferous trees and deciduous trees and shrubs provides songbird nesting and foraging areas, and browse for ungulate species. Voles and shrews utilize understories of forbs and grasses for food and shelter. Coyotes and raptors hunt burrowing rodents.	A mix of coniferous trees and deciduous trees and shrubs provide songbird nesting and foraging areas, and browse for ungulate species. Voles and shrews utilize understories of forbs and grasses for food and shelter. Rabbits, mountain beavers and grouse shelter and forage in the understory, and bobcats shelter and feed on rabbits.	Canopy provides thermal cover for many wildlife species, and nesting and foraging areas for some songbirds. Trees provide cones and shelter for squirrels; raptors nest and feed on rodents. Down wood provides habitat for rodents, amphibians, martens, and other species.	Large dead trees provide nesting and denning cavities, and food sources for woodpeckers. Large live trees provide broad nesting platforms; closed portions of stand provide thermal cover; and canopy gaps provide deciduous forage. Down logs provide habitat for amphibians, rodents and other animals, which in turn provide food for forest carnivores such as weasel, marten and fisher.
Plant species characteristic of moist forests[5]	red alder, Douglas-fir, salmonberry, trailing blackberry, fireweed, brackenfern	Douglas-fir, big leaf maple, red alder, salmonberry, brackenfern, trailing blackberry, thimbleberry	western hemlock, Sitka spruce, western redcedar, Douglas-fir, Pacific silver fir, salal, swordfern, Alaska huckleberry, western white anemone	western hemlock, pacific silver fir, Sitka spruce, Douglas-fir, pacific yew, vanilla leaf, three-leaved foamflower, Oregon oxalis, Smith's fairybells

[4] Brown 1985

[5] Bigley and Hull in prep.; Lesher and Henderson, 1989; Franklin and Dyrness, 1973

Seral stage	EARLY herb and shrub stage	EARLY seral	MID seral	LATE seral
Plant species characteristic of dry forests	California hazel, serviceberry, oceanspray, creeping snowberry, kinnickinnick, white hairy hawkweed, bigleaf sandwort, Scouler's hairbell, broadleaf starflower, common mullein, Idaho fescue	Douglas-fir, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, Pacific madrone, prickly currant, oceanspray, baldhip rose, creeping snowberry, trailplant, California hazel, vanillaleaf	Douglas-fir, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, Oregongrape, red huckleberry, salal, bigleaf sandwort	northern twinflower, thin-leaved huckleberry, prince's pine

C.

Appendix C - Calculating Juvenile Survival Rates and the Finite Rate of Change of the Spotted Owl Population on the Olympic Peninsula



Appendix C

Calculating Juvenile Survival Rates and the Finite Rate of Change of the Spotted Owl Population on the Olympic Peninsula.

Burnham et al. (1994) reported "apparent" survival rates (ϕ) as 0.245 (s.e. = 0.064) for juvenile spotted owls on the Olympic Peninsula, which made it possible to calculate the 95 percent confidence interval around ϕ as 0.116 to 0.374. This was calculated by first solving for the sample size (n) used in estimating ϕ, assuming the standard error was calculated for a binomial population (in which individuals survive or die) as:

$$\text{s.e.} = \sqrt{\phi(1-\phi)/n}$$

to give n = 45, then using tables of t-values to calculate confidence intervals using 2-tailed values for p = 0.05, 44 DF. Burnham et al. (1994) also describe how to correct ϕ for emigration: the "true" survival probability (S) results from adjusting ϕ for the rate at which juveniles emigrate and survive 1 year (E), or

$$S = \phi / (1 - E).$$

They estimated E = 0.3158, with a 95 percent confidence interval of 0.2113 to 0.4203, based on 76 juvenile owls that were monitored with radio telemetry and survived 1 year. From these data, then a range of estimates of S can be derived. Substituting the point estimates, low and high values from the 95 percent confidence intervals for ϕ and E into the equation above provides an estimate of S = 0.3581, ranging from 0.1471 to 0.6451. That range can then be compared to the value needed to result in a stable Olympic Peninsula sub-population (S = 0.413, Burnham et al. 1994 Table 9) for an empirical test of their hypothesis that the Olympic Peninsula sub-population is declining.

The finite rate of population change can be calculated by constructing Leslie matrices using adult and subadult survivorship and fecundities from Burnham et al. (1994) and the estimate and range of S presented above, then solving each for its dominant eigenvalue (Caswell 1989).

D. Appendix D - Methods for the Evaluation of Conservation Alternatives for Spotted Owl on the OESF

Appendix D

Methods for the Evaluation of Conservation Alternatives for Spotted Owl on the OESF

Three techniques were used to evaluate the alternatives: (1) a general evaluation of the habitat capability of the OESF area that will result, in the near- and long-term, from each alternative and how each can address the threats described in Section 4.2.3.3.1a; (2) computer simulations of spotted owl life histories in response to landscape conditions that are expected to result from each alternative; and, (3) the degree to which each alternative either avoids or allows incidental take (Frederick 1994) of currently known owl sites.

Methods for a General Evaluation of Habitat Capability

Both stand- and landscape-level characteristics of forests are important to their capability as habitat for spotted owls (see Horton in press for a review). Forest stands with a particular structure and composition have been defined as either young- or old-forest spotted owl habitat in western Washington (Hanson et al. 1993). Stands with these characteristics have been otherwise variously classified as small sawtimber, large sawtimber, and old growth (Brown 1985) or young, mature, and old growth (Spies and Franklin 1991). An estimate of the current amount and distribution of forest stands of these types, in the OESF area, has been derived from analysis of Landsat Thematic Mapper satellite imagery (WDFW 1994b, see Map 26 and Table 4). Projections of future amounts and distributions of these stand-types under the alternatives can be based on: (1) the relationships among stand age, structure, and composition; and, (2) succession and harvest patterns under the alternatives, and other assumptions about land use. These estimates of current and likely future landscape conditions can then be used to evaluate the capability of current and likely future landscapes as habitat for spotted owls.

Spotted owls respond to landscape characteristics at the scale of home ranges of pairs, and expand the areas they traverse to encompass sufficient habitat to meet their resource needs (Carey et al. 1992). Holthausen et al. (1994) reported the median home range area from a study of 10 radio-tagged owl pairs on the western Olympic Peninsula as 14,721 acres, and that those ranges encompassed a median area of old-growth and mature forests of 4,579 acres (32 percent of the median range). To assess the capability of current and likely future landscape conditions around the OESF, the density of potential owl habitat (including young- and old-forest habitat, Hanson et al. 1994) at the scale of pair range-sized circles (2.7 miles radius) was calculated using a series of assumptions (described below) about the outcomes of current, proposed, and likely future policies and rules, action alternatives, and natural processes, as well as about the ages at which forest stands take on the characteristics of owl habitat. A threshold density of at least 40 percent

young- and old-forest habitat was used for these analyses because: (1) a broader range of young-forest types were classified as habitat (after Hanson et al. 1993) than have been reported in other studies (e.g., Bart and Forsman 1992; Holthausen et al. 1994) in which lower proportions of landscapes that supported owls were classified as habitat; and, (2) the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service considers 40 percent habitat (including young-forest types) as the threshold below which incidental take occurs (Frederick 1994). The total area of all ownerships within the OESF that meet or exceed 40 percent potential habitat at the scale of 2.7-mile radius circles is assumed to reflect the capability of the OESF area to support owl pairs, a good basis for comparison among the alternatives.

In order to estimate habitat density, habitat was considered as a binary variable; that is, stands were either potential habitat or they were not. Stands that were classified as small sawtimber, large sawtimber, or old growth by WDFW (1994b) were assumed to be current potential habitat. Habitat capability was also projected 100 years into the future for each of the three alternatives. For projections of habitat capability, stands that were developed under OESF action alternatives (presumably with silvicultural techniques that promoted habitat development) and were older than 50 years were assumed to be habitat. Otherwise young stands were assumed to be managed such that they did not become habitat. It was assumed that landscape-wide habitat proportions for different categories of land ownership, 100 years in the future, would be: (1) 90 percent of the landscape of Olympic National Park and Olympic National Forest, Late-Successional Reserves (USDA and USDI 1994b); (2) 55 percent of the landscape in all (for Alternative 3) or parts (for Alternative 2) of DNR-managed lands in the OESF; (3) 55 percent of the landscape in Olympic National Forest, Adaptive Management Areas (USDA and USDI 1994b); (4) 25 percent of all (for the No Action alternative) or parts (for the Zoned Forest alternative) of DNR-managed lands in the OESF; and, (5) 10 percent of all other lands.

Simple, conservative assumptions were developed, based on the following reasoning, for the proportions of habitat for each distinct combination of land ownership and alternative:

(1) Physical and biotic factors prevent all land area from becoming potential habitat, thus 90 percent was assumed for Olympic National Park and Olympic National Forest Late-Successional Reserves.

(2) Several independent, spatially-explicit projections of potential management scenarios under the Zoned Forest and Unzoned Forest alternatives suggest that potential owl habitat will comprise greater than 55 percent of some (Alternative 2) or all (Alternative 3) DNR-managed landscapes in the OESF (Traub 1995; Martin 1995). Thus, the conservative assumption (less habitat than may actually result) of 55 percent is used.

(3) The mission of the OESF is to learn how to integrate commodity production and ecosystem support, similar to that of the Adaptive Management Areas (USDA and USDI 1994b), thus landscape conditions that are hypothesized to serve this mission in the OESF are assumed for the Olympic National Forest Adaptive Management Areas.

(4) Over the course of 100 years under Alternative 1 (the No Action alternative), potential habitat in current owl circles on DNR-managed lands is assumed to be lost due to natural

disturbances, harvest following shifting or "decertified" owl circles, and other events. It is assumed that management practices will permit no habitat development except as needed to follow current policy for protection of riparian ecosystems. Habitat in these areas is projected to comprise 25 percent of DNR-managed lands in 100 years (approximately the same as the current abundance of potential owl habitat on DNR-managed lands, see Table 4). Areas outside of owl zones under Alternative 2 are assumed to be similarly-managed, thus habitat is projected to comprise 25 percent of them as well.

(5) Owl habitat is projected to comprise 10 percent of other lands in 100 years, approximately the same as their current composition (Table 4).

Four digital maps describing current or projected conditions were constructed with a grid-based GIS (ESRI 1995). The maps of land ownership (DNR 1995d) or land cover (WDFW 1994b) were resampled to 10-acre pixel size (660 feet square). For simplicity, habitat proportions were assumed to be constant within combinations of ownership and alternative. Thus, all pixels within each of those combinations were assigned the habitat proportions described under numbers 1-5 above as their value. A GIS function that accumulated the values of neighboring cells was conducted over a radius of approximately 2.7-miles (22 cells) for each cell in the digital maps. Subsequent calculations assigned each cell a value that was the proportion of the 2.7-mile circle around that cell that was potential habitat. Areas of all ownerships within the OESF that had at least 40 percent potential habitat at the scale of 2.7-mile radius circles were then measured and mapped. This allowed the projected long-term outcomes of all alternatives to be directly compared both against current conditions and against each other.

Methods for Conducting Computer Simulations of Spotted Owl Life Histories

Introduction

Mathematical models and computer simulations have played a significant role in the conservation of the northern spotted owl (Thomas et al. 1990; USDI 1992b, Raphael et al. 1994). In general, the structure and complexity of models are determined by: (1) modeling objectives; (2) knowledge and understanding of the system; and (3) available technology. Over the past 5 years the complexity of spotted owl population models has increased with changes in each of these factors. The intent of early spotted owl population models (Marcot and Holthausen 1987; USDA 1988; Lande 1988; Noon and Biles 1990, Burnham et al. 1994) was to estimate λ, the finite rate of population change. Estimates of λ provided better understanding of population dynamics, and were implicit predictions about the future state of the population. These models examined population dynamics in one dimension -- time. They did not consider other the effects of variables that are best described in spatial dimensions, namely landscape composition and pattern. Evolving conservation objectives, increased understanding, and improved technology have propelled the development of more complex spotted owl models that consider spatially-dependent variables.

Well-constructed models can be valuable tools for developing decisions on conservation plans. They allow knowledge, assumptions, and objectives to be organized and integrated in a logical framework such that their outcomes can be objectively evaluated. For example, a good spotted owl model would predict outcomes based on knowledge of owl ecology, population biology, forest succession, and land cover; assumed relationships between habitat quality and population biology; and assumed changes in landscape characteristics based on objectives for land use.

Alternatives for management of the OESF were analyzed with a recently developed computer model that incorporated both spatial and temporal effects on the spotted owl population. Comparisons among alternatives were based on model predictions of their long-term effects on the size, stability, and distribution of the spotted owl population on the Olympic Peninsula. The outcomes predicted under each alternative were quantified in two ways. Habitat analyses estimated the amount, quality, and distribution of potential habitat. These analyses indicated the relative differences among alternatives in their ability to provide habitat capable of supporting owl pairs, and the geographic distribution of that habitat. The model also predicted the abundance and distribution of paired and unpaired owls over time. These predictions allowed comparisons of the relative effects of each alternative on population size, trends, and distribution. However, it must be emphasized that the strengths of these predictions is in the relative differences among predicted outcomes rather than in the absolute numbers and locations of owls predicted by the model.

Model Description

Schumaker (1995) provides a detailed description of the simulation model. It was written in the C programing language and runs on a SUN Microsystems Workstation™. The simulation model is designed to be used with raster GIS data that represent land cover, and consists of three separate modules that conduct habitat analysis, movement simulation, and demographic simulation. All modules are accessed through windows-style user interfaces and the movement and demographic modules have a fully animated graphical output. Viewing these processes while simulations are ongoing can be useful to the modeler. The habitat analysis module is used to generate a data file that specifies the locations and qualities of hexagon-shaped units of land cover. The resulting data are used in both the movement and demographic modules.

Habitat Analysis Module

GIS data representing the spatial distribution of land cover may contain millions of pixels. Each pixel corresponds to a small patch of earth, and is assigned a category that represents the cover-type which predominates on that patch. To simplify the habitat model, a regular grid of hexagons is intersected with the raster GIS data to obtain a map of hexagonal "sites" that are classified as either suitable or unsuitable. A suitable site is one that has sufficient quality and quantity of habitat to support a resident single or breeding pair, and is also referred to as a "territory."

The construction of the hexagonal habitat map is controlled by the following parameters: the numeric habitat value of each GIS category, a hexagon size, an "expansion"

parameter, and the threshold for territories. The habitat module calculates a score for each site that equals the average habitat value of all pixels contained within it. Hexagons having scores above the threshold are classified as suitable sites. In addition, suitable sites can result when sub-threshold sites "expand" to use habitat from adjacent supra- or sub-threshold sites. However, each unit of habitat may be used by only one site. The expansion parameter may be assigned any real value from 0-6. At its lower limit, no habitat from adjacent sites is used, while at the upper limit a site may include all the habitat available within its six immediate neighbors. Hexagon boundaries and scores do not change as a result of expansion. Expansion simply allows sub-threshold sites with sufficient, nearby, available habitat to be classified as suitable.

Movement Module

The movement module is individual-based, and simulates the dispersal of fledglings and the seasonal wandering of floaters. Movements across the landscape consist of a series of steps taken from one hexagon to one of six neighboring hexagons. The movements of juveniles, floaters, and unpaired territorial individuals are simulated once each year. All juveniles and floaters move from their present site, but unpaired territorial owls make a decision to move based on the habitat quality of their present site. In the simulator, males search for empty suitable sites and females search for single territorial males. Movement decisions are not affected by the presence of other individuals. Owls can move through an occupied territory or reside in an occupied territory as a floater. Owls stop moving when they (1) have found the object of their search; (2) have taken the maximum number of allowable steps; or (3) a decision to stop has been made. No mortality occurs during movement.

The length of a single step is the center-to-center distance between neighboring hexagons, and the path length is the sum of steps. The model is parameterized with a mean path length which is then converted to a stopping probability. Before each step the stopping probability is used to decide whether to stop or to take another step. In addition, the movement model requires specification of the minimum and maximum number of steps allowed. The minimum movement distance is adhered to by juveniles, but is ignored by floaters.

Direction of movement is controlled by interactions among two parameters, "Bias to Quality" and "Autocorrelation." The degree to which owl movements are guided by habitat quality is specified by the "Bias to Quality" parameter. This parameter determines the frequency with which owls move to the neighboring site with the highest habitat quality. If Bias to Quality equals 1, then owls always move to the neighboring site with the highest habitat score, but if this parameter equals zero, then owls never consider the habitat quality of neighboring sites when moving. If bias to quality equals 0.5, then, on average, owls consider the habitat quality of neighboring sites on half of their steps. If owls do not move to the neighboring hexagon with the highest habitat quality, then the "Autocorrelation" parameter determines the direction of the next step. This parameter determines the linearity of the movement path. When Autocorrelation equals 1, the next step will be in the same direction as the previous step, i.e., straight ahead. When Autocorrelation equals zero, there is an equal probability of moving to any of the neighboring six hexagons. When this parameter equals 0.5 the next step has a higher

probability of veering right or left than moving straight ahead. A true random walk can be obtained by setting both Bias to Quality and Autocorrelation to zero. Increasing Autocorrelation produces a directed random walk, and increasing Bias to Quality has the effect of concentrating the searching effort in areas of superior habitat quality.

Unpaired territorial owls make a decision to leave their present site based on the habitat quality of their present site. A nonlinear function describes the relationship between site fidelity, i.e., the probability of moving, and habitat quality (Figure 1a). Minimum site fidelity occurs on the poorest quality suitable site, and maximum site fidelity occurs on suitable sites that are above a high quality habitat threshold. The shape of this function implies that over some range of high quality habitat, spotted owls are insensitive to differences in habitat quality.

The edges of the habitat map may be made to function as absorbing, reflecting or wrapping boundaries. Individual sites can be made to function as reflecting boundaries in order to prevent movement across large bodies of water, mountain ranges, etc.

Demographic Module

Population demographics were simulated using an individual-based, two-sex, three stage-class model. A key feature of the demography module is its ability to link certain life history parameters -- survivorship, fecundity, and site fidelity -- to habitat quality. An owl surrounded by high quality habitat is less likely to disperse, more likely to survive, and more likely to produce a large brood. The model uses an annual time step with each year broken up into four phases: the movement of floaters and territorial singles, reproduction, movement of juveniles, and survival (Figure 2). The module records a variety of demographic information including the number of owls in each stage class (adults, subadults, and juveniles), the number of pairs, single territorial birds, and floaters. In addition, the model generates an "occupancy map" that can be used to investigate the spatial distribution and frequency of occupancy of sites over time. A built-in time series function allows the model to read new territory maps on a yearly basis, and thus, to simulate landscape change through time.

The simulation model is initialized with only pairs of adult owls being present; other stage classes, floaters, and single territorial birds are generated during the simulation. The model allows the initial owl pairs to be located in a flexible manner. Pairs can be located randomly on suitable sites, on the best suitable sites, or can be placed manually on specific suitable sites. If the initialization is strictly random, then it becomes necessary to determine if these locations should remain fixed across model runs, or whether new initial locations should be picked at the start of each run.

The model is stochastic. Individual-based models are demographically stochastic since the fate of each individual is determined independently. To simplify the interpretation of results, environmental stochasticity of parameters was not incorporated into the simulations.

Survivorship was simulated as a stochastic variable and as a function of site habitat quality. The relationship between survivorship and habitat quality has a linear portion

with survivorship increasing with habitat quality up to a threshold value above which there was no change in survivorship (Figure 1b). For each stage-class, there are minimum and maximum survivorship parameters which, with the survivorship threshold parameter, define a function that relates survival probability to site score. The minimum survivorship occurs in the site with the lowest score. The maximum survivorship occurs in all sites with scores greater than the high quality habitat threshold.

Fecundity was also simulated as a stochastic variable and as a function of site habitat quality. For each stage-class, there are minimum and maximum values for the probability of failing to produce fledglings. These values were used to define a nonlinear function that indirectly relates fecundity to site score (Figure 1c). The maximum probability of a site failing to produce fledglings occurs on the suitable site with the lowest score. The minimum probability or nesting failure occurs on suitable sites that are above a high quality habitat threshold. This function and the observed frequency of brood sizes (Forsman et al. 1984) determine the probability of an owl pair producing zero, one, two, or three fledglings.

Three constants, b_1, b_2, b_3, are the frequency of broods with one, two, and three fledglings respectively, observed for reproductively successful spotted owl pairs. Let $P_{NF}(ss)$ be the nonlinear function that relates nesting failure to site score. Then, the probability of producing a brood of size zero equals $P_{NF}(ss)$, and the probability of producing a brood of size i, P_i, equals $[1-P_{NF}(ss)]\bullet b_i$, where i = 1, 2, or 3. The sum of $P_{NF}(ss)$, P_1, P_2, and P_3 equals one.

Parameterizing the Model

Values for demographic parameters have been estimated for the Olympic Peninsula's spotted owl population (Burnham et al. 1994). The data used to calculate the values were collected across the entire peninsula over a wide range of spotted owl habitat quality, but those values are not expressed as functions of habitat quality. A major difficulty in implementing a model with habitat-dependent parameters is establishing the link between the demographic parameters and the habitat model.

The functions relating survival and fecundity to habitat quality were developed through "parameter tuning." Parameter tuning was also used to develop movement parameters. The population simulator accommodates this process by generating statistics on realized survival rates, fecundity and movement distances. To tune parameters, 100 replicate trials of 50 years using a territory map derived from the reclassified 1990 and 1991 GIS data (as discussed below) were run. This method of parameterization is an iterative process which may be summarized as: (1) select an initial value; (2) run the population simulator; (3) examine the statistics of interest; (4) adjust the parameter value; and (5) repeat the steps (1) through (4) until the desired realized value is obtained. Parameter values are summarized in Table 5.

GIS Data

Habitat maps were constructed from GIS data. GIS data for the northwest portion of the Olympic Peninsula, which includes the entire OESF, were based on 1991 Landsat Thematic Mapper (LTM) imagery (WDFW 1994b). Pixel resolution was 30 meters (98

feet) resampled to 25 meters (82 feet). Supervised classification of the LTM imagery resulted in GIS data with nine categories: old growth, large saw, small saw, pole, sapling, open canopy/mixed conifer, open/nonforested, water, and cloud/cloud shadow (Table 6). GIS data for the remainder of the peninsula were based on 1990 LTM imagery (Green et al. 1993). This GIS data had a different classification scheme. Pixels were classified as late-successional, mid-successional, or early-successional forests, nonforested, water, or clouds. Using aerial photography and historical patterns of timber harvest, those data were reclassified to correspond with the nine categories of the 1991 LTM image. Some forest stands on the eastern and southern peninsula did not match the classification criteria for any of the other categories. For these, a new classification, "mid-seral," was created.

GIS data covering different portions of the peninsula were merged, and an elevation model based on the environmental zones described in Henderson et al. (1989) was used to develop a new category, high elevation forest. Forests above 3,000 feet in the western and southern Olympic Mountains, above 4,000 feet near the middle of the range, and above 4,500 feet in the northeastern portion of the mountain range were reclassified as such. In the model, this forest type has no value as nesting habitat, but can function as dispersal habitat. The complete reclassified GIS data appear in Map 30. The GIS data were assumed to represent the current land cover on the Olympic Peninsula.

Habitat Parameters

The size of hexagons in the model corresponds to the minimum home range of an owl pair. The density of owl pairs in the low-elevation old-growth forests of Olympic National Park is estimated to be 0.32/1,000 acres (Seaman et al. 1994). This density is equivalent to an exclusive home range of 3,088 acres/pair. The Olympic National Park has the highest density of good owl habitat on the Olympic Peninsula. Spotted owl range sizes vary inversely with the density of high quality habitat (Carey et al. 1992). Thus, 3,088 acres/pair was considered to be the minimum home range. The resolution of pixels in the GIS grid restricts the set of hexagon sizes that can be generated by the model. Since the hexagon size closest to 3,088 acres was 3,134 acres, this was used in the model.

The expansion parameter is used to better model the response of owls to landscape composition and pattern. This parameter represents two aspects of owl behavior. As the density of good habitat decreases, owls expand the size of their home ranges and increase the degree of overlap with the ranges of their neighbors (Carey et al. 1992). The expansion parameter represents the maximum amount of neighboring hexagons that may be included in a home range. For 20 owl home ranges studied on the western Olympic Peninsula (Forsman in prep. cited by Holthausen et al. 1994) the median size of home ranges was 14,296 acres. The maximum home range size was 27,308 acres. With a hexagon size of 3,134, the maximum home range that can be modeled is 21,938 acres (a hexagon plus its six neighboring hexagons). In the habitat model, the maximum value was assigned to the expansion parameter value.

Spotted owls demonstrate a marked selection for old-growth stands but their habitat selection becomes increasingly general from nesting to roosting to foraging (reviewed by

Horton in press). Large and small sawtimber stands are sometimes selected and frequently used in proportion to their availability, but other stand types are generally avoided (reviewed by Horton in press). Thus, old growth, large saw, and small saw were assigned values as habitat for the model. In order to define weighted values for those stand types, a habitat utilization index (HUI) was calculated for each. HUI estimates the value of each stand type based on observed ratios of use of stand types, and is defined as:

$$HUI = \frac{\text{mean \% of radio telemetry relocations in habitat type X}}{\text{mean \% of home range in habitat type X}}$$

Using preliminary data from 20 radio-tagged owls from the western Olympic Peninsula (E. D. Forsman, USFS, Corvallis, OR, unpubl. data, 1990), HUI was highest for old growth, lower for small sawtimber, and intermediate for large sawtimber. The ratio of HUIs for two different stand types is a measure of the differential response of owls to those types, and reflects their comparative habitat quality. Those ratios were, $HUI_{OG}/HUI_{LS} = 1.50$ and $HUI_{OG}/ HUI_{SS} = 2.19$. For modeling, old growth was assigned the highest possible habitat value (9) to increase the level of discrimination among site quality (Table 7). Based on the ratios, habitat values of 6 and 4 were assigned to large and small sawtimber respectively (Table 7). No radio-telemetry data were available from owls in the mid-seral stand type from the eastern and southern Olympic Peninsula. It was assigned a weight of 1 (Table 7) based on its structure, composition, and the distribution and abundance of owls in those areas.

The habitat threshold for suitable sites was calculated with the following equation:

$$T = HV_{OG}\, A_{OG} + HV_{LS}\, A_{LS} + HV_{SS}\, A_{SS}$$

where A is the mean proportion of area covered by each stand type within home ranges (E. D. Forsman, USFS, Corvallis, OR, unpubl. data, 1990), and HV is the habitat value of each stand type. This equation uses the mean composition of home ranges and the relative
values of habitat quality for each stand type to derive a threshold habitat value for suitable sites. Using the values in Table 8, T was found to be 5.

Dispersal Barriers

Owls on the Olympic Peninsula were modeled as a closed population. The ocean and inland waterways form natural barriers to the west, north, and east. Poor habitat acts as an effective barrier to the south. In reality, there may be some movement of owls to and from the peninsula, but it is believed to have an insignificant impact on population demographics (e.g., Holthausen et al. 1994). Barriers to dispersal were modeled as reflecting boundaries. Reflecting barriers were also placed in high elevation sites that were more than 75 percent nonforested.

Movement Parameters

The mean number of movement steps was adjusted so that the model reported a mean net dispersal distance as close as possible to 24.2 km, the value observed by Eric Forsman for dispersing juvenile spotted owls on the Olympic Peninsula (E. D. Forsman, USFS, Corvallis, OR, pers. comm., 1995). Forsman's data also specifies minimum and maximum observed net dispersal distances of 8.7 and 58.2 km, respectively. Using β to represent the value assigned to the mean number of dispersal steps, the model parameters specifying the minimum and maximum number of movement steps were set to $8.7/24.2 \bullet \beta$ and $58.2/24.2 \bullet \beta$, respectively. The Auto precorrelation and Bias to Quality parameters were adjusted to obtain the desired mean dispersal distance (24.2 km) (15 miles) while at the same time obtaining a ratio of total to net dispersal distances that fell within the range observed in the field. Forsman (E. D. Forsman, USFS, Corvallis, OR, pers. commun., 1995) suggests the ratio of total to net dispersal distance for the Olympic Peninsula population could be as high as 4. Data cited in Thomas et al. (1990 p. 305) give an estimate of total to net dispersal distance of 1.9. The final values for Autocorrelation and Bias to Quality gave a ratio of total to net dispersal distance of 2.4. Tuning resulted in Bias to Quality of 0.21 and Autocorrelation of 1.0. In effect, as owls move across the landscape they move into the best available habitat on 1 out of every 5 steps, and when not guided by habitat quality owls move in a straight line.

There are no published data from which to directly derive parameters to describe site fidelity. An assumption that related the probability of an unpaired owl dispersing and habitat quality was developed arbitrarily. The relationship has a linear portion over which dispersal probability decreases from 50 percent at the suitable site with the lowest quality habitat up to a threshold site quality value above which unpaired owls did not disperse (Figure 1a).

Demographic Parameters

The parameters for minimum and maximum adult survivorship were chosen to yield a realized adult survivorship approximately equal to that of Burnham et al. (1994) for the Olympic Peninsula -- 0.862 (Table 5). The maximum value of adult survivorship was set to 0.92, the same maximum value used by Holthausen et al. (1994), and the minimum value was adjusted to yield the desired realized value. Subadult survivorship is thought to be lower than adult survivorship, but Burnham et al. (1994) did not detect a statistically significant difference between them. Thus, the minimum and maximum subadult survivorship were set equal to that of adults.

Selecting a value for juvenile survivorship was more problematic because current understanding of juvenile survival rates on the Olympic Peninsula is incomplete. For example, Burnham et al. (1994) estimated a survival rate, uncorrected for emigration, of 0.245 while Holthausen et al. (1994) estimated a 0.612 survival rate, corrected for emigration. But Holthausen et al. (1994) used values of 0.29 and 0.38 in their simulations of the Olympic Peninsula population. Given the range of uncertainty surrounding estimates of juvenile survivorship on the Olympic Peninsula, we chose to simplify this part of the model. Juvenile survivorship was implemented as a constant, i.e., this parameter was not a function of habitat quality, and simulations were run with a wide range of plausible values -- from 0.38 to 0.53.

We assumed a fledgling sex ratio of 50:50 (Noon and Biles 1990; Thomas et al. 1990). The parameters b_1, b_2, b_3 were estimated from the data in Forsman et al. (1984 p. 33-34) and set to 0.36, 0.56, and 0.08, respectively. Juveniles were assumed to be nonreproductive (fecundity = 0). The parameters for minimum and maximum probability of nesting failure, $P_{NF}(ss)$ (Table 5), were chosen to yield a realized fecundity approximately equal to that of Burnham et al. (1994). For the Olympic Peninsula these values were 0.760 and 0.412 fledglings/female for adults and subadults, respectively. The minimum value of adult $P_{NF}(ss)$ was set to 0.465, which is equivalent to a maximum fecundity of 0.92 fledglings per female, the same maximum value used by Holthausen et al. (1994). Again, there were no published estimates of fecundity in high quality habitat, but 0.92 was considered plausible as it represents a pair producing nearly two fledglings every other year. The maximum value of adult $P_{NF}(ss)$ was adjusted to yield the desired realized fecundity. The maximum for subadult $P_{NF}(ss)$ was chosen so that the ratio between the maximum adult and maximum subadult fecundities equaled the ratio between the Burnham et al. (1994) estimates for adult and subadult fecundities.

The nonlinear functions for site fidelity, survivorship, and fecundity (i.e., nesting failure) all reflect thresholds to habitat quality. Above these thresholds, site fidelity, survivorship, and fecundity are constant values. Similar functions were used in other spotted owl population analyses (Raphael et al. 1994; Holthausen et al. 1994). There are no published data which directly describe thresholds to habitat quality, so plausible values were derived for these parameters. The results of Bart and Forsman (1992) indicate that the threshold is greater than 60 percent older forest within 1,000 acres of the nest site, but how much greater is unknown. On the Olympic Peninsula, 2,000-acre circles around locations of paired spotted owls contained 61 percent suitable habitat (Lehmkuhl and Raphael 1993). This value is an average for 59 call-survey locations, and therefore, the "high quality" circles probably contained a proportion of suitable habitat much greater than 61 percent. With these results in mind, we set the high quality habitat threshold equal to 7. This value is equivalent to a site that is approximately three-quarters old-growth forest, or one-third old growth/two-thirds large saw, or any combination of old growth, large saw, and small saw that yields an average value equal to 7. This value may be too high, but choosing a high value was intentional because it provides a conservative estimate of the response of demographic parameters to habitat quality.

Population Initial Conditions

The model allows the user to specify the initial number of breeding pairs and their spatial distribution. The current number of nesting pairs on the Olympic Peninsula is estimated to be between 280 and 320 (Holthausen et al. 1994). The locations of many owl nest sites are known exactly, and reasonable estimates for the spatial distribution of other owl nest sites could be derived, but the complexity of this task was beyond the scope of this analysis. Nesting pairs could have been distributed on randomly selected suitable sites across the peninsula, or assigned to the best 300 suitable sites, but these initial conditions seemed unrealistic.

No reliable estimates for the number of resident single and floater owls are currently available. The inability to specify the initial conditions for these stages presents two problems. First, model results are not useful until the simulated population approaches a

stable distribution of all stages. This stable distribution may not be approached for several decades, and therefore no valid results would be available with which to evaluate conditions in the near future. Second, the model is sensitive to initial conditions, particularly the initial density of individuals. The presence of resident single owls increases the likelihood that a dispersing bird will find a mate, and this affects the population's average fecundity. Also, a population without a pool of adult floaters to replace dead breeders will decline more rapidly than one with such a pool. Under this unrealistic scenario, the simulated population is more likely to become extinct.

To overcome the problems associated with specifying locations of nesting pairs and the initial abundances and distribution of unpaired adults, the initial conditions were established as follows. First, at the beginning of each replicate trial, the Olympic Peninsula was saturated with nesting pairs. That is, an owl pair was assigned to every suitable site (435 sites for the 1994 hexagonal habitat map). Second, 50 replicate trials were run with the parameters in Table 5 and with the hexagonal habitat map held constant. Third, the average trajectory of owl pair abundance was examined to determine the time step, or year, at which the number of pairs was closest to 300. This time step was defined to be 1994. This process was repeated for each value of juvenile survivorship used in the analysis. For example, when juvenile survivorship equaled 0.44, the number of owl pairs declined from 435-300 in 11 years. Year 11 was set to 1994, and all other time dependent parameters or simulator functions (e.g., simulation duration, the landscape time series) were modified accordingly. Using this method to set the initial conditions for unpaired owls in 1994, the average number of floaters ranged from 50-60 and the average number of resident single owls ranged from 25-30.

Analysis of Alternatives

Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 were analyzed. Each alternative was represented by a series of six GIS images of the Olympic Peninsula: the present, and then 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 years hence. A fourth scenario, a static landscape, in which current land cover remained constant for 100 years was also analyzed for purposes of comparison.

There are four major land ownership groups on the Olympic Peninsula: tribal, private, federal, and DNR. It was assumed that tribal and private lands would continue to be intensively managed for timber production and would remain, on average, in the same condition as present. Thus, for the sake of simplicity, habitat conditions those lands were held constant for analysis of all alternatives. Federal lands consist of three different management designations: Olympic National Park, and the Late-Successional Reserves and Adaptive Management Areas of the Olympic National Forest. Again, for simplicity's sake, the park and Adaptive Management Areas were assumed to remain constant over time for each alternative. It was assumed that the Late-Successional Reserves would develop toward old-growth forest. Changes in the Late-Successional Reserves were projected using a simple model of forest succession (Table 9), and were used for analysis of each alternative.

It was recognized that changes to the distribution, but probably not the abundance of potential habitat would occur over time on DNR-managed lands under the No Action

alternative. However, for the sake of simplicity, habitat conditions on DNR-managed lands were held constant over time for analysis of the No Action alternative.

Schedules of potential forest succession and harvest were developed as the basis for representing each of the OESF action alternatives (Traub 1995). Desired future landscape conditions, representing the constraints or thresholds of each OESF action alternative, were entered into SNAP (Scheduling and Network Analysis Program) (Sessions and Sessions 1994). SNAP applies a heuristic algorithm to identify efficient plans to attain timber harvest targets while heeding all constraints and landscape-level thresholds (Sessions 1994). Two basic pathways were modeled: one employed two commercial thinnings followed by a regeneration harvest at 100 years, this was the basic prescription for upland areas; the other employed 50 percent-volume harvests at 100-year intervals, this prescription was applied in sensitive areas that allowed some harvest (Traub 1995). These pathways were chosen to represent simplistic, modal management regimes envisioned for the OESF and are similar both in concept and intended objectives to the "biodiversity pathway" regimes developed and analyzed by the Washington Landscape Management Project (Carey et al. in press).

SNAP projected changes in forest stand conditions as harvest and succession proceeded over 100-year simulations. Those changes were reported as areas within 10-year interval age classes and within each of the owl management zones or landscape planning units for the Zoned and Unzoned Forest alternative, respectively. Age classes were then converted to land cover categories (Table 9) for modeling owl populations. For all alternatives, stand conditions were modeled separately for the interior-core and exterior riparian buffers, such that it was assumed that the interior-core developed into old-growth and the exterior buffer developed into large sawtimber.

The changes on DNR-managed lands were modeled for each of the approximately 11,000 forest stands identified in DNR's GIS database. For simplicity's sake, those changes were modeled stochastically rather than deterministically. That is, harvests were assigned to stands at random rather than following an actual, predetermined schedule for each stand. Stands were assigned a random integer between 1 and 1,000. Then using the random numbers, stands were assigned cover-types according to the proportion of the total OESF occupied by that type. For example, if SNAP projected that outside of riparian buffers 16.7 percent of the OESF would be small sawtimber, and 20.2 percent would be large sawtimber, then stands with random numbers between 1 and 167 were reclassified as small saw, and stands with random numbers between 168 and 369 were reclassifed as large saw.

Methods for Estimating Incidental Take of Spotted Owls

It is anticipated that during the life of the HCP, some spotted owls may be displaced, and habitat conditions for some individual owls or owl pairs may be degraded by DNR activities in the OESF such that their ranges are temporarily incapable of supporting them. These activities will constitute incidental take of spotted owls as defined by the ESA. The degree to which each alternative either avoids or allows incidental take is another method for comparing those alternatives. The evaluation criteria of the U.S. Fish

and Wildlife Service to estimate the risk of incidental take (Frederick 1994) were used for these analyses. Their criteria are based on maintaining a threshold proportion of habitat in home range-sized circles around known owl sites as defined by WDFW.

Sites where spotted owls have been observed are assigned a status by WDFW staff based on the nature of the observations recorded: pair - observations of two owls behaving as a pair; two birds - observations of two birds not behaving as a pair; single - repeated observations of a single owl suggesting territorial status; unknown - isolated observations that do not suggest territorial status. These sites are the basis for estimates of the potential of the conservation strategy for the OESF to result in incidental take of spotted owls. The simplest estimate is based on the advice of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service regarding incidental take (Frederick 1994), i.e., harvest of potential owl habitat within 2.7- mile radius circles around owl site centers in which habitat comprises 40 percent or less land cover. There are two types of situations where this could occur: DNR harvests within 2.7-miles of sites with less than 40 percent habitat, or DNR harvests that reduce sites from 40 percent or more to less than 40 percent habitat.

However, simple estimates of take as described above are likely to overestimate impacts to the persistence and productivity of owls at known sites in and near the OESF because habitat conditions at several of these sites make it unlikely that owls will reside there. Additional data that can be used to refine the simple estimate of take are the habitat conditions around sites and the recent history of observations at sites. These data allow inferences about the likelihood that sites can actually support resident owls and the recent occupancy of sites, and thus, refined estimates of the risk of actually taking owls. Sites that are surrounded by less than 20 percent habitat are significantly less likely to support occupancy than those surrounded by more habitat (Bart and Forsman 1992). Based on the quality and results of owl surveys, additional inferences about occupancy at such sites can be made to arrive at a refined estimate of the number of sites that appear to have the potential to support resident owls, and/or may currently support resident owls, and that should be considered to be at risk for take under the several alternatives. However, after sites have been screened based on these additional data, take would occur as described above.

The locations and status of owl sites are from the WDFW Interagency spotted owl database (July 1995). Additional information on survey locations, timing, and results are from DNR surveys in the OESF between 1987 and 1995 (DNR, Olympic Region, Forks, WA, unpubl. data) and personal communications with biologists from federal agencies (E. D. Forsman, USFS, Corvallis, OR, pers. commun., 1987-1995; D.E. Seaman, National Biological Survey, Olympic National Park, pers. commun, 1987-1995) and private industry (D. Varland, Rayonier, Hoquiam, WA, pers. commun, 1987-1995; W. Buck, Beak Consultants, Kirkland, WA, pers. commun., 1987-1995). An estimate of habitat and land ownership around owl sites was developed using satellite imagery (WDFW 1994b) and digital maps of public land ownership (DNR 1995d). This information was used to classify owl sites for simple and refined estimates of the potential for incidental take under the action alternatives for the OESF.

Table 4: Estimates of forest cover on lands of different ownership in the Olympic Experimental Forest area, July 1991[1]

Landowner	Cover-type	Total Area (ac)	Percent of Area[7]	Percent of Cover-type[8]
Olympic National Park	late seral[2]	216,137	16.5	59.1
	other[4]	16,298	1.2	18.7
	mid-seral[3]	143,857	11.0	16.8
Olympic National Forest	late-seral	66,325	5.0	18.1
	mid-seral	15,434	1.2	17.7
	other	93,294	7.1	10.9
DNR-managed, OESF[5]	late-seral	52,150	4.0	14.3
	mid-seral	20,990	1.6	24.1
	other	197,974	15.1	23.1
Other[6]	late-seral	30,983	2.4	8.4
	mid-seral	34,293	2.6	39.4
	other	421,558	32.1	49.2
Total		1,312,758	100	

[1] Land cover estimated by supervised classification of Landsat Thematic Mapper scenes taken July 1991, (WDFW 1994b). Land ownership estimated from DNR's digital public lands map (DNR 1995d).

[2] Late seral forests = old growth and large-saw cover

[3] Mid-seral forests = small-saw cover

[4] other land cover = pole, sapling, open-canopy/mixed conifer, open areas (clearcuts, high-elevation barrens, towns, etc.), water, cloud/shadow cover

[5] DNR-managed lands proposed as the Olympic Experimental State Forest (OESF)

[6] Other lands include all private ownerships, tribal lands, DNR-managed lands outside the OESF

[7] The area within the cover-type within the ownership class, divided by the total area described

[8] The area within the cover-type within the ownership class, divided by the total area within the cover-type

Table 5: Complete list of model parameters and control variables used in spotted owl simulations

Movement Parameters:	
Minimum Steps	11
Mean Steps	31
Maximum Steps	75
Autocorrelation	1.0
Bias To Site Quality	0.21
Site Fidelity Probability: Min. and Max.	50 100
Demographic Parameters:	
Sex Ratio	50:50
Maximum Brood Size	3 fledglings
Probabilities of Each Brood Size	1=0.36; 2=0.56; 3=0.08
Juvenile Survivorship	0.38, 0.41, 0.44, 0.47, 0.50, 0.53
Subadult Survivorship: Min. and Max.	0.700 0.920
Adult Survivorship: Min. and Max.	0.700 0.920
Juvenile Probability of Nesting Failure: Max. and Min.	1.00 1.00
Subadult Probability of Nesting Failure: Max. and Min.	0.858 0.710
Adult Probability of Nesting Failure: Max. and Min.	0.840 0.465
High Quality Habitat Threshold	7.0
Fit To Site Quality (Linear / Logistic)	Linear
Program Control Variables:	
Number of Runs	50
Number of Years in a Run	variable
Initial Number of Pairs	435
Year to Begin Tracking Occupancy	year 20
Display (On / Off)	Off
Sampling Function (On / Off)	Off
Initialization Method (Random / Weighted / Custom)	Weighted
Initialization Protocol if Random (Re-Randomize / Fixed)	N/A
Boundary Condition (Absorbing / Reflecting / Wrapping)	Reflecting

Table 6: Forest classifications used in GIS data (WDFW 1994b)

Old growth: dominant dbh 30" or greater; usually more than 8 dominant trees/acre; three or more canopy layers with less than complete canopy closure; several snags/acre 20" dbh or greater; several down logs /acre 24" dbh or greater

Large saw: dominant dbh 20-30"; more than 10 dominant trees/acre of this size; codominant trees are 14" dbh or greater; two or three canopy layers more closed than old growth; small snags present with sparse or no large snags; few large down logs

Small saw: dominant dbh 14-20"; one or two canopy layers; small snags or none present; small down dead wood or none present

Pole: dominant dbh 10-14"; one canopy layer; little or no down dead woody debris

Sapling: approximately 2-5" dbh

Open canopy/ mixed conifer: canopy closure less than 60%, any mixture of at least 90% conifers

Open/ nonforested: clearcuts, open sapling stands, pasture, human settlement

Table 7: Landscape parameters and values

Parameter	Value
min. home range size	1,269 ha[1]
home range expansion	6.0
suitable habitat threshold	5.0
Habitat Values:	
old growth	9
large saw	6
small saw	4
mid-seral	1
all other types	0

[1] 3,134 acres

Table 8: Values used in calculation of suitable spotted owl habitat threshold: Habitat Utilization Indices (HUI) rounded to the nearest integer, and the mean proportion of each stand type in Olympic Peninsula home ranges (E. D. Forsman, USFS, Corvallis, OR, unpubl. data, 1990).

Stand Type	Proportion of HUI	Home Range
old growth	9	0.47
large saw	6	0.4
small saw	4	0.13
all others	0	0.36

Table 9: Forest growth model used for projecting changes in National Forest Late-Successional Reserves. OG=old growth, LS=large saw, SS=small saw, PO=pole, SP=sapling, CC= clearcut (nonforested).

Stand Age in 1994	Forest Growth Through Time					
	1994	2014	2034	2054	2074	2094
≥200	OG	OG	OG	OG	OG	OG
100-199	LS	LS	LS	OG	OG	OG
50-99	SS	SS	LS	LS	LS	LS
25-49	PO	SS	SS	SS	LS	LS
13-24	SP	PO	SS	SS	SS	LS
≤12	CC	PO	PO	SS	SS	LS

Figures 1a-c: **Nonlinear functions describing the relationship between spotted owl site score (habitat quality) and certain parameters. The breakpoint in the function corresponds to the high quality habitat threshold. For this analysis, a value of 7 was assigned to the high quality habitat threshold.**



1a. Site Fidelity -- expressed as the probability of leaving a suitable site.



1b. Survivorship -- nonlinear function was the same for adults and subadults. Horizontal dashed lines represent the different values of juvenile survivorship used in the analysis.



1c. Fecundity -- expressed as probability of nesting failure. solid line = adult function; dashed line = subadult function. Juvenile fecundity was set to zero, i.e., probability of nesting failure equals one.

Figure 2: **Flow chart showing one yearly cycle through the spotted owl population simulator. Survival, reproduction, and census blocks are parts of the demographic module. Reproduction is also a function of site habitat quality. Other blocks are parts of the movement module.**



Glossary



Glossary

Selected Greek and Roman characters (E, E_D, E_O, I_D, I_O, λ, λ_D, λ_F, n, P, r, ϕ, S) used in statistical/mathematical analyses are interfiled in the glossary. (λ = Lambda; ϕ = Phi)

Active channel - Defined by DNR as the stream area occupied by typical flood events (i.e., comparable to the two-year recurring flood). The active channel generally coincides with the ordinary high-water mark, but may encompass side channels and adjacent flood-plain areas. (See draft HCP p. IV.97-98 for more discussion of active channel versus high-water mark.)

Activity center - The closest 70 acres of suitable habitat around the nest tree or primary roost of territorial spotted owls.

Adaptive Management Area - As proposed by FEMAT, federal areas where timber harvest can occur which are designated to encourage the development and testing of technical and social approaches to achieving desired ecological, economic, and social objectives.

Administratively Withdrawn Areas - Federal areas removed from the harvestable timber base through agency direction and land management plans.

Age class - An interval, commonly 10 years, into which the age range of forest stands is divided for classification.

Alluvial - Describes soil and similar materials that were transported and deposited by running water.

Anadromous fish - Those species of fish that mature in the ocean and migrate to freshwater rivers and streams to spawn; an example is salmon.

Angular canopy density (ACD) - A measure of solar radiation reaching a stream; the projection of canopy closure measured at the angle at which solar radiation directly passes through the canopy to the stream.

ARC/INFO - Computer program for geographic information systems.

Biological diversity - The relative degree of abundance of wildlife species, plant species, communities, habitats or habitat features per unit of area.

Blowdown - Trees felled by high winds.

Board foot - The amount of wood equivalent to a piece of wood one foot by one foot by one inch thick.

Board of Natural Resources - A Washington State board that establishes policies for the Department of Natural Resources to ensure that the acquisition, management, and disposition of lands and resources within the department's jurisdiction are based on sound principles. The board is composed of six members: The commissioner of public lands, the Governor, the superintendent of public instruction, the dean of the College of Agriculture at Washington State University, the dean of the College of Forest Resources at the University of Washington, and an elected representative from a county that contains Forest Board land.

Bog - A hydrologically isolated, low nutrient wetland that receives its water from precipitation only. Bogs typically have no inflow and rarely have outflows. Bogs have peat soils 16 or more inches in depth (except where over bedrock), and specifically adapted vegetation such as sphagnum moss, Labrador tea, bog laurel, sundews, and some sedges. Bogs may have an overstory of spruce, hemlock, cedar, or other tree species, and may be associated with open water.

Buffer - A forested strip left during timber harvest to conserve sensitive ecosystems or wildlife habitat. Management activities may be allowed as long as they are consistent with the conservation objectives for the buffer.

Candidate species - A federal and state designation. Federal candidate species, category 1, are species for which there is substantial information to support listing the species as threatened or endangered; listing proposals are either being prepared or are delayed by work on higher priority species. Federal candidate species, category 2, are species for which information indicates that listing may be appropriate, but conclusive data are not available; additional information is being collected. State candidate species are those that WDFW will review for possible listing as endangered, threatened, or sensitive. Federal candidate species are examined individually to determine their status in Washington and whether inclusion as a priority species is appropriate or warranted.

Canopy - The continuous cover of branches and foliage formed collectively by the crowns of adjacent trees and other woody growth. See also "Understory canopy" and "Overstory canopy."

Canopy closure - The degree to which the canopy (forest layers above one's head) blocks sunlight or obscures the sky. See also "Relative density."

Capable habitat, spotted owls - An area that is capable of supporting a spotted owl pair because of the abundance and distribution of forest stands that are suitable as habitat for spotted owls. Based on radio-telemetry studies, the minimum conditions for capable habitat on the Olympic Peninsula are 5,700 acres of owl habitat within a 2.7-mile radius circle. See also "Suitable habitat, spotted owls."

Class A, AA water - See "Water quality classifications."

Class 1 observation - A wildlife species observation confirmed by a biologist. The observation may be visual or vocal, and/or include a carcass, tracks, hair, dig or food cache.

Class IV-Special - A Washington forest practices class; forest practices which require an environmental checklist in compliance with SEPA, as they have been determined to have potential for a substantial impact on the environment.

Clearcut - A harvest method in which all or almost all of the trees are removed in one cutting; an even-aged silvicultural system. Clearcutting establishes a stand without protection from an overstory canopy.

Climax - The culminating, highly stable stage in plant succession for a given environment; an ecosystem will stay at the climax stage until disturbance affects the ecosystem and the stages of ecological succession begin again.

Closed-canopy forest - Coniferous forests between 40 and 70 years of age. Also called closed forest; a forest habitat description for DNR-managed forest lands (used in DEIS Section 4.5.4).

Cluster - An area that contains habitat capable of supporting three or more breeding pairs of spotted owls with overlapping or nearly overlapping home ranges.

Coarse woody debris - See "Large woody debris."

Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) - A codification of the general and permanent rules published in the Federal Register by the executive departments and agencies of the federal government.

Commercial thinning - The removal of generally merchantable trees from an even-aged stand, so that the remaining trees can develop faster and with less competition.

Congressionally Reserved Areas - Areas that require congressional enactment for their establishment, such as national parks, wild and scenic rivers, national recreation areas, national monuments, and wilderness. They are also referred to as Congressional Reserves (USDA and USDI 1994a) and Congressionally Withdrawn Areas (FEMAT 1993).

Conservation zones - See "Marbled murrelet conservation zones."

Contiguous forested area, marbled murrelets - A method DNR has proposed to determine which adjoining acres would be included in a suitable habitat block.

Contiguous habitat block, marbled murrelets - An area of forest containing structures forming a suitable habitat block for the murrelet, which might consist of all or parts of several stands. See "Suitable habitat block, marbled murrelets."

Critical habitat, federal - Areas designated under the federal Endangered Species Act that have the physical and biological features necessary for the conservation of a listed species, or which require special management considerations or protection.

Critical habitat, state - Habitats of threatened or endangered species as designated by the Washington Forest Practices Board.

Debris avalanches - The very rapid and usually sudden sliding and flowage of incoherent, unsorted mixtures of soil and weathered bedrock.

Debris flow - A moving mass of rock fragments, soil, and mud, more than half the particles being larger than sand size; can travel many miles down steep confined mountain channels; a form of debris torrent.

Debris torrent - Debris flow or dam-break flood. Rapid movement of a large quantity of materials, including wood and sediment, down a stream channel. Usually occurs in smaller streams during storms or floods, and scours the stream bed.

Demographic support - The reproductive contributions of individuals which enhance population viability.

Dense pole forest - A forest in the early stages of stem exclusion. The lower limit of the canopy begins to raise as self-pruning of branches occurs. Little understory exists. Stems are closely spaced and numerous. A forest habitat description for DNR-managed forest lands (used in DEIS Section 4.5.4).

Designated Conservation Area (DCA) - A contiguous area of habitat to be managed and conserved for spotted owls under the federal Final Draft Recovery Plan for the Northern Spotted Owl.

Detection - The unit of measure for marbled murrelet surveys; the sighting or hearing of one or more birds acting in a similar manner.

Diameter at breast height (dbh) - The diameter of a tree, measured 4.5 feet above the ground on the uphill side of the tree.

Direct influence zone - The area in uplands, bordering the riparian zone, that has a direct influence on aquatic ecosystems. Direct influences include shading, sedimentation, input of organic nutrients, and recruitment of large woody debris.

Dispersal - The movement of juvenile, subadult, and adult animals from one sub-population to another. For juvenile spotted owls, dispersal is the process of leaving the natal territory to establish a new territory.

Dispersal habitat, spotted owls (east-side planning units) - In the HCP, dispersal habitat has the following characteristics: (1) canopy closure of at least 50 percent; (2) overstory tree density of at least 40 trees per acre that are at least 11 inches dbh; (3) top

height of at least 60 feet; (4) retention of four green trees per acre from the largest size class present for recruitment of snags and cavity trees; and (5) at least 50 percent of DNR-managed lands designated for dispersal function on a quarter township basis will be maintained in these stand conditions.

Dispersal habitat, spotted owls (west-side planning units) - Habitat used by juvenile owls or by owls of any age to disperse or move from one area of nesting-roosting-foraging habitat to another. In the HCP, dispersal habitat will be maintained on 50 percent of lands selected for a dispersal habitat role. The 50 percent will be measured on a WAU basis. In the HCP, dispersal habitat has the following minimum characteristics: (1) canopy cover of at least 70 percent; (2) the largest trees in a stand should have a quadratic mean dbh of 11 inches; (3) a top canopy height of at least 85 feet (top height is the average height of the 40 largest diameter trees per acre); and (4) green tree retention of at least four trees from the largest size class per acre. Type A, Type B, and sub-mature habitat can be counted as dispersal habitat.

Dispersal management areas - Lands identified in the HCP that will be managed to provide dispersal habitat for the spotted owl. In the discussion of the owl strategies, also referred to as designated dispersal areas and dispersal habitat areas.

Distance bands, marbled murrelets - Bands used in surveys as part of DNR's marbled murrelet forest habitat relationship studies. The bands are divided into Near, Mid, and Far. Band width is based on the distribution of DNR-managed lands from marine waters; each band contains one-third of the land within the planning unit. See also "Habitat classes, marbled murrelets."

Distance from marine waters - As used in the HCP to determine suitable habitat blocks for the marbled murrelet, distance from marine waters is measured from the Pacific coast, from Puget Sound, or from Rice Island (located in the Columbia River upstream from the Astoria bridge), whichever is closest.

Diversity - See "Biological diversity."

Down woody debris - See "Large woody debris."

Draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) - A public document prepared pursuant to the State or National Environmental Policy Acts (SEPA or NEPA).

E - Juvenile emigration of spotted owls. Used to correct estimates of juvenile survival probabilities (survivorship). Estimates for this parameter are taken from Burnham et al. (1994).

$\mathbf{E_D}$ - The rate of emigration from DNR-managed lands to federal reserves. This parameter is used in the qualitative evaluation of demographic support.

E_o - The rate of emigration from "other" lands to federal reserves. This parameter appears in the conceptual model used for the qualitative evaluation of demographic support.

Early herb and shrub stage - See "Herb and shrub stage."

Early seral stage - Forest development classification that corresponds with: (1) closed sapling-pole, small sawtimber condition (Brown 1985); (2) young forest (Spies and Franklin 1991); and (3) stand initiation stage, stem exclusion stage (Oliver 1981).

Earthflow - A mass-movement landform and process characterized by downslope translation of soil and weathered rock over a discrete basal shear surface (landslide) within well-defined lateral boundaries.

Ecosystem - See "Forest ecosystem."

Edge - An abrupt change between adjacent plant communities, successional stages, or vegetative conditions.

Edge effects - The modified environmental conditions along the margins, or "edges," of forest patches.

Effectiveness monitoring - Monitoring done to determine whether the HCP conservation strategies result in the anticipated habitat conditions.

Enabling Act - The Congressional Enabling Act of 1889, which authorized statehood for Washington. The act provided the state with federal grant lands to be held in trust for the support of the state's public institutions and placed limits on the sale, lease, and management of these lands.

Endangered species - A federal and state designation. A species determined to be in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

Endangered Species Act (ESA) - The federal Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, sets up processes by which plant or animal species can be designated as threatened or endangered. Two federal agencies, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service, administer the act. Once species are listed, the act also provides that these agencies develop recovery plans for these species, including conserving the ecosystems on which listed species depend.

Environmental impact statement (EIS) - A document prepared under the National or State Environmental Policy Acts to assess the effects that a particular action will have on the environment.

Environmental uncertainty - Unpredictable changes in environmental conditions. Such events include changes in weather conditions, food supply, populations of predators or competitors, and habitat distribution.

Evapotranspiration - The conversion of water, whether open or as soil moisture (both by evaporation) or within plants (by transpiration), into water vapor that is released to the atmosphere.

Even-aged - A system of forest management in which stands are produced or maintained with relatively minor differences in age; generally, less than a 10-year difference in age.

Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESU) - A population that is substantially reproductively isolated from other population units of the same species, and represents an important component in the evolutionary legacy of the species.

Exterior riparian buffer - A buffer whose purpose is to protect the integrity of the interior-core buffer; part of the OESF riparian strategy. See also "Buffer."

Extirpation - The elimination of a species from a particular area.

Federally listed - Species formally listed as a threatened or endangered species under the federal Endangered Species Act; designations are made by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or National Marine Fisheries Service.

Federal Reanalysis Team - A group of six federal scientists assembled to review existing data and develop a population model to estimate the importance of contributions of varying amounts of habitat from nonfederal lands to the long-term existence of a spotted owl population on the Olympic Peninsula. Cited in this document as (Holthausen et al. 1994).

Federal reserves - Federal lands that have been, or are proposed to be, withdrawn from acreage used for timber yields. These include Congressionally Reserved Areas such as national parks, wild and scenic rivers, national recreation areas, national monuments, and wilderness; Late-Successional Reserves, Riparian Reserves, Administratively Withdrawn Areas, Research Natural Areas, Special Recreation Management Areas, etc.

50-11-40 guideline - The Interagency Scientific Committee's (Thomas et al. 1990) recommendation that forested federal lands between designated Habitat Conservation Areas be managed such that 50 percent of every quarter township have forest stands in which trees have an average dbh of 11 inches and at least a 40 percent canopy closure.

Foraging habitat - Environment or plant community for which a species exhibits a preference for foraging. For spotted owls, foraging habitat is associated with healthy prey populations of small forest floor mammals and northern flying squirrels.

Forest ecosystem - The interrelationships between the various trees and other organisms (both plants and animals) that form a community; and the interrelationships between these organisms and the physical environment in which they exist.

Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team (FEMAT) - A team organized by the federal government in 1993 to develop a management plan for federal lands within the range of the northern spotted owl.

Forest Practices Act - A Washington State statute establishing minimum standards for forest practices and providing for necessary administrative procedures, rules, and regulations applicable to activities conducted on or pertaining to forests on both state-managed and private lands.

Forest Practices Board - A Washington State board created to write forest practices regulations which are administered and enforced by the Washington Department of Natural Resources.

Forest Practices RMZs - See "Riparian Management Zones (RMZs)."

Forest Resource Plan (FRP) - DNR's Forest Land Management Division's 1992 final policy plan, containing the current policies of the Board of Natural Resources.

Forest stand - See "Stand."

(4)d special rule - See "Proposed (4)d special rule."

Fragmentation - The spatial arrangement of successional stages across the landscape as the result of disturbance; often used to refer specifically to the process of reducing the size and connectivity of late-successional or old-growth forests.

Fully functional forest - Fully functional, older forest is forest older than 150 years; a subset of structurally complex forest. A forest habitat designation for DNR-managed forest lands (used in DEIS Section 4.5.4).

Geographic information system (GIS) - A computer system that stores and manipulates spatial data, and can produce a variety of maps and analyses. DNR's GIS is able to: (1) assign information and attributes to polygons and lines, which represent relationships on the ground; and (2) update and retrieve inventory, mapping, and statistical information. DNR uses its GIS as one of several tools for setting landscape-level planning objectives.

Geomorphic processes - Landscape-modifying processes such as surface erosion, mass wasting, and stream flow.

Green tree retention - A stand management practice in which live trees are left within harvest units to provide habitat components.

Habitat classes, marbled murrelets - Designations in DNR's marbled murrelet forest habitat relationship studies. Distance bands are further subdivided into three habitat classes: (1) old forest habitat (more than 120 years old) with an average density of at least two suitable nesting platforms per acre; (2) young forest habitat (sub-mature, less

than 120 years old) with an average density of at least two suitable nesting platforms per acre; and (3) young forest habitat (sub-mature, less than 120 years old) with at least one suitable nesting platform per acre. See also "Distance bands, marbled murrelets."

Habitat complexity - As defined in the HCP OESF riparian conservation strategy, habitat complexity includes: (1) variations in stream flow velocity and depth by structural obstructions to channel flow; (2) physical and biological interactions between a channel and its flood plain; (3) aquatic and riparian structures that provide cover from predators; (4) a variety of stream substrates that include gravel for fish spawning and macroinvertebrate habitat; (5) sufficient storage area within channels and flood plains for sediment and organic matter; and (6) diversity of riparian vegetation that provides adequate sources of woody debris and nutrients to channels, and that moderates water and air temperatures within the riparian corridor.

Habitat Conservation Area - As proposed by the federal Interagency Scientific Committee (Thomas et al. 1990), a contiguous block of habitat to be managed and conserved for breeding pairs, connectivity, and distribution of owls. Application may vary throughout its range according to local conditions.

Habitat conservation plan (HCP) - An implementable program for the long-term protection and benefit of a species in a defined area; required as part of a section 10 incidental take permit application under the federal Endangered Species Act.

Habitat diversity - See "Biological diversity."

Habitat preference - The choice of habitat(s) that an animal would make if all habitat types were available to it.

Habitat selection - The choice of habitat(s) directly available to an animal.

Habitat types, spotted owls - See "Spotted owl habitat types."

Harass - A form of take under the federal ESA; defined in federal regulations as an intentional or negligent act or omission which creates the likelihood of injury to wildlife by annoying it to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavioral patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding, or sheltering (50 C.F.R. § 17.3 (1994)).

Harm - A form of take under the federal ESA; defined in federal regulations as an act which actually kills or injures wildlife. Such acts may include significant habitat modification or degradation where it actually kills wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, or sheltering (50 C.F.R. § 17.3 (1994)).

Herb and shrub stage - Forest development classification that corresponds with: (1) grass-forb, shrub, and open sapling-pole conditions (Brown 1985); and, (2) stand initiation stage (Oliver 1981).

High quality nesting habitat, spotted owls (east-side planning units) - An interim definition developed in the HCP, to be applied as an average condition over a 300-acre nesting habitat patch. High quality nesting habitat consists of sub-mature, mature, and old-growth forest types. Sub-mature habitat is the minimum standard for nesting habitat. This corresponds with spotted owl Type A habitat (east of Cascade crest). See "Spotted owl habitat types."

High quality nesting habitat, spotted owls (west-side planning units) - An interim definition developed in the HCP, to be applied as an average condition over a 300-acre nesting habitat patch. High quality nesting habitat consists of: (1) at least 31 trees per acre greater than or equal to 21 inches dbh per acre; (2) at least three trees from the above group of 31 trees have broken tops; (3) at least 12 snags per acre greater than 21 inches dbh; (4) a minimum of 70 percent canopy closure; and (5) a minimum of 5 percent ground cover of large down woody debris.

Home range - The area used by a species and to which it exhibits fidelity. There is much geographic variation in spotted owl home range size. The median home range (determined by USFWS radio-telemetry data) is a circle 1.8 miles in radius east of the I-5 corridor, or a circle 2.7 miles in radius west of the I-5 corridor. The median home range radius (determined by Hanson et al. 1993) is 2.0 miles in the western Washington Cascades and 2.7 miles in the western Washington lowlands and Olympic Peninsula. (See Chapter III of the HCP for more discussion.)

Hydrologic analysis unit (HAU) - Subdivisions of the watershed administrative unit (WAU) used in the hydrology module of the Washington Forest Practices Board's watershed analysis manual.

Hydrologic maturity - The degree to which hydrologic processes (e.g., interception, evapotranspiration, snow accumulation, snowmelt, infiltration, runoff) and outputs (e.g., water yield and peak discharge) in a particular forest stand approach those expected in a late seral stand under the same climatic and site conditions. In DNR's HCP, a "hydrologically mature forest," with respect to rain-on-snow runoff, is a well-stocked conifer stand at age 25 years or older.

I_D - The rate of immigration to DNR-managed lands from federal reserves. This parameter is used in the qualitative evaluation of demographic support.

I_O - The rate of immigration to "other" lands from federal reserves. This parameter appears in the conceptual model used for the qualitative evaluation of demographic support.

Identifiable channel - A channel with well-defined and measurable banks where vegetative ground cover has been disturbed and sediment is exposed.

Implementation Agreement (IA) - A part of the application for an incidental take permit, which specifies the terms and conditions, resources, schedule of activities, and expectations to the parties of the agreement.

Implementation monitoring - Monitoring done to determine whether the HCP conservation strategies are implemented as written.

Incidental take - The taking of a federally listed wildlife species, if the taking is incidental to, and not the purpose of, carrying out otherwise lawful activities. See also "Take."

Incidental take permit - Permit issued by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to a nonfederal entity (state, tribe, private landowner), that allows incidental take of a threatened or endangered species; permit also requires permittee to carry out specified actions that minimize and mitigate the incidental take.

Interagency Scientific Committee - The U.S. Interagency Scientific Committee to address the conservation of the Northern Spotted Owl; cited in this document as (Thomas et al. 1990).

Interception - In hydrology, the rainfall and snowfall caught in the forest canopy.

Interim conservation areas - In DNR's proposed OESF Zoned Forest alternative, areas designated as high priority areas, approximated by current owl circles, for interim conservation of habitat until threshold populations are attained in the owl zones. Interim conservation areas and owl zones are integral concepts in the Zoned Forest alternative.

Interior-core riparian buffer - Streamside buffer in the HCP OESF riparian strategy; minimizes disturbance of unstable channel banks and adjacent hillslopes, and protects and aids natural restoration of riparian processes and functions. See also "Buffer."

Interior forest - Structurally complex forest greater than 70 years old, which is a sufficient distance (100-300 feet) from the edge of younger stands or nonforested areas so as to maintain conditions which are characteristic of nonfragmented forests. A subset of structurally complex forest; a forest habitat description for DNR-managed forest lands (used in DEIS Section 4.5.4).

Lambda (λ) - The finite rate of population change, or annual population growth rate. Defined mathematically as population size at year n divided by population size at year n minus one.

λ_D - The finite range of population change for the owls on DNR-managed lands. Assumed to be less than one. This parameter is used in the qualitative evaluation of demographic support.

λ_F - The finite rate of population change for the owls on federal reserves. Assumed to be greater than one. This parameter is used in the qualitative evaluation of demographic support.

Landsat Thematic Mapper - A satellite-borne sensor capable of recording reflected and emitted energy from the surface of the earth in seven "bands" or divisions of the visible and infrared spectrum.

Landscape - Large regional units of lands that are viewed as a mosaic of communities, or a unit of land with separate plant communities or ecosystems forming ecological units with distinguishable structure, function, geomorphology, and disturbance regimes. In the HCP, a landscape is defined as a large area comprised of various interacting patterns of stand structure and function going through alterations over time.

Landscape assessment - In DNR's proposed HCP, any method used to field verify the amount of habitat in WAUs on DNR-managed lands.

Landscape-level planning - The process of planning across a larger area than stand-by-stand.

Landscape planning - The process of planning for a specified landscape by setting specific objectives for a given area, such as protection of wildlife and timber production.

Landscape planning unit (LPU) - Landscape-level planning units used by DNR's Olympic Region to identify 11 watershed-based units within the Olympic Experimental State Forest.

Landslide - Any mass movement process characterized by downslope transport of soil and rock, under gravitational stress, by sliding over a discrete failure surface; or the resultant landform. In forested watersheds, landsliding typically occurs when local changes in the soil pore water pressure increase to a degree that the friction between soil particles is inadequate to bind them together.

Large organic debris (LOD) - See "Large woody debris."

Large saw - Large sawtimber. The OESF GIS forest classification for large saw is: dominant dbh 20-30 inches; more than 10 dominant trees/acre of this size; co-dominant trees are 14 inches dbh or greater; two or three canopy layers more closed than old growth; small snags present with sparse or no large snags; few large down logs.

Large woody debris - Large pieces of wood in stream channels or on the ground–includes logs, pieces of logs, and large chunks of wood; provides streambed stability and/or habitat complexity. Also called coarse woody debris or down woody debris. Large organic debris is large woody debris, but may contain additional nonwoody debris, such as animal carcasses.

Late seral stage - See "Late-successional forest."

Late-successional forest - A mature and/or old-growth forest stand. Also called late seral-stage forest. Typical characteristics are moderate to high canopy closure, a multi-layered, multispecies canopy dominated by large overstory trees, numerous large snags,

and abundant large woody debris (such as fallen trees) on the ground. Typically, stands 80-120 years old are entering this stage.

Late-Successional Reserve (LSR) - A type of reserve on federal lands proposed by FEMAT, encompassing old forest stands.

Layered - A transitional forest structure, when second-growth is being manipulated to create old-growth features; there is greater structural diversity than understory and somewhat less than with classic old growth.

Leeward - In this document, the side of a stream opposite that from which the wind blows.

Listed wildlife species - Species formally listed as endangered, threatened, or sensitive by a federal (USFWS or NMFS) or state (WDFW) agency.

Lithosol - A type of soil characterized by shallow depth to bedrock and imperfect weathering; usually develops on steep slopes in mountainous areas.

Low-harvest area - As defined for the HCP's west-side planning units, the outermost portion of the riparian buffer, more than 100 feet from the active channel margin.

Low order streams - Small streams with very few tributaries; often are headwaters. Type 4 and 5 Waters are low order streams.

Maintenance and enhancement phase - In the HCP OESF strategy, the remainder of the permit period following the restoration of threshold amounts of total spotted owl habitat (40 percent) in all landscape planning units. This phase follows the restoration phase.

Maintenance of species distribution - Supporting the continued presence of a species' population in as much of its historic range as possible.

Marbled murrelet - A Pacific seabird that nests in mature or old-growth forests within 50 miles of marine environments; listed as a threatened species by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Washington State.

Marbled murrelet conservation zones - Murrelet distribution zones described in the Marbled Murrelet Recovery Team (1995) draft recovery plan: there are six zones identified throughout a three state area; Zone 1 is the Puget Sound Zone and Zone 2 is the Western Washington Coast Range Zone.

Marbled murrelet habitat - For marbled murrelets, potential habitat is coniferous forests within 50 miles of the coast; old growth regardless of stand size; mature forests (80-200 year old stands) with or without an old-growth component; young stands with remnant old growth or mature trees greater than 32 inches in diameter; young (70-80 years) coniferous forests that have deformities that result in structures suitable for nesting.

Marbled murrelet habitat requires structural features such as large residual trees, large limbs, and nesting platforms. See "Occupied Stand Approach" for the Washington Forest Practices Board's definition.

Marbled murrelet nesting habitat, Alternative A - See "Occupied Stand Approach."

Marbled murrelet nesting habitat, Alternative B - An interim definition from HCP Alternative B. Suitable habitat blocks are contiguous forested areas that are: (1) at least 5 acres in size; (2) contain an average of at least two potential nesting platforms per acre; and (3) are within 50 miles of marine waters.

Marbled murrelet zone 1 - A 10-40 mile wide zone adjacent to marine areas in which the majority of marbled murrelet detections and nests are located; defined in the FEMAT report.

Marbled murrelet zone 2 - An inland zone that abuts marbled murrelet zone 1. Numbers of murrelet detections in zone 2 indicate that it is used by only a small fraction of the breeding population; defined in the FEMAT report.

Mass wasting - Dislodgment and downslope transport of soil and rock under the direct application of gravitational stress.

Matrix - As proposed by FEMAT, the matrix is the area of federal lands where most timber harvest will occur, in the areas outside of the Late-Successional Reserves and Riparian Reserves.

Mature stand - The period of life in a forest stand from culmination of mean annual increment to an old-growth stage or to 200 years. This is a time of gradually increasing stand diversity. Hiding cover, thermal cover, and some forage may be present. See also "Mid-seral stage."

Metapopulation - Several sub-populations linked together by immigration and emigration. Metapopulation dynamics are influenced by the relationships between source and sink habitats and source and sink sub-populations.

Mid-seral stage - Forest development classification that corresponds with: (1) large sawtimber condition (Brown 1985); (2) mature forest (Spies and Franklin 1991); and (3) understory reinitiation stage (Oliver 1981). Age of dominant trees is 80-195 years (Spies and Franklin 1991); due to stand density, brush, grass, or herbs decrease in the stand. Hiding cover may be present.

Minimal-harvest area - As defined for the HCP's west-side planning units, the part of the riparian buffer outside of the no-harvest area; the next 75 feet from the active channel, and inside the low-harvest area (25-100 feet from the stream).

Mitigation - Methods of reducing adverse impacts of a project, by: (1) limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation; (2) rectifying the impact by

repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment; (3) reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action; or (4) compensating for the impact by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments.

Monitor species - A state designation. Wildlife species native to the state of Washington that: (1) were at one time classified as endangered, threatened, or sensitive; (2) require habitat that has limited availability during some portion of its life cycle; (3) are indicators of environmental quality; (4) require further field investigations to determine population status; (5) have unresolved taxonomy which may bear upon their status classification; (6) may be competing with and impacting other species of concern; or (7) have significant popular appeal.

n - The sample size; the number of observations or individuals in a scientific study.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) - This law is the basic national charter for protection of the environment. NEPA requires all federal agencies to consider and analyze all significant environmental impacts of any action proposed by those agencies; to inform and involve the public in the agency's decision-making process; and to consider the environmental impacts in the agency's decision-making process.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) - The federal agency that is the listing authority for marine mammals and anadromous fish under the federal Endangered Species Act.

Natural Area Preserve (NAP) - In Washington State, a natural area which has been so dedicated under the provisions of state law, or formally committed to protection by a cooperative agreement between a government landholder and the Department of Natural Resources.

Natural catastrophes - Extreme forms of environmental destruction that usually occur on a large scale, have widespread impacts, but are short in duration.

Natural Heritage Program - A DNR program that identifies, selects and nominates outstanding natural areas in Washington; also, oversees state listing of plants.

Natural Resources Conservation Area (NRCA) - Washington State lands designated by the legislature to protect special scenic and/or ecological values.

Nest patches - Patches of old forest with a high degree of structural complexity (i.e., forest types known to support nesting spotted owls) that will be retained in an unmanaged state during the research phase of the HCP; part of the west-side NRF management strategy.

Nesting platform, marbled murrelets - Any large limb or other structure at least 50 feet above ground and at least 7 inches in diameter. In the HCP, platforms are counted in conifer trees only, and only if located within the live crown.

Nesting, roosting, and foraging habitat (NRF) - Habitat with the forest structure, sufficient area, and adequate food source to meet the needs of a nesting pair of spotted owls. The forest structure is stands at least 70 years old with a three-layer canopy, that include very large diameter (200+ years) trees from the previous stand, large diameter (70+ years) trees, and small understory trees, along with snags and large down woody debris.

No-harvest area - As defined for the HCP's west-side planning units, the 25 feet of the riparian buffer closest to the stream.

Northern spotted owl - A medium-size dark brown owl that has round to elliptical white spots on the head, white mottling on the body and abdomen, and white bars on the tail; native to the Pacific coastal region. Federally listed as a threatened species, and listed as endangered by Washington State.

NRF management areas - Lands identified in the HCP that will be managed to provide demographic support and contribute to maintaining species distribution for the spotted owl. In the discussions of the owl strategies in the DEIS, also referred to as NRF areas, designated NRF areas, and DNR NRF areas.

Occupancy, marbled murrelets - A portion of a survey area where at least one of the following occurs, indicating potential occupying of the site by marbled murrelets: (1) discovery of an active nest or a recent nest site as evidenced by a fecal ring or eggshell fragments; (2) discovery of a chick or eggshell fragments on the forest floor; (3) birds flying below, through, into, or out of the forest canopy within or adjacent to a stand; (4) birds perching, landing, or attempting to land on branches; (5) birds calling from a stationary location within the stands; or (6) birds flying in small or large radius circles above the forest canopy.

Occupied Stand Approach - The definition used in the HCP No Action alternative. The Washington Forest Practices Board's definition of suitable marbled murrelet habitat as defined by the marbled murrelet emergency rule alternative (WAC 222-16-010). Suitable marbled murrelet habitat is a contiguous forested area: (1) within 40 miles of marine waters; (2) containing at least eight trees per acre greater than or equal to 32 inches dbh; (3) at least 40 percent of the trees greater than or equal to 32 inches dbh are Douglas-fir, western hemlock, western redcedar, or Sitka spruce (low-elevation tree species); and (4) containing at least two nesting platforms per acre. Nesting platforms shall include any horizontal limb, tree structure, or deformity greater than or equal to 7 inches in diameter and 50 feet or more in height above the ground.

Off-base - A DNR classification for lands and timber resources that are unavailable for harvest.

Old forest habitat, marbled murrelets - See "Habitat classes, marbled murrelets."

Old forest habitat, spotted owls - In the HCP, for the east-side planning units, this

corresponds with Type A habitat; for the west-side planning units, this corresponds with Types A and B habitat (defined under "Spotted owl habitat types.")

Old-growth forest - A successional stage after maturity that may or may not include climax old-growth species; the final seral stage. Typically, it contains trees greater than 200 years old. Stands containing Douglas-fir older than 160 years which are past full maturity and starting to deteriorate may be classified as old growth. The OESF GIS forest classification for old growth is: a dominant dbh of 30 inches or greater; usually more than eight dominant trees/acre; three or more canopy layers with less than complete canopy closure; several snags/acre with a 20 inch dbh or greater; and, several down logs/acre with a 24 inch dbh or greater.

Older forest - See "Fully functional forest."

Olympic Experimental State Forest (OESF, the Experimental Forest) - A DNR planning unit on the Olympic Peninsula, which has unique potential for research and experiments involving forestry, wildlife, and related disciplines; an integral part of DNR's proposed HCP.

Open forest stage - The earliest of the seral stages, or forest age 0-10 years. The overstory has been removed and herbs and low shrubs dominate the vegetation. A forest habitat description for DNR-managed forest lands (used in DEIS Section 4.5.4).

Open multi-aged stands - East-side forest stands with multispecies or ponderosa pine that are relatively open and contain overstory trees with a canopy which has been elevated by self-pruning and contains younger trees at various ages of development; often a result of uneven-aged management. A forest habitat description for DNR-managed forest lands (used in DEIS Section 4.5.4).

Orographic - Pertaining to mountains, especially in regard to their location, distribution, and accompanying phenomenon; also, said of the precipitation that results when moisture-laden air encounters a high barrier and is forced to rise over it, such as the precipitation on the windward slopes of a mountain range facing a steady wind from a warm ocean.

Overstory canopy - The uppermost forest canopy layer. See also "Canopy" and "Understory canopy."

Owl circle - A radius that approximates the median spotted owl home range size. See also "Home range."

Owl site - Any site where there has been a recent or historic observation of a single spotted owl or a pair of owls.

Owl zones - In the HCP OESF Zoned Forest alternative, owl zones are areas that have been delineated for the retention and restoration of owl habitat until threshold proportions are attained (predicted to be in 40-60 years). See also "Interim conservation areas."

P - Probability value.

Partial cutting - Removal of selected trees from a forest stand, leaving an uneven-aged stand of well-distributed residual, healthy trees. Also called uneven-aged management.

Patch - See "Nest patches."

Phenology - Annual schedule or timing at which various stages of development are achieved such as changes with the seasons.

Phi (ϕ) - The "apparent" probability that juvenile female owls would survive one-year, based on re-observation of marked birds.

Physiographic province - A region of which all parts are similar in geologic structure and climate and which consequently had a unified geomorphic history; a region whose pattern of relief features or landforms differs significantly from that of adjacent regions.

Planning unit - DNR-identified land units that include both DNR-managed lands and lands of other landowners/managers. Planning units are grouped into three areas for the purpose of implementing the HCP: the Olympic Experimental State Forest, five west-side planning units, and three east-side planning units. The nine planning units in the HCP area are: Olympic Experimental State Forest, South Coast, North Coast, Columbia, Straits, South Puget, Chelan, Yakima, and Klickitat.

Pole - A pole tree is any considerable length of round timber before saw log size, ready for use without further conversion. The OESF GIS classification for a pole stand is: dominant dbh 10-14 inches; one canopy layer; and little or no down dead woody debris.

Population dynamics - How populations and the environment interact to cause changes in a population over time.

Population viability analysis - Using population dynamics to analyze how large a population needs to be and how its habitat needs to be distributed across landscapes to persist over time. See also "Viable population."

Precommercial thinning - Cutting trees at an immature age to allow for better growth of the remaining trees; may include removal of excess and/or diseased trees in the 10-35 year class.

President's Forest Plan - In April 1993, President Clinton convened a conference in Portland, Oregon, in order to resolve conflicts over management of late-successional forest ecosystems on federal lands within the range of the northern spotted owl. As a result of the conference, the Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team (FEMAT) was convened to develop a set of options for managing federal forests within the range of the owl in Washington, Oregon, and northern California. These options were analyzed in a NEPA environmental impact statement process, and a final plan was adopted by the U.S. departments of Agriculture and Interior in April 1994. This final plan is referred to

as the President's Northwest Forest Plan, the Northwest Forest Plan, and the President's Forest Plan.

Priority habitat - As defined by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, priority habitat is a habitat type with unique or significant value to many species. It must have one or more of the following attributes: (1) comparatively high fish and wildlife density; (2) comparatively high fish and wildlife species diversity; (3) important fish and wildlife breeding habitat; (4) important fish and wildlife seasonal ranges; (5) important fish and wildlife movement corridors; (6) limited availability; (7) high vulnerability to habitat alteration, and/or (8) unique or dependent species. A priority habitat may be described by a unique vegetation type (e.g., oak woodlands) or by a dominant plant species that is of primary importance to fish and wildlife. A priority habitat may also be described by a successional stage (e.g., old-growth and mature forests). Alternatively, a priority habitat may consist of a specific habitat element (e.g., talus slopes, caves, snags) that is of key value to fish and wildlife. A priority habitat may contain priority and/or non-priority fish and wildlife species.

Priority species - As defined by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, priority species are fish and wildlife species requiring protective measures and/or management guidelines to ensure their perpetuation.

Proposed (4)d special rule - Refers to section (4)d of the federal Endangered Species Act. Pursuant to section (4)d, special rules may be promulgated with respect to a particular federally listed species. Such special rules may permit incidental take so long as they meet the conservation needs of the listed species.

Proposed threatened or endangered species - Species proposed by the USFWS or NMFS for listing as threatened or endangered under the federal Endangered Species Act; not a final designation.

Protected species - A state designation. Protected wildlife includes all birds not classified as game birds, predatory birds, or endangered species designated by the Washington Fish and Wildlife Commission, that shall not be hunted or fished. Protected species are listed in WAC 232-12-011.

R - Linear regression coefficient.

Rain-on-snow zone - Area, generally defined as an elevation zone, where it is common for snowpacks to be partially or completely melted during rainstorms.

Recovery plan - A plan developed by a government agency, that if implemented will result in the recovery of a threatened or endangered species to the extent that the species can be delisted from threatened or endangered status.

Regeneration forest - Forests which are 10-20 years old and are composed of shrubs and saplings. A forest habitat description for DNR-managed forest lands (used in DEIS Section 4.5.4).

Regulatory circles - See "Spotted owl regulatory circles."

Relative density (RD) - The basal area of a stand divided by the square root of the quadratic mean dbh of the stand. In the HCP, when canopy closure is used in a habitat definition, RD will be used as a measurement if and when DNR has established a correlation between RD and canopy closure in spotted owl habitats for its trust lands.

Reserves - See "Federal reserves."

Resident single - An unpaired spotted owl that has an established home range; a resident single may be part of a pair whose mate was not detected during surveys. Also called a territorial single.

Restoration phase - In the HCP OESF strategy, the 40-60 year period during which existing young stands are developing the characteristics of young-forest marginal and sub-mature habitat.

Revised Code of Washington (RCW) - A revised, consolidated, and codified form and arrangement all the laws of the state of a general and permanent nature.

Riparian area - Areas of land directly influenced by water or that influence water. Riparian areas usually have visible vegetative or physical characteristics reflecting the influence of water. Riversides and lake borders are typical riparian areas.

Riparian buffer - As defined for the HCP's west-side planning units, the inner buffer of the riparian management zone that serves to protect salmonid habitat. See also "Riparian management zone."

Riparian ecosystem - In DNR's proposed HCP, the area of direct interaction between terrestrial and aquatic environments.

Riparian management zone - As defined in DNR's Forest Resource Plan (1992) Policy No. 20, and in the HCP, an area consisting of an inner riparian buffer and an outer wind buffer. The riparian buffer serves to protect salmonid habitat; the wind buffer protects the riparian buffer. This policy expands the level of protection required under the current Washington Forest Practices Act. It authorizes DNR to establish riparian management zones along Type 1 through 4 Waters and, when necessary, along Type 5 Waters. DNR may remove timber from riparian management zones only when adequate protection can be provided to fish and other nontimber resources. These riparian management zones apply to the west-side planning units within the HCP area.

Riparian management zone - DNR's Forest Resource Plan (1992) Policy No. 20 authorizes DNR to establish riparian management zones along Type 1 through 4 Waters and, when necessary, along Type 5 Waters. This expands the level of protection required under the current Washington Forest Practices Act. The HCP proposes a zone consisting of an inner riparian buffer and an outer wind buffer. The riparian buffer functions to protect salmonid habitat; the wind buffer protects the riparian buffer. Harvest can occur

within the buffers as long as management activities support these functions and are consistent with the conservation objectives. The riparian management zones as described in the HCP apply to the west-side planning units.

Riparian Reserves - A type of federal reserve proposed by FEMAT, consisting of protected forest zones along rivers, streams, lakes, and wetlands; the Riparian Reserve would act as a buffer between water resources and timber harvest.

Riparian zone - A narrow band of moist soils and distinctive vegetation along the banks of lakes, rivers, and streams; in the HCP, the portion of the riparian ecosystem between the aquatic zone and the direct influence zone (uplands).

River mile - A statute mile as measured along the center line of a river. River miles are measured from the mouth of the river, or are discrete measures of distance (i.e., a distance of 2-4 river miles).

Roosting habitat - For spotted owls, roosting habitat is associated with the presence of potential perches at various vertical positions throughout the forest canopy.

S - The "true" probability that juvenile female owls would survive one-year, based on re-observation of marked birds and accounting for the rate at which juvenile female owls emigrate from the study area or to areas within the study area that are inaccessible to normal re-observation techniques.

Salmonids - Fish species belonging to the family Salmonidae, including trout, salmon, char, and whitefish species.

Salvage timber sale program (Salvage rider) - Forests slated for protection under the President's Forest Plan that have been authorized for harvest under an emergency two-year salvage timber sale program (Pub. L. No. 104-19, 109 Stat. 240 (1995)).

Sapling - A young tree no longer a seedling but not yet a pole. The OESF GIS classification for sapling is: approximately 2-5 inches dbh.

Sap-pole - See "Sapling" and "Pole."

Sawtimber - Trees big enough to yield saw logs. See also "Small saw" and "Large saw."

Scoping - Determining the range of proposed actions, alternatives, and impacts to be discussed in an EIS (WAC 197-11-793).

se - See "Standard error."

Seed tree harvest - A harvest method in which all mature timber from an area is harvested in one entry except for a small number of trees left as a seed source for the harvested area.

Selective harvest - A general term for partial cutting or salvage cutting in which individual trees are removed.

Sensitive species - A state designation. State sensitive species are species native to the state of Washington that are vulnerable or declining and are likely to become endangered or threatened in a significant portion of their ranges within the state without cooperative management or the removal of threats.

Seral stages - Developmental stages that succeed each other as an ecosystem changes over time; specifically, the stages of ecological succession as a forest develops. There are various subdivisions for seral stages, which include:

(1) early seral stage; mid-seral stage; and late seral stage;
(2) young forest; mature forest; and old-growth forest;
(3) grass-forb; shrub; open sapling-pole; closed sapling-pole-sawtimber; large sawtimber; and old growth; and
(4) stand initiation; stem exclusion; understory reinitiation; and old growth.

Shelterwood cut - A harvest method in which a portion of a mature forest stand is removed in two or more cuttings; a portion of the stand is retained as a source of seed and/or protection during the period of regeneration.

Siltation - The deposition or accumulation of silt that is suspended throughout a body of standing water or in some considerable portion of it; especially the choking, filling, or covering with stream-deposited silt behind a place of retarded flow.

Silt - Sedimentary materials composed of fine particles, such as soil or sand, suspended in or deposited by water; mud or fine earth in suspension.

Silviculture - The theory and practice of controlling the establishment, composition, growth, and quality of forest stands in order to achieve management objectives.

Sink area - The area in which local mortality rate exceeds local reproductive rate. Because mortality rates exceed reproduction, these populations would go extinct without immigration from source areas.

Site center - The actual nest tree or the primary roost of territorial owls.

Site index - A measure of forest productivity expressed as the height of the dominant trees in a stand at an index age.

Site index curves - Nonlinear regressions of tree height versus breast height age for different site productivities; used as a means to predict future growth.

Site potential tree height - The height a dominant tree may attain given the site conditions where it occurs.

Slump - A landslide characterized by a shearing and rotary movement of a generally independent mass of rock or earth along a curved slip surface (concave upward) and about an axis parallel to the slope from which it descends, and by backward tilting of the mass with respect to that slope so that the slump surface often exhibits a reversed slope facing uphill.

Small saw - Small sawtimber. The OESF GIS forest classification for small saw is: dominant dbh 14-20 inches; one or two canopy layers; small snags or none present; and, small down dead wood or none present.

Snag - Dead tree that is still standing.

Source area - The area in which local reproductive success is greater than local mortality (lambda is greater than one at the scale of an owl cluster). Populations in source areas produce an excess of individuals that must emigrate from their natal area to establish new territories.

Special Emphasis Areas (SEAs) - Proposed federally designated areas in Washington, as outlined in the proposed 4(d) special rule under the federal Endangered Species Act.

Spotted owl - See "Northern spotted owl."

Spotted owl habitat types - Defined by DNR's "Owl memo no. 3" (Stearns 1991), the habitat types are:

Type A habitat (east of the Cascade crest) - Stands within the Pacific silver fir, grand fir, Douglas-fir, and ponderosa pine forest zones that have not been logged. Stands are typically old-growth and mature forests with the following characteristics: (1) A multi-layered, multispecies canopy dominated by large (20 inches and larger dbh) overstory trees (typically 70-100 stems/acre, although tree densities as low as 35 stems/acre are possible where large diameter trees are present); (2) moderate to high (60-85 percent) canopy closure; (3) some large trees with various deformities (e.g., large cavities, broken tops, dwarf mistletoe infections); (4) large (20 inches and larger dbh) snags present (typically three or more stems/acre); and (5) accumulation of large (20 inches or larger dbh) fallen trees and other woody debris on the ground.

Type A habitat (west of the Cascade crest) - Optimal, old-growth forest habitat that has the following characteristics: (1) a multi-layered, multispecies canopy dominated by large (30 inches or larger dbh) overstory trees (typically 15-75 stems/acre; (2) moderate to high (60-80 percent) canopy closure; (3) a high incidence of large trees with various deformities (e.g., large cavities, broken tops, dwarf mistletoe infections); (4) numerous large (30 inches or larger dbh) snags (typically two or more stems/acre); and (5) large accumulations of fallen trees and other woody debris on the ground.

Type B habitat (east of the Cascade crest) - Stands within the grand fir, Douglas-fir, and ponderosa pine forest zones. Stands are typically mature forest habitat that has naturally regenerated following fire or windthrow and has the following characteristics: (1) a multi-layered, multispecies canopy dominated by overstory trees approximately 12 inches or larger dbh. Stands must contain at least 20 percent fir and/or hemlock in the overstory; (2) approximately 50 percent canopy closure; (3) dominant live trees with

various deformities (e.g., large cavities, broken tops, dwarf mistletoe infections); and (4) snags and down logs, at least some of which are of similar dbh to dominant live trees.

Type B habitat (west of the Cascade crest) - Mature forest habitat that has the following characteristics: (1) few canopy layers, multispecies canopy dominated by large (20 inches or larger dbh) overstory trees (typically 75-100 stems/acre, although densities as low as 35 stems/acre are possible where large diameter trees are present); (2) moderate to high (60-80 percent) canopy closure; (3) some large trees with various deformities (e.g., large cavities, broken tops, dwarf mistletoe infections); (4) large (20 inches and larger dbh) snags present; and (5) accumulations of fallen trees and other woody debris on the ground.

Type C habitat (east of the Cascade crest) - Type C habitat is defined on the basis of use by spotted owls. Younger stands occurring at low to mid-elevations where some old-growth/mature components and/or structural characteristics are present. This habitat often appears as a mosaic of relatively small, older stands scattered among and within younger stands. Type C habitat also includes areas of historic high-grade logging and partial entry. Type C includes: (1) historically selectively harvested stands that have had less than 40 percent volume removed and still contain the structural components important to spotted owls, some large trees, snags, down woody debris, and evidence of deformities; (2) stands that have most of the characteristics of Types A or B habitat but grow on rocky or poor soils resulting in highly variable canopy closure. This habitat appears as clumps or pockets of stands with high canopy closure in a patchwork distribution; (3) multi-layered stands that have most of the characteristics of Types A and B habitat but are dominated by ponderosa pine, with as little as 10 percent of the overstory comprised of Douglas-fir; and (4) Types A and B habitat at elevations greater than 5,000 feet comprised of Douglas-fir, Pacific silver fir, western hemlock, or a combination of these species.

Type C habitat (west of the Cascade crest) - Marginal habitat, usually younger stands with some old-growth/mature components and/or structural characteristics. Type C habitat is defined on the basis of use by spotted owls. Such habitat generally results from fire or windthrow. It may include partially harvested stands that have had less than 40 percent volume removed and still contain structural components important to spotted owls.

Spotted owl regulatory circles - Circles of 1.8-mile radius in the western Washington Cascades, and 2.7 miles in the western Washington lowlands and the Olympic Peninsula; based on observed size of pair ranges.

Spotted owl site status - See "Status 1 through 5, spotted owl site centers."

Stand - A group of trees which possess sufficient uniformity in composition, structure, age, spatial arrangement, or condition to distinguish them from adjacent groups.

Stand conversion - The conversion of stands from low-commercial value species to more valuable conifer species; also called stand rehabilitation.

Stand initiation - The first stage of forest growth; an open condition and new regeneration. The other three stages are stem exclusion, understory reinitiation, and old growth. (Classification system from Oliver 1981.)

Standard error (se) - A statistical measure of variability. A larger standard error indicates greater variability.

State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) - This law is the basic state charter for protection of the environment. SEPA requires all state agencies to consider and analyze all significant environmental impacts of any action proposed by those agencies; to inform and involve the public in the agency's decision-making process; and to consider the environmental impacts in the agency's decision-making process.

Status 1 through 5, spotted owl site centers - Status assigned to spotted owl site centers by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WAC 222-16-080). The five categories are: status 1- Pair or reproductive; status 2- Two birds, pair status unknown; status 3- Resident territorial single; status 4- Status unknown; and status 5- Historic status (formerly occupied).

Stem exclusion - The second stage of forest growth, with tree competition and mortality. The other three stages are stand initiation, understory reinitiation, and old growth. (Classification system from Oliver 1981.)

Stream classifications - See "Water typing system."

Structurally complex forest - Conifer-dominated forests greater than 70 years of age, densely stocked with large trees; includes fully functional forest and interior forest. Also referred to as complex forest. A forest habitat description for DNR-managed forest lands (used in DEIS Section 4.5.4).

Subalpine - The area above the upper limit of contiguous closed forest and beneath the upper limit of growth; typically, a mosaic of tree patches and meadows.

Sub-mature forest - DNR defines this as a younger forest category that includes mid-seral forest (non-late-successional or old growth) that has the structural characteristics necessary to provide roosting and foraging functions. This corresponds with spotted owl habitat Type C (defined under "Spotted owl habitat types.").

Sub-mature habitat (east-side planning units) - In the HCP, sub-mature habitat has the following characteristics: (1) forest community composed of at least 40 percent Douglas-fir or grand fir component; (2) canopy closure of at least 70 percent; (3) tree density of between 110-260 trees per acre; (4) tree height or vertical density with either (a) dominant and co-dominant trees at least 90 feet tall, and/or (b) two or more canopy layers, numerous intermediate trees, numerous low perches; (5) snags/cavity trees or mistletoe infection with either (a) three or more snags or cavity trees per acre that are greater than or equal to 20 inches dbh, and/or (b) a moderate to high infection of mistletoe; and (6) 5 percent ground cover of dead and down wood averaged over a stand.

Sub-mature habitat (west-side planning units) - In the HCP, sub-mature habitat has the following characteristics: (1) forest community dominated by conifers, or in mixed conifer/hardwood forest, the community is composed of at least 30 percent conifers (measured as stems per acre dominant, co-dominant, and intermediate trees); (2) at least 70 percent canopy closure; (3) tree density of between 115-280 trees per acre (all greater than 4 inches dbh); (4) height of dominant and co-dominant trees at least 85 feet tall; (5) at least three snags or cavity trees per acre that are at least 20 inches dbh; and (6) a minimum of 5 percent ground cover of large down woody debris.

Sub-population - A well-defined set of interacting individuals that comprise a proportion of a larger, interbreeding population.

Succession - A series of changes by which one group of organisms succeeds another group; a series of developmental stages in a plant community.

Suitable habitat block, marbled murrelets - In the HCP, a suitable habitat block is a contiguous forested area that is at least five acres in size, contains an average of at least two potential nesting platforms per acre, and is within 50 miles of marine waters.

Suitable habitat, spotted owls - Any forest type that meets some or all of the life needs of the spotted owl including nesting (breeding), roosting (resting), and foraging (feeding). See also "Spotted owl habitat types."

Suitable site, spotted owls - As defined in the HCP OESF owl habitat model, a site in which the quality and quantity of habitat within it, or within it and its adjacent sites, is adequate to support a nesting pair of spotted owls; also called a territory.

Take - A prohibited action under federal law, except where authorized. To harass, harm, pursue, hunt, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect a federally listed threatened or endangered species, or to attempt to do so. Take may include disturbance of the listed species, nest, or habitat, when disturbance is extensive enough to disrupt normal behavioral patterns for the species, although the affected individuals may not actually die. See also "Harm" and "Incidental take."

Talus - A homogeneous area of rock rubble, ranging in average size from 1 inch to 6.5 feet, derived from and lying at the base of a cliff or very steep, rocky slope.

Target conditions - Achieving ecological recovery and population restoration of a listed species; target conditions are often defined in federally-mandated recovery plans for a given species.

Taxon - A category in the biological system of arranging plants and animals in related groups, such as class, family, or phylum.

Territorial single - See "Resident single."

Territorial spotted owl site centers - Sites classified as either status 1, status 2, or status 3 by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. See "Status 1 through 5, spotted owl site centers."

Territory, spotted owls - See "Suitable site, spotted owls."

Threatened species - A federal and state designation. Species likely to become an endangered species throughout all or a significant portion of their range within the foreseeable future.

Threatened and endangered species - Formal classifications of species. Federal designations are made by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service. State of Washington designations are made by the Washington Fish and Wildlife Commission (RCW 77.08.010). See also "Candidate species," "Endangered species," "Proposed threatened or endangered species," "Sensitive species," and "Threatened species."

Trust - In law, a fiduciary relationship in which one person (the trustee) holds the title to property or manages it for the benefit of another (the beneficiary).

Trust lands - Those lands held in trust and managed by the Washington Department of Natural Resources for the benefit of the trust beneficiaries.

Turbidity - The relative clarity of water, which may be affected by material in suspension in the water.

Type A and B Wetlands - See "Wetland typing system."

Type 1 through 5 Waters - See "Water typing system."

Type 9 Water - Untyped water; classification used in DNR's GIS database. In some analyses within this DEIS, untyped waters are treated as Type 5 Waters.

Types A, B, and C habitat types - See "Spotted owl habitat types."

Uncommon habitats - A category of forested and nonforested habitats including cliffs, caves, talus slopes, oak woodlands, and very large, old trees. A habitat description for DNR-managed lands (used in DEIS Section 4.5.4).

Underburning - Prescribed burning of the forest floor or understory for botanical or wildlife habitat objectives, hazard reduction, or silvicultural objectives.

Understory canopy - Forest undergrowth; the lowest canopy layer of trees and woody species. See also "Canopy" and "Overstory canopy."

Understory reinitiation - The third stage of forest growth, with undergrowth development and some tree regeneration. The other three stages are stand initiation, stem exclusion, and old growth. (Classification system from Oliver 1981.)

Uneven-aged - Forests composed of trees that differ markedly in age; may be a result of partial cutting practices.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) - The federal agency that is the listing authority for species other than marine mammals and anadromous fish under the federal Endangered Species Act.

Unlisted species agreement - A request by DNR to USFWS and NMFS that species other than the northern spotted owl and marbled murrelet be included in the incidental take permit; part of DNR's HCP application. These include: (1) other upland species listed by the federal government as endangered or threatened within the range of the northern spotted owl; and (2) other species of concern, such as certain salmonids and candidate species. The purpose is to provide assurances to DNR that no additional land restrictions or financial compensation will be required from DNR for species adequately covered by an HCP in light of unforseen or extraordinary circumstances.

Unzoned forest - A forest without areas deferred from timber management.

Validation monitoring - Monitoring done to evaluate the cause-and-effect relationships between habitat conditions resulting from the HCP conservation strategies and the animal populations these strategies are intended to benefit.

Vegetative zones - Broad areas that have similar types of vegetation. Zones within the HCP area include the Sitka spruce zone, the western hemlock zone, the Pacific silver fir zone, the subalpine fir/mountain hemlock zone, the alpine zone, the grand fir zone, the Douglas-fir zone, and the ponderosa pine zone (based on Franklin and Dyrness 1973).

Viability analysis - See "Population viability analysis."

Viable population - A population that is of sufficient size and distribution to be able to persist for a long period of time in the face of demographic variations, random events that influence the genetic composition of the population, and fluctuations in environmental conditions, including catastrophic events.

Washington Administrative Code (WAC) - All current, permanent rules of each state agency, adopted pursuant to chapter 34.05 RCW.

Washington Board of Natural Resources - See "Board of Natural Resources."

Washington Forest Practices Act - See "Forest Practices Act."

Washington Forest Practices Board - See "Forest Practices Board."

Washington Fish and Wildlife Commission - The state commission with statutory authority to list threatened, endangered, and sensitive wildlife species.

Water quality classifications - Washington State Department of Ecology water quality criteria standards; specifications are given in WAC 173-201-045. Class AA water is "extraordinary," Class A water is "excellent," Class B water is "good," and so on.

Water resource inventory area (WRIA) - Watershed-based planning unit, defined by the Washington State Department of Ecology. WRIAs are determined by drainages to common water bodies.

Water typing system - A simplified explanation of Washington's classifications of water types appears here. For the complete classification system, see WAC 222-16-030.

Type 1: All waters, within their ordinary high-water mark, as inventoried as "shorelines of the state."

Type 2: Segments of natural waters which are not Type 1 and have a high use and are important from a water quality standpoint for domestic water supplies; public recreation; fish spawning, rearing, or migration or wildlife use; are highly significant to protect water quality.

Type 3: Segments of natural waters which are not Type 1 or 2 and are moderately important from a water quality standpoint for: domestic use; public recreation; fish spawning, rearing, or migration or wildlife uses; or have moderate value to protect water quality.

Type 4: Segments of natural waters which are not Type 1, 2, or 3, and for the purpose of protecting water quality downstream are classified as Type 4 Water upstream until the channel width becomes less than two feet in width between the ordinary high-water marks. These may be perennial or intermittent.

Type 5: Natural waters which are not Type 1, 2, 3, or 4; including streams with or without well-defined channels, areas of perennial or intermittent seepage, ponds, natural sinks and drainage ways having short periods of spring or storm runoff.

Watershed - The drainage basin contributing water, organic matter, dissolved nutrients, and sediments to a stream or lake.

Watershed administrative unit (WAU) - In Washington State, the basic hydrologic unit used for watershed analysis. See WAC 222-22-020 for more information.

Watershed Administrative Unit (MM-WAU) Approach - One of two proposed marbled murrelet rule alternatives under consideration by the Washington Forest Practices Board. The other alternative is the Occupied Stand Approach.

Watershed analysis - A systematic procedure for characterizing watershed and ecological processes to meet specific management objectives; provides a basis for resource management planning. In Washington, the assessment of a watershed administrative unit completed under Washington State law.

Wetland - Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions, such as swamps, bogs, fens, and similar areas.

Wetland management zone (WMZ) - Zones within in Type A and Type B Wetlands, measured horizontally from the wetland edge or the point where the nonforested wetland becomes a forested wetland; WMZs have variable widths based on the size of the wetland and wetland type. WMZ widths are specified in WAC 222-30-020.

Wetland typing system - A simplified explanation of Washington's classifications of wetland types appears here. For the complete classification system, see WAC 222-16-035.

Nonforested wetland - Any wetland or portion thereof that has, or if the trees were mature would have, a crown closure of less than 30 percent. There are two types of nonforested wetlands: Type A and Type B. A Type A Wetland is: (1) greater than 0.5 acre in size; (2) associated with at least 0.5 acre of ponded or standing open water; or (3) are bogs and fens greater than 0.25 acre. A Type B Wetland classification is all other nonforested wetlands greater than 0.25 acre.

Forested wetland - Any wetland or portion thereof that has, or if the trees were mature would have, a crown closure of 30 percent or more.

Wildlife Code of Washington - Title 77 RCW (Revised Code of Washington).

Wildlife trees - Wildlife trees include large live trees, snags, cavities, and down logs that provide forest-habitat structures for wildlife.

Wind buffer - As defined for the HCP's west-side planning units, the outer buffer of the riparian management zone that maintains the ecological integrity of the riparian buffer by reducing windthrow.

Windthrow - Trees blown down by wind; also called blowdown.

Yarding - Transporting logs from the point of felling to a collecting point or landing.

Young forest - A forest that is 40-80 years old.

Young forest habitat, marbled murrelets - See "Habitat classes, marbled murrelets."

Young-forest marginal habitat - As defined by the Washington Forest Practices Board Spotted Owl Advisory Group, younger forest that provides some of the characteristics spotted owls need for roosting, foraging, and dispersal. This habitat type corresponds to the low to mid-range of the former Type C designation (see "Spotted owl habitat types.").

Zoned forest - A forest with special management areas, or zones, set aside for habitat protection.

Zones - See "Marbled murrelet conservation zones," "Marbled murrelet zone 1," "Marbled murrelet zone 2," and "Owl zones."

The following references were used in developing the glossary:

Bates, R. L., and J. A. Jackson, eds. 1987. Glossary of geology, 3rd ed. American Geological Institute, Alexandria, VA. 788 p.

Ford-Robertson, F. C., ed. 1971. Terminology of forest science, technology practice and products; English-language version. Society of American Foresters, Washington, D.C. 349 p.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and Oregon Department of Forestry. [1995?] Elliott State Forest--Environmental assessment for the habitat conservation plan, Coos and Douglas Counties, Oregon. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1994. Species of special concern in Washington. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia. 39 p.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1995. Priority habitats and species list. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Priority Species and Habitats Division, Olympia. 24 p.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1992. Final E.I.S. environmental impact statement for the Forest Resource Plan and appendixes, July, 1992. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Land Management Division, Olympia. 231 p.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1996. Draft habitat conservation plan. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Forest Practices Board. 1993. Washington forest practices--Rules, WAC 222, Board manual (watershed manual not included), Forest Practices Act, RCW 76.09. Washington Forest Practices Board, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Forest Practices Board. 1994. Washington Forest Practices: Board manual, standard methodology for conducting watershed analysis under chapter 222-22 WAC, version 2.1. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Practices Division, Olympia. 1 v.

References

References

ACRONYMS USED IN TEXT:
DNR = Washington Department of Natural Resources
FEMAT = Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team
USDA = U.S. Department of Agriculture
USDI = U.S. Department of the Interior
WDF = Washington Department of Fisheries
WDFW = Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WDW = Washington Department of Wildlife
WFPB = Washington Forest Practices Board

Agee, J. K. 1993. Fire ecology of Pacific Northwest forests. Island Press, Covelo, CA. 493 p.

Agee, J. K. 1994. Catastrophic forest disturbance on the Olympic Peninsula. James K. Agee, Redmond, WA [for] Rayonier Corp., Hoquiam, WA. Unpublished report. 19 p.

Agee, J. K., and R. L. Edmonds. 1992. Forest protection guidelines for the northern spotted owl. *In* U.S. Department of the Interior. Recovery plan for the northern spotted owl–draft. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR. Appendix F, p. 419-480.

Allen, H. 1991. Status and management of the peregrine falcon in Washington. *In* J. E. Pagel, ed. Proceedings, Symposium on Peregrine Falcons in the Pacific Northwest. Rogue River National Forest, Medford, OR.

Almack, J. A. 1986. North Cascades Grizzly Bear Project annual report. Washington Department of Game, Sedro Woolley, WA. 1 v.

Almack, J. A., W. L. Gaines, R. H. Naney, et at. 1993. North Cascades grizzly bear ecosytem evaluation: final report. Interagency Grizzly Bear Committee, Denver, CO.156 p.

Anderson, N. H., and J. R. Sedell. 1979. Detritus processing by macroinvertebrates in stream ecosystems. Annual Review of Entomology. v. 24, p. 351-377.

Andrus, C. W., and H. A. Froelich. [1986.] Wind damage within streamside buffers and its effect on accelerated sedimentation in coastal Oregon streams. Unpublished draft report. 12 p.

Anthony, R. G., R. L. Knight, G. T. Allen, et al. 1982. Habitat use by nesting and roosting bald eagles in the Pacific Northwest. *In* K. Sabol, ed. Transactions of the forty-seventh North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference, Portland, OR, 1982. Wildlife Management Institute, Washington, D.C. p. 332-342.

Aubry, K. B., and P. A. Hall. 1991. Terrestrial amphibian communities in the southern Washington Cascade Range. *In* L. F. Ruggiero, K. B. Aubry, A. B. Carey, and M. H. Huff, tech. coords. Wildlife and vegetation of unmanaged Douglas-fir forests. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-285. p. 326-338.

Austin, K. K. 1994. Habitat use and home range size of breeding northern goshawks in the southern Cascades. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis.

Avery, M. W. 1965. Washington: a history of the Evergreen State. University of Washington Press, Seattle. 362 p.

Bahls, P. And M. Ereth. 1994. Stream Typing error in Washington water type maps for watersheds of Hood Canal and the southwest Olympic Peninsula. Point No Point Treaty Council, Kingston, WA.

Banci, V. 1994. Wolverine. *In* L. F. Ruggiero, K. B. Aubry, S. W. Buskirk, et al., eds. American marten, fisher, lynx, and wolverine in the western United States. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, CO. General technical report RM-254. p. 99-127.

Barbour, R. W., and W. H. Davis. 1969. Bats of America. University Press of Kentucky, Lexington. 286 p.

Bart, J. 1995. Amount of suitable habitat and viability of northern spotted owls. Conservation Biology. v. 9, no. 4, p. 943-946.

Bart, J., and E. D. Forsman. 1992. Dependence of northern spotted owls *(Strix occidentalis caurina)* on old-growth forests in the western USA. Biological Conservation. v. 62, no. 2, p. 95-100.

Bartlet, P. E. 1977. Management of the American goshawk in the Black Hills National Forest. M.S. Thesis, University of South Dakota, Vermillion. 102 p.

Basile, J. V., and T. N. Lonner. 1979. Vehicle restrictions influence elk and hunter distribution in Montana. Journal of Forestry. v. 77, no. 3, p. 155-159.

Beak Consultants Inc. 1993. Habitat conservation plan for the northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina)* on timberlands owned by the Murray Pacific Corporation, Lewis County, Washington. Murray Pacific Corporation, Tacoma, WA. 1 v.

Beak Consultants Inc. 1995. Amendement to the habitat conservation plan and incidental take permit PRT-777837 for the northern spotted owl on timberlands owned by the Murray Pacific Corporation, Lewis County, Washington. Murray Pacific Corporation, Tacoma, WA. 1 v.

Beechie, T. J., and K. Wyman. 1992. Stream habitat conditions, unstable slopes and status of roads in four small watersheds of the Skagit River. Skagit System Cooperative, Fisheries Service for the Swinomish Indian Tribal Community, LaConner, WA, Upper Skagit Tribal Council, Sedro Woolley, WA, and Sauk-Suiattle Tribal Council, Darrington, WA.

Beissinger, S. R. 1995. Population trends of the marbled murrelet projected from demographic analyses. *In* C. J. Ralph, G. L. Hunt, Jr., M. G. Raphael, and J. F. Piatt, eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Arcata, CA. General technical report PSW-152. p. 385-393.

Bell, M. C. 1986. Fisheries handbook of engineering requirements and biological criteria. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, North Pacific Division, Fish Passage Development and Evaluation Program, Portland, OR. 290 p.

Bellrose, F. C. 1976. Ducks, geese, and swans of North America: a completely new and expanded version of the classic work by F. H. Kortright. Stackpole Books, Harrisburg, PA. 543 p.

Benda, L. E. 1993. Geomorphic analysis of the South Fork Green Creek, (Olympic Peninsula). Cavenham-Hanson Natural Resources Company, Port Angeles, WA. Unpublished report.

Bent, A. C. 1963. Life histories of North American flycatchers, larks, swallows, and their allies. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, NY. 555 p.

Berris, S. N., and R. D. Harr. 1987. Comparative snow accumulation and melt during rainfall in forested and clear-cut plots in the western Cascades of Oregon. Water Resources Research. v. 23, no. 1, p. 135-142.

Beschta, R. L. 1978. Long-term patterns of sediment production following road construction and logging in the Oregon Coast Range. Water Resources Research. v. 14, no. 6, p. 1011-1016.

Beschta, R. L., R. E. Bilby, G. W. Brown, et al. 1987. Stream temperature and aquatic habitat: fisheries and forestry interactions. *In* E. O. Salo, and T. W. Cundy, eds.

Streamside management: forestry and fishery interactions. University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle. Contribution 57. p. 191-232.

Bigley, R., and S. Hull. [in preparation] Elements of site interpretation for western Washington forests. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Resource Planning and Asset Management Division, Olympia.

Bilby, R. E. 1979. The function and distribution of organic debris dams in forest stream ecosystems. Ph.D. Dissertation, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY.

Bilby, R. E. 1988. Interactions between aquatic and terrestrial systems. *In* K. J. Raedeke, ed. Streamside management: riparian wildlife and forestry interactions. University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle. Contribution 59. p. 13-30.

Bilby, R. E., and P. A. Bisson. 1992. Allochthonous versus autochthonous organic matter contributions to the trophic support of fish populations in clear-cut and old-growth forested streams. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 49, no. 3, p. 540-551.

Bilby, R. E., B. R. Fransen, and P. A. Bisson. [in press] Incorporation of nitrogen and carbon from spawning coho salmon into the trophic system of small streams: evidence from stable isotopes. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences [1996].

Bilby, R. E., and J. W. Ward. 1989. Changes in characteristics and function of woody debris with increasing size of streams in western Washington. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. v. 118, no. 4, p. 368-378.

Bilby, R. E., and J. W. Ward. 1991. Characteristics and function of large woody debris in streams draining old-growth, clear-cut, and second-growth forests in southwestern Washington. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 48, no. 12, p. 2499-2508.

Bilton, H. T., D. F. Alderdice, and J. T. Schnute. 1982. Influence of time and size at release of juvenile coho salmon *(Oncorhynchus kisutch)* on returns at maturity. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 39, no. 3, p. 426-447.

Bisson, P. A., R. E. Bilby, M. D. Bryant, et al. 1987. Large woody debris in forested streams in the Pacific Northwest: past, present, and future. *In* E. O. Salo, and T. W. Cundy, eds. Streamside management: forestry and fishery interactions. University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle. Contribution 57. p. 143-190.

Bisson, P. A., J. L. Nielsen, and J. W. Ward. 1988a. Summer production of coho salmon stocked in Mount St. Helens streams 3-6 years after the 1980 eruption. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. v. 117, no. 4, p. 322-335.

Bisson, P. A., T. P. Quinn, G. H. Reeves, and S. V. Gregory. 1992. Best management practices, cumulative effects, and long-term trends in fish abundance in Pacific Northwest river systems. *In* R. J. Naiman, ed. Watershed management: balancing sustainability and environmental change. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY. p. 189-232.

Bisson, P. A., and J. R. Sedell. 1984. Salmonid populations in streams in clearcut vs. old-growth forests of western Washington. *In* W. R. Meehan, T. R. Merrell, Jr., and T. A. Hanley, eds. Fish and wildlife relationships in old-growth forests: proceedings of a symposium. American Institute of Fishery Research Biologists, Morehead City, NC. p. 121-129.

Bisson, P. A., K. Sullivan, and J. L. Nielsen. 1988b. Channel hydraulics, habitat use, and body form of juvenile coho salmon, steelhead, and cutthroat trout in streams. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. v. 117, no. 3, p. 262-273.

Bjornn, T. C. 1971. Trout and salmon movements in two Idaho streams as related to temperature, food, stream flow, cover, and population density. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. v. 100, no. 3, p. 423-438.

Bjornn, T. C., and D. W. Reiser. 1991. Habitat requirements of salmonids in streams. *In* W. R. Meehan, ed. Influences of forest and rangeland management on salmonid fishes and their habitats. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD. Special publication no. 19. p. 83-138.

Blanchard, B. 1978. Grizzly bear distribution in relation to habitat areas and recreational use: Cabin Creek-Hilgard Mountains. M.S. Thesis, Montana State University, Bozeman. 75 p.

Bond, C. E. 1992. Notes on the nomenclature and distribution of the bull trout and the effects of human activity on the species. *In* P. J. Howell, and D. W. Buchanan, eds. Proceedings of the Gearhart Mountain bull trout workshop. Oregon Chapter of the American Fisheries Society, Corvallis, OR. p. 1-4.

Booth, D. E. 1991. Estimating prelogging old-growth in the Pacific Northwest. Journal of Forestry. v. 89, no. 10, p. 25-29.

Bosch, J. M., and J. D. Hewlett. 1982. A review of catchment experiments to determine the effect of vegetation changes on water yield and evapotranspiration. Journal of Hydrology. v. 55, no. 1/4, p. 3-23.

Braun, C. E. 1994. Band-tailed pigeon. *In* T. C. Tacha and C. E. Braun, eds. Migratory shore and upland game bird management in North America. International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies in cooperation with U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. p. 61-74.

Brett, J. R. 1952. Temperature tolerance in young Pacific salmon, genus *Oncorhynchus*. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada. v. 9, no. 6, p. 265-323.

Brigham, R. M., D. J. N. Aldridge, and R. L. Mackey. 1992. Variation in habitat use and prey selection by Yuma bats, *Myotis yumanensis*. Journal of Mammalogy. v. 73, no. 3, p. 640-645.

Brinson, M. M. 1993. Changes in the functioning of wetlands along environmental gradients. Wetlands. v. 13, p. 65-74.

Brittell, J. D., R. J. Poelker, S. J. Sweeney, and G.M. Koehler. 1989. Native cats of Washington. Washington Department of Wildlife, Olympia. 169 p.

Broderson, J. M. 1973. Sizing buffer strips to maintain water quality. M.S.C.E. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle. 86 p.

Brown, E. R., ed. 1985. Management of wildlife and fish habitats in forests of western Oregon and Washington. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, OR. 2 v.

Brown, G. W. 1969. Predicting temperatures of small streams. Water Resources Research. v. 5, no. 1, p. 68-75.

Brown, G. W. 1971. Water temperature in small streams as influenced by environmental factors and logging. *In* Forest land uses and stream environment: proceedings of a symposium. Oregon State University Continuing Education Publications, Corvallis. p. 175-181.

Brown, G. W. 1972. Logging and water quality in the Pacific Northwest. *In* S. C. Csallany, T. G. McLaughlin, and W. D. Striffler, eds. Watersheds in transition: proceedings of symposium. American Water Resources Association, Urbana, IL. Proceedings series no. 14. p. 330-334.

Brown, G. W. 1974. Fish habitat. *In* O. P. Cramer, ed. Environmental effects of forest residues management in the Pacific Northwest: a state-of-knowledge compendium. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-24. p. E1-E15.

Brown, G. W., and J. T. Krygier. 1970. Effects of clear-cutting on stream temperature. Water Resources Research. v. 6, no. 4, p. 1133-1139.

Brown, H. A. 1975. Reproduction and development of the red-legged frog, *Rana aurora*, in northwestern Washington. Northwest Science. v. 49, no. 4, p. 241-252.

Brown, J. L. 1969. Territorial behavior and population regulation in birds: a review and re-evaluation. Wilson Bulletin. v. 81, p. 293-329.

Bruce, A. M., R. J. Anderson, and G. T. Allen. 1982. Observations of golden eagles nesting in western Washington. Raptor Research. v. 16, no. 4, p. 132-134.

Bryant, A. A. 1994. Montane alternative silvicultural systems (MASS): pre-treatment breeding bird communities. Forestry Canada, and British Columbia Ministry of Forests, Victoria. FRDA report 216. 1 v.

Buchanan, J., E. Hanson, D. Hayes, and L. Young. 1994. An evaluation of the Forest Practices Board Wildlife Committee preferred alternative for a spotted owl protection rule: a report to the Washington Forest Practices Board. Washington Forest Practices Board Spotted Owl Science Advisory Group, Olympia. 32 p.

Buchanan, J. B., L. L. Irwin, and E. L. McCutchen. 1993. Characteristics of spotted owl nest trees in the Wenatchee National Forest. Journal of Raptor Research. v. 27, no. 1, p. 1-7.

Buckingham, N. M., and E. L. Tisch. 1979. Vascular plants of the Olympic Peninsula, Washington: a catalog. U.S. Department of the Interior, Park Service, Cooperative Park Studies Unit, Seattle. 74 p.

Buettner, K. J., and N. Thyer. 1962. Valley winds in the Mt. Rainier National Park. Weatherwise. v. 15, p. 63-67.

Bull, E. L., and R. C. Beckwith. 1993. Diet and foraging behavior of Vaux's swifts in northeastern Oregon. Condor. v. 95, no. 4, p. 1016-1023.

Bull, E. L., and C. T. Collins. 1993. Vaux's swift. *In* A. Poole, and F. Gill, eds. The birds of North America. Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA. No. 77.

Burnham, K. P., D. R. Anderson, and G. C. White. 1994. Estimation of vital rates of the northern spotted owl. *In* U.S. Department of Agriculture, and U.S. Department of the Interior. Final supplemental environmental impact statement on management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the northern spotted owl. Interagency SEIS Team, Portland, OR. v. 2, Appendix J, p. J3-J26.

Burroughs, E. R., Jr., and J. G. King. 1989. Reduction of soil erosion on forest roads. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Ogden, UT. General technical report INT-264. 21 p.

Bury, R. B., and P. S. Corn. 1988. Douglas-fir forests in the Oregon and Washington Cascades: relation of the herpetofauna to stand age and moisture. *In* R. C. Szaro, K. E. Severson, and D. R. Patton, tech. coords. Management of amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals in North America. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, CO. General technical report RM-166. p. 11-22.

Butts, T. W. 1992. Wolverine *(Gulo gulo)* biology and management: a literature review and annotated bibliography. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Region, Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Program, Missoula, MT. 1 v.

Caldwell, J. E., K. Doughty, and K. Sullivan. 1991. Evaluation of downstream temperature effects of Type 4/5 Waters. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Timber, Fish, and Wildlife Program, Olympia. TFW-WQ5-91-004. 1 v.

Calef, G. W. 1973. Spatial distribution and "effective" breeding population of red-legged frogs (*Rana aurora*) in Marion Lake, British Columbia. Canadian Field-Naturalist. v. 87, no. 3, p. 279- 284.

Canada. Environment Canada. 1992. Marine weather hazards manual: a guide to local forecasts and conditions. West coast. Environment Canada, and Gordon Soules Book Publishers Ltd., West Vancouver, BC. 141 p.

Carey, A. B. 1989. Wildlife associated with old-growth forests in the Pacific Northwest. Natural Areas Journal. v. 9, no. 3, p. 151-162.

Carey, A. B. 1995. Sciurids in Pacific Northwest managed and old-growth forests. Ecological Applications. v. 5, no. 3.

Carey, A. B., and M. L. Johnson. 1995. Small mammals in managed, naturally young, and old-growth forests. Ecological Applications. v. 5, no. 2.

Carey, A. B., C. Elliott, B. R. Lippke, et al. [in press] A pragmatic, ecological approach to small landscape management: final report of the Biodiversity Pathways Working Group of the Washington Landscape Management Project. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. Washington Landscape Management Project report no. 2. 82 p.

Carey, A. B., M. M. Hardt, S. P. Horton, and B. L. Biswell. 1991. Spring bird communities in the Oregon Coast Range. *In* L. F. Ruggiero, K. B. Aubry, A. B. Carey, and M. H. Huff, tech. coords. Wildlife and vegetation of unmanaged Douglas-fir forests. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-285. p. 123-142.

Carey, A. B., S. P. Horton, and B. L. Biswell. 1992. Northern spotted owls: influence of prey base and landscape character. Ecological Monographs. v. 62, no. 2, p. 223-250.

Carlson, J. Y., C. W. Andrus, and H. A. Froehlich. 1990. Woody debris, channel features, and macroinvertebrates of streams with logged and undisturbed riparian timber in northeastern Oregon, U.S.A. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 47, no. 6, p. 1103-1111.

Castelle, A. J., C. Conolly, M. Emers, et al. 1992. Wetland buffers: use and effectiveness. Washington Department of Ecology, Shorelands and Coastal Zone Management Program, Olympia. Publication 92-10. 171 p.

Caswell, H. 1989. Matrix population models: construction, analysis, and interpretation. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA. 328 p.

Cederholm, C. J. 1994. A suggested landscape approach for salmon and wildlife habitat protection in western Washington riparian ecosystems. *In* A. B. Carey, and C. Elliott, compilers. Washington forest landscape management project: progress report. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. Washington Forest Landscape Management Project report no. 1. p. 78-90.

Cederholm, C. J., D. B. Houston, D. L. Cole, and W. J. Scarlett. 1989. Fate of coho salmon *(Oncorhynchus kisutch)* carcasses in spawning streams. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 46, no. 8, p. 1347-1355.

Cederholm, C. J., and L. C. Lestelle. 1974. Observations on the effects of landslide siltation on salmon and trout resources of the Clearwater River, Jefferson County, Washington, 1972-73: final report, part I. University of Washington, Fisheries Research Institute, Seattle. FRI-UW-7404. 133 p.

Cederholm, C. J., L. C. Lestelle, B. G. Edie, et al. 1978. The effects of landslide siltation on the salmon and trout resources of Stequaleho Creek and the main Clearwater River, Jefferson County, Washington, 1972-1975: final report, part II. University of Washington, Fisheries Research Institute, Seattle. FRI-UW-7804. 53 p.

Cederholm, C. J., and N. P. Peterson. 1985. The retention of coho salmon *(Oncorhynchus kisutch)* carcasses by organic debris in small streams. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 42, no. 6, p. 1222-1225.

Cederholm, C. J., and L. M. Reid. 1987. Impact of forest management on coho salmon *(Oncorhynchus kisutch)* populations of the Clearwater River, Washington: a project summary. *In* E. O. Salo, and T. W. Cundy, eds. Streamside management: forestry and fishery interactions. University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle. Contribution 57. p. 373-398.

Cederholm, C. J., L. M. Reid, B. G. Edie, and E. O. Salo. 1981a. Effects of forest road erosion on salmonid spawning gravel composition and populations of the Clearwater River, Washington. *In* K. A. Hashagen, ed. Habitat disturbance and recovery: proceedings of a symposium, conducted January 1981. California Trout, San Francisco, CA. p. 1-17.

Cederholm, C. J., L. M. Reid, and E. O. Salo. 1981b. Cumulative effects of logging road sediment on salmonid populations in the Clearwater River, Jefferson County, Washington. *In* Proceedings from the conference, salmon-spawning gravel: a

renewable resource in the Pacific Northwest? Washington Water Research Center, Pullman. Report 39. p. 38-74.

Cederholm, C. J., and E. O. Salo. 1979. The effects of logging road landslide siltation on the salmon and trout spawning gravels of Stequaleho Creek and the Clearwater River basin, Jefferson County, Washington, 1972-1978: final report, part III. University of Washington, Fisheries Research Institute, Seattle. FRI-UW-7915. 99 p.

Cederholm, C. J., and W. J. Scarlett. 1982. Seasonal immigration of juvenile salmonids into four small tributaries of the Clearwater River, Washington, 1977-1981. *In* E. L. Brannon, and E. O. Salo, eds. Proceedings of the salmon and trout migratory behavior symposium. University of Washington, School of Fisheries, Seattle. p. 98-110.

Chamberlin, T. W., R. D. Harr, and F. H. Everest. 1991. Timber harvesting, silviculture, and watershed processes. *In* W. R. Meehan, ed. Influences of forest and rangeland management on salmonid fishes and their habitats. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD. Special publication no. 19. p. 181-204.

Chapman, D. W., and K. P. McLeod. 1987. Development of criteria for fine sediment in the northern Rockies ecoregion: final report. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Seattle. EPA 910/9-87-162. 279 p.

Chen, J., J. F. Franklin, and T. A. Spies. 1992. Vegetation responses to edge environments in old-growth Douglas-fir forests. Ecological Applications. v. 2, no. 4, p. 387-396.

Chen, J., J. F. Franklin, and T. A. Spies. 1993. Contrasting microclimates among clearcut, edge, and interior of old-growth Douglas-fir forest. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology. v. 63, no. 3/4, p. 219-237.

Cheng, J. D. 1988. Subsurface stormflows in the highly permeable forested watersheds of southwestern British Columbia. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology. v. 3, no. 2-4, p. 171-191.

Chesney, C. J. 1982. Mass erosion occurrence and debris torrent impacts on some streams in the Willamette National Forest. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis. 114 p.

Chorley, R. J., S. A. Schumm, and D. E. Sugden. 1984. Geomorphology. Methuen and Company, New York, NY. 605 p.

Christy, R. E., and S. D. West. 1993. Biology of bats in Douglas-fir forests. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-308. 28 p.

Coffin, B. A., and R. D. Harr. 1992. Effects of forest cover on volume of water delivery to soil during rain-on-snow. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Timber, Fish, and Wildlife Program (TFW)/Cooperative Monitoring, Evaluation and Research (CMER) Sediment, Hydrology, and Mass Wasting Steering Committee (SHAMW), Olympia. Project SH-1. 118 p.

Collings, M. R. 1973. Generalization of stream-temperature data in Washington. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey. Water supply paper 2024-B. 45 p.

Collins, D. 1993. Rate of timber harvest for 1988-1991: preliminary report and summary statistics for state and privately owned land. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Practices Division, Olympia. Unpublished report. 40 p.

Columbian White-Tailed Deer Recovery Team. 1983. Revised Columbian white-tailed deer recovery plan. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR. 75 p.

Commission on Old Growth Alternatives for Washington's Forest Trust Lands. 1989. Commission on Old Growth Alternatives for Washington's Forest Trust Lands: final report, June 1989. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. 40 p.

Compton, J. E., and D. W. Cole. 1991. Impact of harvest intensity on growth and nutrition of successive rotations of Douglas-fir. *In* W. J. Dyck, and C. A. Mees, eds. Long-term field trials to assess environmental impacts of harvesting: proceedings of the IEA/BE Workshop '90 (1990, Florida). Forest Research Institute, Rotorua, New Zealand. IEA/BET6/A6 Report no. 5. FRI Bulletin no. 161. p. 151-161.

Cordone, A. J., and D. W. Kelley. 1960. The influence of inorganic sediment on the aquatic life of streams. California Fish and Game. v. 46, p. 189-228.

Coutts, M. P. 1986. Components of tree stability in Sitka spruce on peaty gley soil. Forestry. v. 59, no. 2, p. 173-197.

Cowardin, L. M., V. Carter, F. C. Golet, and E. T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Biological Services, Washington, D.C. FWS/OBS-79/31. 103 p.

Craig, G. 1986. The peregrine falcon. *In* R. L. DiSilvestro, ed. Audubon wildlife report 1986. National Audubon Society, New York, NY. p. 807-826.

Crawford, R. 1994. Anthropod habitat conservation information for DNR Habitat Conservation Plan phase 1. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. Unpublished report.

Crocker-Bedford, D. C. 1990a. Status of the Queen Charlotte goshawk. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Tongass National Forest, Ketchikan, AK. 16 p.

Crocker-Bedford, D. C. 1990b. Goshawk reproduction and forest management. Wildlife Society Bulletin. v. 18, no. 3, p. 262-269.

Cummins, E. B., J. Engbring, C. Turley, and N. Wilkens. 1993. Marbled murrelet protection on nonfederal forest lands in Washington: a report of the Science Advisory Group to the Forest Practices Board on marbled murrelet rule making. Washington Forest Practices Board, Olympia. Unpublished report. 14 p.

Cummins, K. W. 1974. Structure and function of stream ecosystems. BioScience. v. 24, no. 11, p. 631-642.

Cummins, K. W., M. A. Wilzbach, D. M. Gates, et al. 1989. Shredders and riparian vegetation. BioScience. v. 39, no. 1, p. 24-30.

Curtis, J. G., D. W. Pelren, D. B. George, et al. 1990. Effectiveness of best management practices in preventing degradation of streams caused by silvicultural activities in Pickett State Forest, Tennessee. Tennessee Technological University, Center for the Management, Utilization and Protection of Water Resources.

Dawson, N. 1965. A comparative study of the ecology of eight species of fenland Carabidae (*Coleoptera*). Journal of Animal Ecology. v. 34, p. 299-314.

DeGraaf, R. M., et al. 1991. Forest and rangeland birds of the United States: natural history and habitat use. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington, D.C. Agricultural handbook no. 688. 625 p.

Den Boer, P. J. 1981. On the survival of populations in a heterogeneous and variable environment. Oecologia. v. 50, p. 39-53.

Diamond, J. M. 1984. Normal extinctions of isolated populations. *In* M. H. Nitecke, ed. Extinctions. University of Chicago Press, Chicago. p. 191-246.

Dietrich, W. E., and T. Dunne. 1978. Sediment budget for a small catchment in mountainous terrain. *In* O. Slaymaker, A. Rapp, and T. Dunne, eds. Field instrumentation and geomorphological problems. Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie, Supplementband 29. p. 191-206.

Divoky, G. J., and M. Horton. 1995. Breeding and natal dispersal, nest habitat loss and implications for marbled murrelet populations. *In* C. J. Ralph, G. L. Hunt, Jr., M. G. Raphael, and J. F. Piatt, eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Reseach Station, Arcata, CA. General technical report PSW-152. p. 83-87.

Doak, D. 1989. Spotted owls and old growth logging in the Pacific Northwest. Conservation Biology. v. 3, no. 4, p. 389-396.

Doak, D. F. 1992. Declaration in support of a motion for a preliminary injunction. Court case no. C92-479WD, document no. 15, filed 4/6/92. Available at: Clerk's Office, U.S. District Court, Western District of Washington, Seattle. [160 p.]

Dunbar, D. L., and I. R. Blackburn. 1993. Management strategies for the northern spotted owl in British Columbia. Canadian Spotted Owl Recovery Team. Unpublished draft report. 112 p.

Dunbar, D. L., B. P. Booth, E. D. Forsman, et al. 1991. Status of the spotted owl, *Strix occidentalis*, and barred owl, *Strix varia*, in southwestern British Columbia. Canadian Field-Naturalist. v. 105, no. 4, p. 464-468.

Duncan, S. H. 1986. Peak stream discharge during thirty years of sustained yield timber management in two fifth order watersheds in Washington State. Northwest Science. v. 60, no. 4, p. 258-264.

Duncan, S. H., and J. W. Ward. 1985. The influence of watershed geology and forest roads on the composition of salmon spawning gravel. Northwest Science. v. 59, no. 3, p. 204-212.

Dunne, T., and L. B. Leopold. Water in environmental planning. W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco. 818 p.

Eby, J. R., and M. C. Snyder. 1990. The status of old growth in western Washington: a Landsat perspective. Washington Department of Wildlife, Wildlife Management Division, Remote Sensing Program, Olympia. 34 p.

Emmett, R. L., S. L. Stone, S. A. Hinton, and M. E. Monaco. 1991. Distribution and abundance of fishes and invertebrates in West Coast estuaries–Species life history summaries. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Nation Ocean Service, Rockville, MD. Estuarine Living Marine Resources Program ELMR report no. 8. v. 2, 329 p.

Erhlich, P. R., D. S. Dobkin, and D. Wheye. 1988. The birder's handbook: a field guide to the natural history of North American birds. Simon and Schuster, New York. 785 p.

Erman, D. C., and D. Mahoney. 1983. Recovery after logging in streams with and without bufferstrips in northern California. University of California, California Water Resources Center, Davis, CA. Contribution no. 186. 50 p.

Erman, D. C., J. D. Newbold, and K. B. Roby. 1977. Evaluation of streamside bufferstrips for protecting aquatic organisms. University of California, California Water Resources Center, Davis, CA. Contribution no. 165. 48 p.

Ernst, C. H., and R. W. Barbour. 1972. Turtles of the United States. University Press of Kentucky, Lexington. 347 p.

ESRI. 1995. ARC/INFO (version 7.0) [Computer program]. Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, CA.

Everest, F. H., R. L. Beschta, J. C. Scrivener, et al. 1987. Fine sediment and salmonid production: a paradox. *In* E. O. Salo, and T. W. Cundy, eds. Streamside management: forestry and fishery interactions. University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle. Contribution 57. p. 98-142.

Fetherston, K. L., R. J. Naiman, and R. E. Bilby. 1995. Large woody debris, physical process, and riparian forest development in montane river networks of the Pacific Northwest. Geomorphology. v. 13, p. 133-144.

Fiksdal, A. J. 1974. A landslide survey of the Stequaleho Creek watershed. *In* C. J. Cederholm, and L. C. Lestelle. Observations on the effects of landslide siltation on salmon and trout resources of the Clearwater River, Jefferson County, Washington, 1972-73: final report, part I. University of Washington, Fisheries Research Institute, Seattle. FRI-UW-7404 Supplemental report.

Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team. 1993. Forest ecosystem management: an ecological, economic, and social assessment: report of the Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Commerce; U.S. Department of the Interior; and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 1 v.

Forsman, E. D. 1990. Habitat use and home range characteristics of spotted owls on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. *In* Wildlife habitat relationships in western Washington and Oregon. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Wildlife Ecology Team. PNW annual report, fiscal year 1990.

Forsman, E. D. 1991. Habitat use and home range characteristics of spotted owls on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. *In* Wildlife habitat relationships in western Washington and Oregon. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Wildlife Ecology Team, Olympia. PNW annual report, fiscal year 1991. p. 12-16.

Forsman, E. D. 1992a. Demographic characteristics of spotted owls on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington, 1987-1992. *In* Wildlife habitat relationships in western Washington and Oregon. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Wildlife Ecology Team, Olympia. PNW annual report, fiscal year 1992. p. 18-27.

Forsman, E. D. 1992b. Demographic studies of northern spotted owls. Raptor Research Foundation, Inc., annual meeting, 12 November, 1992, Bellevue, WA. Unpublished presentation.

Forsman, E. D., E. C. Meslow, and H. M. Wight. 1984. Distribution and biology of the spotted owl in Oregon. Wildlife Society, Bethesda, MD. Wildlife monographs no. 87. 64 p.

Foster, C. C, E. D. Forsman, E. C. Meslow, et al. 1992. Survival and reproduction of radio-marked adult spotted owls. Journal of Wildlife Management. v. 56, no. 1, p. 91-95.

Fraley, J. and B. Shepard. 1989. Life history, ecology, and population status of migratory bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus) in the Flathead Lake and River system, Montana. Northwest Science 63:133-143.

Franklin, J. F. 1982. Old growth forests in the Pacific Northwest: an ecological view. *In* Old growth forests: a balanced perspective; proceedings of a conference. University of Oregon, Bureau of Governmental Research and Service. p. 5-27.

Franklin, J. F. 1989. Toward a new forestry. American Forests. v. 95, no. 11-12, p. 37-44.

Franklin, J. F., and C. T. Dyrness. 1973. Natural vegetation of Oregon and Washington. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-8. 417 p.

Franklin, J. F., and R. T. T. Forman. 1987. Creating landscape patterns by forest cutting: ecological consequences and principles. Landscape Ecology. v. 1, no. 1, p. 5-18.

Franklin, J. F., and T. A. Spies. 1991. Composition, function, and structure of old-growth Douglas-fir forests. *In* L. F. Ruggiero, K. B. Aubry, A. B. Carey, M. H. Huff, tech. coords. Wildlife and vegetation of unmanaged Douglas-fir forests. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-GTR-285. p. 71-82.

Frederick, D. C. 1994. Letter, February 1, 1994 from D. C. Frederick, State Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Olympia, to J. Belcher, Commissioner of Public Lands, Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia.

Frederick, J. E., and J. Pitlick. 1975. A dimensionless rating curve for the rivers of the Puget Lowland. University of Washington, Department of Geological Sciences, Seattle. Unpublished report.

Fretwell, S. D., and H. L. Lucas. 1969. On territorial behavior and other factors influencing habitat distribution in birds. I. Theoretical development. Acta Biotheoretica. v. 19, p. 16-36.

Friesen, W. 1990. Winter dietary studies of juvenile coho salmon *(Oncorhynchus kisutch)* utilizing two enhanced wall-base channels along the Clearwater River in

Jefferson County, Washington. M.E.S. Thesis, The Evergreen State College, Olympia. 114 p.

Fry, F. E. J. 1947. Effects of the environment on animal activity. University of Toronto Press. University of Toronto studies. Biological series no. 55; Ontario Fisheries Research Laboratory no. 68. 62 p.

Fuller, T. K. 1989. Population dynamics of wolves in north-central Minnesota. Wildlife monographs no. 105. Wildlife Society, Bethesda, MD. 41 p.

Furniss, M. J., T. D. Roelofs, and C. S. Yee. 1991. Road construction and maintenance. *In* W. R. Meehan, ed. Influences of forest and rangeland management on salmonid fishes and their habitats. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD. Special publication no. 19. p. 297-323.

Fuss, H. J. 1982. Age, growth and instream movement of Olympic Peninsula coastal cutthroat trout *(Salmo clarki clarki)*. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle. 128 p.

Gaines, W. L., and R. E. Fitzner. 1987. Winter diet of the harlequin duck at Sequim Bay, Puget Sound, Washington. Northwest Science. v. 61, no. 4, p. 213-215.

Gaston, J. G. 1992. The ancient murrelet: a natural history in the Queen Charlotte Islands. Academic Press, San Diego, CA. 249 p.

Gerla, P. J. 1992. The relationship of water-table changes to the capillary fringe, evapotranspiration, and precipitation in intermittent wetlands. Wetlands. v. 12, p. 91-98.

Gibbs, J. P. 1993. Importance of small wetlands for the persistence of local populations of wetland-associated animals. Wetlands. v. 13, p. 25-31.

Gilpin, M. E., and I. Hanski, eds. 1991. Metapopulation dynamics: empirical and theoretical investigations. Linnaean Society of London and Academic Press, London. 336 p.

Glova, G. J. 1978. Pattern and mechanism of resource partitioning between stream populations of juvenile coho salmon *(Oncorhynchus kisutch)* and coastal cutthroat trout *(Salmo clarki clarki)*. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC. 185 p.

Gordon, K. L. 1939. The amphibia and reptilia of Oregon. Oregon State College, Corvallis. Studies in zoology no. 1. 82 p.

Grant, G. E. 1986. Downstream effects of timber harvest activities on the channel and valley floor morphology of western Cascade streams. Ph.D. Dissertation, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD. 367 p.

Grant, G. E. 1994. Peak flow responses to clearcutting and roads. *In* TFW-SHAMW low elevation hydrology workshop, March 11, 1994. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Timber, Fish and Wildlife Program, and Sediment, Hydrology, and Mass Wasting Steering Committee, Olympia. Unpublished report.

Gratowski, H. J. 1956. Windthrow around staggered settings in old-growth Douglas-fir. Forest Science. v. 2, no. 1, p. 60-74.

Green, K., S. Bernath, L. Lackey, et al. 1993. Analyzing the cumulative effects of forest practices: where do we start? GeoInfoSystems. v. 3, no. 2, p. 31-41.

Greenwood, P. J., and P. H. Harvey. 1982. The natal and breeding dispersal of birds. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics. v. 13, p. 1-21.

Gregory, S. V., G. A. Lamberti, D. C. Erman, et al. 1987. Influence of forest practices on aquatic production. *In* E. O. Salo, and T. W. Cundy, eds. Streamside management: forestry and fishery interactions. University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle. Contribution 57. p. 233-255.

Gregory, S. V., F. J. Swanson, W. A. McKee, and K. W. Cummins. 1991. An ecosystem perspective of riparian zones: focus on links between land and water. BioScience. v. 41, no. 8, p. 540-551.

Grette, G. B. 1985. The role of large organic debris in juvenile salmonid rearing habitat in small streams. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle. 105 p.

Grette, G. B., M. L. McHenry, E. J. Shott, et al. [in preparation] The longevity of large woody debris in low-gradient stream channels on the western Olympic Peninsula: a 10-year follow-up study (working title). Lower Elwha Fisheries Department, Port Angeles, WA, and Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission, Olympia.

Groot, C., and L. Margolis, eds. 1991. Pacific salmon life histories. University of British Columbia Press, Vancouver, BC. 564 p.

Gutierrez, R. J., A. B. Franklin, W. LaHaye, V. J. Meretsky, et al. 1985. Juvenile spotted owl dispersal in northwestern California: preliminary results. *In* R. J. Gutierrez, and A. B. Carey, eds. Ecology and management of the northern spotted owl in the Pacific Northwest. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-185. p. 60-65.

Hagen, D. W., G. E. Moodie, and P. F. Moodie. 1972. Territoriality and courtship in the Olympic mudminnow, *Novumbra hubbsi*. Canadian Journal of Zoology. v. 50, p. 1111-1115.

Haley, D., ed. 1984. Seabirds of eastern North Pacific and Arctic waters. Pacific Search Press, Seattle. 214 p.

Hallock, R. J. , R. F. Elwell, and D. H. Fry, Jr. 1970. Migrations of adult king salmon *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* in the San Joaquin Delta as demonstrated by the use of sonic tags. California Department of Fish and Game. Fish Bulletin 151. p. 8-92.

Hamer, T. E., E. D. Forsman, A. D. Fuchs, and M. L. Walters. 1994a. Hybridization between barred and spotted owls. Auk. v. 111, no. 2, p. 487-492.

Hamer, T. E., W. P. Ritchie, E. B. Cummins, and C. W. Turley. 1994b. Forest habitat relationships of marbled murrelets in western Washington. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Wildlife Management Division, Nongame Program, Olympia. 48 p.

Hansen, A. J. 1978. Population dynamics and night roost requirements of bald eagles wintering in the Nooksack River valley, Washington. Western Washington State College, Huxley College of Environmental Studies, Bellingham. 26 p.

Hanson, E., D. Hays, L. Hicks, et al. 1993. Spotted owl habitat in Washington: a report to the Washington Forest Practices Board: final report. Washington Forest Practices Board Spotted Owl Scientific Advisory Group, Olympia. 116 p.

Harlequin Duck Working Group. 1993. Status of harlequin ducks *(Histrionicus histrionicus)* in North America: report of the Harlequin Duck Working Group. Harlequin Duck Working Group, Galiano Island, BC. 83 p.

Harmon, M. E., J. F. Franklin, F. J. Swanson, et al. 1986. Ecology of coarse woody debris in temperate ecosystems. *In* A. Macfadyen, and E. D. Ford, eds. Advances in Ecological Research v. 15. Academic Press, London. p. 133-302.

Harr, R. D. 1979. Effects of timber harvest on streamflow in the rain-dominated portion of the Pacific Northwest. *In* Proceedings, scheduling timber harvest for hydrologic concerns workshop. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. Unpublished report. 46 p.

Harr, R. D. 1982. Fog drip in the Bull Run municipal watershed, Oregon. Water Resources Bulletin. v. 18, no. 5, p. 785-789.

Harr, R. D. 1986. Effects of clearcutting on rain-on-snow runoff in western Oregon: a new look at old studies. Water Resources Research. v. 22, no. 7, p. 1095-1100.

Harr, R. D., B. A. Coffin, and T. W. Cundy. 1989. Effects of timber harvest on rain-on-snow runoff in the transient snow zone of the Washington Cascades: interim final report submitted to Timber Fish and Wildlife (TFW) Sediment, Hydrology, and Mass Wasting (SHAM) Steering Committee for project 18 (Rain-on-snow) in accordance with cooperative agreement no. PNW 88-593. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Timber, Fish, and Wildlife Program, Olympia. TFW-18A-89-003. 29 p.

Harr, R. D., R. L. Fredriksen, and J. Rothacher. 1979. Changes in streamflow following timber harvest in southwest Oregon. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experimental Station, Portland, OR. Research paper PNW-249. 23 p.

Harr, R. D., W. C. Harper, J. T Krygier, and F. S. Hsieh. 1975. Changes in storm hydrographs after roadbuilding and clearcutting in the Oregon Coast Range. Water Resources Research. v. 11, no. 3, p. 436-444.

Harr, R. D., and F. M. McCorison. 1979. Initial effects of clearcut logging on size and timing of peak flows in a small watershed in western Oregon. Water Resources Research. v. 15, no. 1, p. 90-94.

Harris, A. S. 1989. Wind in the forests of southeast Alaska and guides for reducing damage. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-244. 63 p.

Harris, C. K. 1974. The geographical distribution and habitat of the Olympic mudminnow, *Novumbra hubbsi* (Schultz). University of Washington, College of Fisheries, Washington Cooperative Fishery Unit, Seattle. 33 p.

Harris, L. D. 1984. The fragmented forest: island biogeography theory and the preservation of biotic diversity. University of Chicago Press, Chicago. 211 p.

Hartman, G., J. C. Scrivener, L. B. Holtby, and L. Powell. 1987. Some effects of different streamside treatments on physical conditions and fish population processes in Carnation Creek, a coastal rain forest stream in British Columbia. *In* E. O. Salo, and T. W. Cundy, eds. Streamside management: forestry and fishery interactions. University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle. Contribution 57. p. 330-372.

Hatler, D. F. 1989. A wolverine management strategy for British Columbia. British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Victoria. Wildlife bulletin no. B-60. 124 p.

Hatten, J. R. 1991. The effects of debris torrents on spawning gravel quality in tributary basins and side-channels of the Hoh River, Washington. Hoh Indian Tribe, Forks, WA. 19 p.

Hatten, J. R. 1994. Relationships between basin morphology and woody debris in unlogged stream channels of Washington's Olympic Peninsula. Hoh Indian Tribe, Fisheries Department, Forks, WA.

Hatten, J. R., and R. H. Conrad. 1995. A comparison of summer stream temperatures in unmanaged and managed sub-basins of Washington's western Olympic Peninsula. Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission, Olympia. 52 p.

Hayes, D. W., H. L. Allen, and L. H. Egvedt. 1989. Spotted owl surveys of randomly selected transects in Washington: preliminary report. Washington Department of Wildlife, Olympia. 31 p.

Henderson, J. A., D. H. Peter, R. D. Lesher, and D. C. Shaw. 1989. Forested plant associations of the Olympic National Forest. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, OR. Ecological technical paper 001-88. 502 p.

Hennessy, S. P. 1978. Ecological relationships of *Accipiters* in northern Utah with special emphasis on the effects of human disturbance. M.S. Thesis, Utah State University, Logan. 66 p.

Herrington, R. E., and J. H. Larsen. 1985. Current status, habitat requirements and management of the Larch Mountain salamander *Plethodon larselli* Burns. Biological Conservation. v. 34, no. 2, p. 169-179.

Hetherington, E. D. 1987. Carnation Creek, Canada: review of a west coast fish/forestry watershed impact project. *In* R. H. Swanson, P. Y. Bernier, and P. D. Woodward, eds. Forest hydrology and watershed management. International Association of Hydrological Sciences, Washington, D.C. IASH publication no. 167. p. 531-538.

Hicks, B. J., J. D. Hall, P. A. Bisson, and J. R. Sedell. 1991. Response of salmonids to habitat changes. *In* W. R. Meehan, ed. Influences of forest and rangeland management on salmonid fishes and their habitats. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD. Special publication no. 19. p. 483-517.

Hoh Tribe, and Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1993. Forest agreement related to the Hoh River, Kalaloch Creek and Nolan Creek drainages–Memorandum of understanding. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympic Region, Forks, WA. Unpublished report. 15 p.

Holland, D. C. 1989. A synopsis of the ecology and current status of the western pond turtle *(Clemmys marmorata)*. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, National Ecological Research Center, Fort Collins, CO. Unpublished report.

Holtby, L. B. 1988. Effects of logging on stream temperatures in Carnation Creek, British Columbia, and associated impacts on the coho salmon *(Oncorhynchus kisutch)*. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 45, no. 3, p. 502-515.

Holthausen, R. S., M. G. Raphael, K. S. McKelvey, et al. 1994. The contribution of federal and nonfederal habitat to persistence of the northern spotted owl on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. Report of the Reanalysis Team, October 1994. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Olympia. 76 p.

Horton, S. P. [in press] Spotted owls in managed forests of western Oregon and Washington. *In* D. B. Bird, D. E. Varland, and J. J. Negro, eds. Raptors in human landscapes. Academic Press, London.

Houston, D. B., E. G. Schreiner, and B. B. Moorhead, eds. 1994. Mountain goats in Olympic National Park: biology and management of an introduced species. U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service. Scientific monograph 94/25. 295 p.

Howard, W. E. 1960. Innate and environmental dispersal of individual vertebrates. American Midland Naturalist. v. 63, p. 152-161.

Hupp, C. R., and D. E. Basemore. 1993. Temporal and spatial patterns of wetland sedimentation, west Tennessee. Journal of Hydrology. v. 141, p. 179-196.

Hupp, C. R., M. D. Woodside, and T. M. Yanosky. 1993. Sediment and trace element trapping in a forested wetland, Chickahiny River, Virginia. Wetlands. v. 13, p. 95-104.

Irwin, L. L. 1993. Habitat conditions, wildfire risk, and demography of northern spotted owls in the eastern Cascade Mountains, Washington. National Council for Air and Stream Improvement, Inc. New York, NY. NCASI Special Report 93-04:20-23.

Irwin, L. L. , and S. K. Martin. 1992. Demography of spotted owls in managed and unmanaged forests on the east slope of the cascade mountains, Washington. National Council of the Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement. Annual Report.

Jacques, J. E., and D. L. Lorenz. 1988. Techniques for estimating the magnitude and frequency of floods in Minnesota. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, St. Paul, MN. Water resources investigations report 87-4170. 48 p.

Johnson, A. W., and D. M. Ryba. 1992. A literature review of recommended buffer widths to maintain various functions of stream riparian areas. King County Surface Water Management Division, Seattle.

Johnson, D. H. 1993. Spotted owls, great horned owls, and forest fragmentation in the central Oregon Cascades. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis. 125 p.

Johnson, P. J. 1979. A report on a survey for Beller's ground beetle on the north fork of the Snoqualmie River, King County, Washington. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, Seattle. Unpublished report. No. DACW67-79-M-1189.

Johnson, P. J. 1986. Letter. Available at: Washington Department of Wildlife, Nongame Program, Olympia. [Agency is now named Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Wildlife Diversity Division.]

Johnson, R. L., and F. R. Lockard. 1983. Mountain goats and mountain sheep of Washington. Washington Department of Game, Olympia. Biological bulletin no. 18. 196 p.

Johnston, C. A. 1994. Cumulative impacts to wetlands. Wetlands. v. 14, p. 49-55.

Johnston, C. A., N. E. Deternbeck, and G. J. Niemi. 1990. The cumulative effects of wetlands on stream water quality and quantity. Biogeochemistry. v. 10, p. 105-141.

Jones, J. A. 1987. The initiation of natural drainage networks. Progress in Physical Geography. v. 11, p. 207-245.

Jones, J. A., and G. E. Grant. [in press] Cumulative effects of forest harvest on peak streamflow in six large basins in the western Cascades of Oregon.

Jones & Stokes Associates, and Washington Department of Natural Resources, Timber, Fish, and Wildlife Project. 1991. Watershed characteristics and conditions inventory: Pysht River and Snow Creek watersheds. Jones & Stokes Associates, Bellevue, WA. 1 v.

Kan, T. T. 1975. Systematics, variation, distribution and biology of lampreys of the genus *Lamptera* in Oregon. Ph.D. Dissertation, Oregon State University, Corvallis. 194 p.

Keister, J. P., Jr. 1981. As assessment of bald eagle communal roosting in northwestern Washington. Washington Department of Game, Olympia. Unpublished report.

Keister, J. P., Jr., R. G. Anthony, and E. J. O'Neill. 1987. Use of communal roosts and foraging areas by bald eagles wintering in the Klamath basin. Journal of Wildlife Management. v. 51, no. 2, p. 415-420.

Keller, E. A., and F. J. Swanson. 1979. Effects of large organic material on channel form and fluvial processes. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. v. 4, no. 4, p. 361-380.

Keller, E. A., and T. Tally. 1979. Effects of large organic debris on channel form and fluvial processes in the coastal redwood environment. *In* D. D. Rhodes, and G. P. Williams, eds. Adjustments of the fluvial system: a proceedings volume of the tenth annual geomorphology symposia series. Kendall/Hunt, Dubuque, IA. p. 169-197.

Kimmins, J. P. 1987. Forest ecology. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York. 531 p.

Kirk, R., J. F. Franklin, and L. Kirk. 1992. The Olympic rain forest: an ecological web. University of Washington Press, Seattle. 128 p.

Knight, C. A., and D. E. Seaman. 1995. Is northern spotted owl fecundity correlated with weather? Wildlife Society 2nd annual conference, Portland, OR, September 12-17, 1995. Poster presentation.

Koski, K. V. 1966. The survival of coho salmon *(Oncorhynchus kisutch)* from egg deposition to emergence in three Oregon coastal streams. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis. 84 p.

Koski, K. V. 1975. The survival and fitness of two stocks of chum salmon *(Oncorhynchus keta)* from egg deposition to emergence in a controlled-stream environment at Big Beef Creek. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Washington, Seattle. 212 p.

Kruckeberg, A. R. 1991. The natural history of Puget Sound country. University of Washington Press, Seattle. 468 p.

Lamberson, R. H., R. McKelvey, B. R. Noon, and C. Voss. 1992. A dynamic analysis of northern spotted owl viability in a fragmented forest landscape. Conservation Biology. v. 6, no. 4, p. 505-512.

Lamberson, R. H., B. R. Noon, C. Voss, and K. S. McKelvey. 1994. Reserve design for territorial species: the effects of patch size and spacing on the viability of the northern spotted owl. Conservation Biology. v. 8, no. 1, p. 185-195.

Lande, R. 1988. Demographic models of the northern spotted owl. Oecologica. v. 75, p. 601-607.

Lantz, R. L. 1970. Influence of water temperature on fish survival, growth, and behavior. *In* Forest land uses and stream environment: proceedings of a symposium. Oregon State University Continuing Education Publications, Corvallis. p. 182-193.

Larsen, E. M., E. Rodrick, and R. Milner, eds. 1995. Management recommendations for Washington's priority species, volume 1: Invertebrates. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia. 82 p.

Larsen, E. M., E. Rodrick, and R. Milner, eds. [in preparation] Management recommendations for Washington's priority species, volume 4: Birds. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia.

Laufer, J. R., and P. T. Jenkins. 1989. Historical and present status of the grey wolf in the Cascade mountains of Washington. Northwest Environmental Journal. v. 5, no. 2, p. 313-327.

Lawson, B., and R. Johnson. 1982. Mountain sheep (*Ovis canadensis and O. dalli*). *In* J. A. Chapman, and G. A. Feldhamer, eds. Wild mammals of North America: biology, management, and economics. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD. p. 1036-1055.

Lee, D. S., C. R. Gilbert, C. H. Hocutt, et al. 1994. Atlas of North American freshwater fishes. North Carolina State Museum of Natural History, Raleigh, NC. North Carolina Biological Survey publication 1980-12. 867 p.

Lehmkuhl, J. F., and M. G. Raphael. 1993. Habitat pattern around northern spotted owl locations on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. Journal of Wildlife Management. v. 57, no. 2, p. 302-315.

Leonard, W. P., H. A. Brown, K. R. McAllister, et al. 1993. Amphibians of Washington and Oregon. Seattle Audubon Society, Seattle. 168 p.

Lesher, R. D., and J. A. Henderson. 1989. Indicator species of the Olympic National Forest. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, OR. 78 p.

Lestelle, L. C. 1978. The effects of forest debris removal on populations of resident cutthroat trout in small headwater streams. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle. 86 p.

Lestelle, L. C., M. L. Rowse, and C. Weller. 1993. Evaluation of natural stock improvement measures for Hood Canal coho salmon. Point No Point Treaty Council, Kingston, WA. Technical report 93-1. 179 p.

Levins, R. 1970. Extinction. *In* M. Gerstenhaber, ed. Some mathematical questions in biology. Lectures on mathematics in life sciences. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI. v. 2.

LeWarne, C. P. 1986. Washington State. University of Washington Press, Seattle. 411 p.

Licht, L. E. 1969. Comparative breeding behavior of the red-legged frog (*Rana aurora aurora*) and the western spotted frog (*Rana pretiosa pretiosa*) in southwestern British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Zoology. v. 47, p. 1287-1299.

Licht, L. E. 1971. Breeding habits and embryonic thermal requirements of the frogs, *Rana aurora aurora* and *Rana pretiosa pretiosa*, in the Pacific Northwest. Ecology. v. 52, no. 1, p. 116-124.

Littlefield, C. D., and R. A. Ryder. 1968. Breeding in biology of the greater sandhill crane on Malheur National Wildlife Refuge, Oregon. *In* Transactions of the thirty-third North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference, 1968. Wildlife Management Institute, Washington, D.C.

Lloyd, D. S., J. P. Koenings, and J. D. LaPerriere. 1987. Effects of turbidity in fresh waters of Alaska. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. v. 7, no. 1, p. 18-33.

Logan, R. L., K. L. Kaler, and P. K. Bigelow. 1991. Prediction of sediment yield from tributary basins along Huelsdonk Ridge, Hoh River, Washington. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Division of Geology and Earth Resources, Olympia. Open file report 91-7. 14 p.

Lyon, L. J. 1979. Habitat effectiveness for elk as influenced by roads and cover. Journal of Forestry. v. 77, no. 10, p. 658-660.

Madden, J. R. 1974. Female territoriality in a Suffolk County, Long Island, population of *Glaucomys volans.* Journal of Mammology. v. 55, p. 647-652.

Madej, M. A. 1982. Sediment transport and channel changes in an aggrading stream in the Puget Lowland, Washington. *In* F. J. Swanson, R. J. Janda, T. Dunne, and D. N. Swanston, eds. Sediment budgets and routing in forested drainage basins. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-141. p. 97-108.

Madrona, C. 1995a. Fringed myotis *(Myotis thysanodes).* U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Olympia. Unpublished draft report. 2 p.

Madrona, C. 1995b. Small-footed myotis *(Myotis ciliolabrum).* U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Olympia. Unpublished draft report. 2 p.

Major, R. L., and J. L. Mighell. 1966. Influence of Rocky Reach Dam and the temperature of the Okanogan River on the upstream migration of sockeye salmon. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Fishery Bulletin. v. 66, p. 131-147.

Marbled Murrelet Recovery Team. 1995. Draft recovery plan for the threatened marbled murrelet *(Brachyramphus marmoratis)* in Washington, Oregon and California: technical/agency review draft, July 1995. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR. 171 p.

Marcot, B. G., and R. Holthausen. 1987. Analyzing population viability of the spotted owl in the Pacific Northwest. *In* Transactions of the fifty-second North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference, 1987. Wildlife Management Institute, Washington, D.C. p. 333-347.

Marra, J. L. 1995. Coarse woody debris respiration and invertebrate diversity of old-growth and clear-cut sites on the Olympic Peninsula. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Washington, Seattle. 149 p.

Marshall and Associates, Inc., and R. V. Quenet Consulting, Ltd. 1996. Task 1: Skagit area pilot project, final report, hardwood resources database project. [Prepared for] Washington Hardwoods Commission, Olympia. 7 p., plus appendices.

Marshall, D. B. 1992a. Sensitive vertebrates of Oregon. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 1 v.

Marshall, D. B. 1992b. Status of the northern goshawk in Oregon and Washington. Audubon Society of Portland, Portland, OR. 34 p.

Martin, F. C. 1995. Habitat Conservation Plan, Olympic Experimental State Forest Planning Unit: Sustainable harvest analysis. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Resources Division, Olympia. Unpublished preliminary report.

Marzluff, J. M. 1994. Historical changes of populations and perceptions of native pest bird species in the west. *In* J. R. Jehl, Jr., and N. K. Johnson, eds. A century of avifaunal change in western North America. Cooper Ornithological Society, San Diego, CA. Studies in avian biology no. 15. p. 202-220.

Maser, C., B. R. Mate, J. F. Franklin, and C. T. Dyrness. 1981. Natural history of Oregon coast mammals. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-133. 496 p.

Maser, C., and J. R. Sedell. 1994. From the forest to the sea: the ecology of wood in streams, rivers, estuaries, and oceans. St. Lucie Press, Delray Beach, FL. 200 p.

Maser, C., R. F. Tarrant, J. M. Trappe, and J. F. Franklin, eds. 1988. From the forest to the sea: a story of fallen trees. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-229. 153 p.

McAllister, K. R., and B. Leonard. 1990. Past distribution and current status of the spotted frog in western Washington: 1989 progress report. Washington Department of Wildlife, Wildlife Management Division, Nongame Program, Olympia. 16 p.

McCallum, D. A. 1994. Review of technical knowledge: flammulated owls. *In* G. D. Hayward, and J. Verner, eds. Flammulated, boreal, and great gray owls in the United States: a technical conservation assessment. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, CO. General technical report RM-253. p. 14-46.

McCord, C. M., and J. E. Cardoza. 1990. Bobcat and lynx. *In* J. A. Chapman, and G. A. Feldhamer, eds. Wild mammals of North America: biology, management, and economics. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD. p. 728-766.

McDade, M. H., F. J. Swanson, W. A. McKee, et al. 1990. Source distances for coarse woody debris entering small streams in western Oregon and Washington. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. v. 20, no. 3, p. 326-330.

McHenry, M. L. 1991. The effects of debris torrents on macroinvertebrate populations in tributaries and side-channels of the Hoh River, Washington. Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission, Olympia. Technical report. 26 p.

McHenry, M. L., D. C. Morrill, and E. Currence. 1994. Spawning gravel quality, watershed characteristics and early life history survival of coho salmon and steelhead in five north Olympic Peninsula watersheds. Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia. 60 p.

McHenry, M. L., S. C. Shaw, C. Toal, et al. 1995. Assessment of physical and biological conditions within the Deep Creek watershed, northern Olympic Peninsula, Washington: historic relationships between fish habitat and mass-wasting processes, and recommendations for watershed restoration. Lower Elwha Fisheries Department, Port Angeles, WA, and Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia [for] Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympic Region, Forks, WA. Unpublished report. 1 v.

McKelvey, K. 1992. A spatially explicit life-history simulator for the northern spotted owl. *In* Draft Eugene District resource management plan environmental impact statement. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Eurgene District, Eugene, OR. Appendix 4-P.

McPhail, J. D., and C. Murray. 1979. The early life history and ecology of Dolly Varden in the upper Arrow Lakes. British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority [Victoria?], and Kootenay Department of Fish and Wildlife. Unpublished report.

Mead, W. J., D. D. Muraoka, M. Schniepp, and R. B. Watson. 1991. The economic consequences of preserving old growth timber for spotted owls in Oregon in Washington. University of California, Santa Barbara, Community and Organization Research Institute.

Mech, L. D. 1980. Wolf population survival in an area of high road density. American Midland Naturalist. v. 121, no. 2, p. 387-389.

Mech, L. D. 1981. The wolf: the ecology and behavior of an endangered species. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis. 384 p.

Meehan, W. R. 1970. Some effects of shade cover on stream temperature in southeast Alaska. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. Research note PNW-113. 9 p.

Meehan, W. R., and T. C. Bjornn. 1991. Salmonid distributions and life histories. *In* W. R. Meehan, ed. Influences of forest and rangeland management on salmonid fishes and their habitats. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD. Special publication no. 19. p. 47-82.

Meehan, W. R., and D. N. Swanston. 1977. Effects of gravel morphology on fine sediment accumulation and survival of incubating salmon eggs. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. Research paper PNW-220. 16 p.

Megahan, W. F. 1980. Nonpoint source pollution from forestry activities in the western United States: results of recent research and research needs. *In* U.S. forestry and water quality: what course in the 80's?; an analysis of environmental and economic issues; proceedings. Water Pollution Control Federation, Washington, D.C. p. 92-151.

Megahan, W. F. 1982. Channel sediment storage behind obstructions in forested drainage basins draining the granitic bedrock of the Idaho Batholith. *In* F. J. Swanson, R. J. Janda, T. Dunne, and D. N. Swanston, eds. Sediment budgets and routing by forested drainage basins. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-141. p. 114 -121.

Megahan, W. F., and W. J. Kidd. 1972. Effects of logging and logging roads on erosion and sediment deposition from steep terrain. Journal of Forestry. v. 70, no. 3, p. 136-141.

Meldrim, J. W. 1968. The ecological zoogeography of the Olympic mudminnow *(Novumbra hubbsi,* Schultz). Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Washington, Seattle. 157 p.

Mellen, T. K., E. C. Meslow, and R. W. Mannan. 1992. Summertime home range and habitat use of pileated woodpeckers in western Oregon. Journal of Wildlife Management. v. 56, no. 1, p. 96-103.

Miller, D. J. 1995. Coupling GIS with physical models to assess deep-seated landslide hazards. Environmental & Engineering Geoscience. v. 1, no. 3, p. 263-276.

Miller, G. S. 1989. Dispersal of juvenile spotted owls in western Oregon. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis. 139 p.

Miller, G. S., E. D. Forsman, and D. H. Johnson. 1992. Dispersal and survival of juvenile northern spotted owls. Raptor Research Foundation Inc., annual meeting, 12 November, 1992, Bellevue, WA. Unpublished presentation.

Miller, S. L., and C. J. Ralph. 1995. Relationship of marbled murrelets with habitat characteristics at inland sites in California. *In* C. J. Ralph, G. L. Hunt, Jr., M. G. Raphael, and J. F. Piatt, eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA. General technical report PSW-152. p. 205- 215.

Mills, L. S., R. J. Fredrickson, and B. B. Moorhead. 1993. Characteristics of old-growth forests associated with northern spotted owls in the Olympic National Park. Journal of Wildlife Management. v. 57, no. 2, p. 315-321.

Mobbs, M. W., and B. C. Jones. 1995. Riparian management zone project report. Quinault Indian Nation, Department of Natural Resources, Division of Environmental Protection, Taholah, WA. 50 p.

Monan, G. E., J. H. Johnson, and G. F. Esterberg. 1975. Electronic tags and related tracking techniques aid in study of migrating salmon and steelhead trout in the Columbia River basin. Marine Fisheries Review. v. 37, no. 2, p. 9-15.

Mongillo, P. E. 1993. The distribution and status of bull trout/Dolly Varden in Washington State, June 1992. Washington Department of Wildlife, Fisheries Management Division, Olympia. Report no. 93-22. 45 p.

Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks. 1985. Coordinating elk and timber management: final report of the Montana cooperative elk-logging study 1970-1985. Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, Bozeman, MT. 53 p.

Montgomery, D. R., and W. E. Dietrich. 1988. Where do channels begin? Nature. v. 336, no. 6196, p. 232-234.

Moore, M. K. 1977. Factors contributing to blowdown in streamside leave strips on Vancouver Island. British Columbia Ministry of Forests, Information Division, Victoria. Land management report no. 3. 34 p.

Moring, J. R. 1982. Decrease in stream gravel permeability after clear-cut logging: an indication of intragravel conditions for developing salmonid eggs and alevins. Hydrobiologica. v. 88, p. 295-298.

Morrison, P. H. 1988. Old growth in the Pacific Northwest: a status report. Wilderness Society, Washington, D.C. 46 p.

Mundie, J. H. 1969. Ecological implications of the diet of juvenile coho in streams. *In* T. G. Northcote, ed. Symposium on salmon and trout in streams; a symposium held at the University of British Columbia, February 22 to 24, 1968. University of British Columbia, Institute of Fisheries, Vancouver. p. 135-152.

Murphy, M. L., and J. D. Hall. 1981. Varied effects of clear-cut logging on predators and their habitat in small streams of the Cascade mountains, Oregon. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 38, p. 137-145.

Murphy, M. L., and K. V. Koski. 1989. Input and depletion of woody debris in Alaska streams and implications for streamside management. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. v. 9, no. 4, p. 427-436.

Murray, K. G., K. Winnett-Murray, Z. A. Eppley, et al. 1983. Breeding biology of the Xantus' murrelet. Condor. v. 85, p. 12-21.

Nagorsen, D. W., and R. M. Brigham. 1993. Bats of British Columbia. University of British Columbia Press, Vancouver. Royal British Columbia Museum handbook. 164 p.

Naiman, R. J., T. J. Beechie, L. E. Benda, et al. 1992. Fundamental elements of ecologically healthy watersheds in the Pacific Northwest coastal ecoregion. *In* R. J. Naiman, ed. Watershed management: balancing sustainability and environmental change. Springer-Verlag, New York. p. 127-188.

Naiman, R. J., and J. R. Sedell. 1979. Relationships between metabolic parameters and stream order in Oregon. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 37, no. 5, p. 834-847.

National Geographic Society. 1987. Field guide to the birds of North America, 2nd ed. National Geographic Society, Washington, D.C. 464 p.

Nehlsen, W., J. E. Williams, and J. A. Lichatowich. 1991. Pacific salmon at the crossroads: stocks at risk from California, Oregon, Idaho, and Washington. Fisheries. v. 16, no. 2, p. 4-21.

Neitzel, D. A., and T. J. Frest. 1993. Survey of Columbia River basin streams for Columbia pebblesnail, *Fuminicola columbiana*, and shortface lanx, *Fisherola nuttalli*. Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, WA [for] U.S. Department of Energy. 1 v.

Nelson, S. K., and T. E. Hamer. 1995a. Nest success and the effects of predation on marbled murrelets. *In* C. J. Ralph, G. L. Hunt, Jr., M. G. Raphael, and J. F. Piatt, eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA. General technical report PSW-152. p. 89-97.

Nelson, S. K., and T. E. Hamer. 1995b. Nesting biology and behavior of the marbled murrelet. *In* C. J. Ralph, G. L. Hunt, Jr., M. G. Raphael, and J. F. Piatt, eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA. General technical report PSW-152. p. 57-67.

Nettleship, D. N., and T. R. Birkhead, eds. 1985. The Atlantic Alcidae: the evolution, distribution, and biology of the auks inhabiting the Atlantic Ocean and adjacent water areas. Academic Press, London. 574 p.

Newbold, J. D., D. C. Erman, and K. B. Roby. 1980. Effects of logging on macroinvertebrates in streams with and without buffer strips. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 37, no. 7, p. 1076-1085.

Newbold, J. D., P. J. Mulholland, J. W. Elwood, and R. V. O'Neill. 1982. Organic spiraling in stream ecosystems. Oikos. v. 38, p. 266-272.

Noggle, C. C. 1978. Behavioral, physiological and lethal effects of suspended sediment on juvenile salmonids. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle. 87 p.

Noon, B. R., and C. M. Biles. 1990. Mathematical demography of spotted owls in the Pacific Northwest. Journal of Wildlife Management. v. 54, no. 1, p. 18-27.

Norse, E. A. 1990. Ancient forests of the Pacific Northwest. Island Press, Washington, D.C. 327 p.

North, M. P. 1993. Stand structure and truffle abundance associated with northern spotted owl habitat. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Washington, Seattle. 113 p.

Nussbaum, R. A., E. D. Brodie, Jr., and R. M. Storm. 1983. Amphibians and reptiles of the Pacific Northwest. University Press of Idaho, Moscow. 332 p.

Oberts, G. L. 1981. Impacts of wetlands on watershed water quality. *In* B. Richardson, ed. Selected proceedings of the Midwest Conference on Wetland Values and Management. Freshwater Society, Navarre, MN. p. 213-226.

O'Connell, M. A., J. G. Hallett, and S. D. West, compilers. 1993. Wildlife use of riparian habitats: a literature review. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Timber, Fish, and Wildlife Project, Olympia. TFW-WL1-93-001. 162 p.

O'Connor, M. D. 1994. Bedload transport processes in steep tributary streams, Olympic Peninsula, Washington, U.S.A. *In* S. Y. Wang, ed. Advances in hydroscience and hydro-engineering. University of Mississippi, School of Engineering, Center for Computational Hydroscience and Engineering, University, MS. v. 1, p. 243-250.

O'Connor, M. D., and T. W. Cundy. 1993. North Fork Calawah River watershed condition survey: landslide inventory and geomorphic analysis of mass erosion. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Olympic National Forest, Olympia. Unpublished report. 17 p.

O'Hara, R. K. 1981. Habitat selection behavior in three species of anuran larvae: environmental cues, ontogeny, and adaptive significance. Ph.D. Dissertation, Oregon State University, Corvallis. 146 p.

Old Growth Definition Task Group. 1986. Interim definitions for old growth Douglas-fir and mixed-conifer forests in the Pacific Northwest and California. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. Research note PNW-447. 7 p.

Oliver, C. D. 1981. Forest development in North America following major disturbances. Journal of Forest Ecology and Management. v. 3, p. 153-168.

Oliver, C. D., and B. C. Larson. 1990. Forest stand dynamics. McGraw-Hill, New York. 467 p.

Oregon Department of Forestry. 1994. The Oregon Forest Practices Act water protection rules. Oregon Department of Forestry, Forest Practices Policy Unit, Salem, OR. 1 v.

Osborn, J. G. 1981. The effects of logging on cutthroat trout *(Salmo clarki)* in small headwater streams. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle. 89 p.

Pacific Coast American Peregrine Falcon Recovery Team. 1982. Pacific coast recovery plan for the American peregrine falcon. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Coast American Peregrine Falcon Recovery Team, [Denver, CO?]. 87 p.

Paquet, P., and A. Hackman. 1995. Large carnivore conservation in the Rocky Mountains. World Wildlife Fund Canada, Inc. 51 p.

Parsons, S. C. 1976. A dimensionless rating curve for rivers of the western Cascade mountains, Washington. University of Washington, Department of Geological Sciences, Seattle. Unpublished report.

Patla, S. 1990. Northern goshawk monitoring project report, 1989 Targhee National Forest, St. Anthony, Idaho. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Targhee National Forest. Final report. 22 p.

Paton, P. W. C. 1994. The effect of edge on avian nesting success: how strong is the evidence? Conservation Biology. v. 8, no. 1, p. 17-26.

Paton, P. W. C., C. J. Zabel, D. L. Neal, et al. 1991. Effects of radio tags on spotted owls. Journal of Wildlife Management. v. 55, no. 4, p. 617-622.

Pentec Environmental. 1995. Watershed analysis report for the Hoko Watershed administrative unit. Cavenham-Hanson Natural Resources Company, Port Angeles, WA, and Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Practices Division, Olympia. Unpublished report. 1 v.

Perkins, J. M. 1990. Results of population monitoring for the category 2 species *Plecotus townsendii* in Oregon and Washington–1989-1990. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, [Portland, OR?]. Contract no. 90-9-03. 25 p.

Perkins, J. M., and C. Levesque. 1987. Distribution, status, and habitat affinities of Townsend's big-eared bat (*Plecotus townsendii*) in Oregon. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Nongame Wildlife Program, Portland, OR. Technical report 86-5-01. 54 p.

Perry, D. A. 1995. Status of forest habitat of the marbled murrelet. *In* C. J. Ralph, G. L. Hunt, Jr., M. G. Raphael, and J. F. Piatt, eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA. General technical report PSW-152. p. 381-383.

Peterson, N. P. 1982a. Population characteristics of juvenile coho salmon *(Oncorhynchus kisutch)* overwintering in riverine ponds. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 39, no. 9, p. 1303-1307.

Peterson, N. P. 1982b. Immigration of juvenile coho salmon *(Oncorhynchus kisutch)* into riverine ponds. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 39, no. 9, p. 1308-1310.

Peterson, N. P., and L. M. Reid. 1984. Wall-base channels: their evolution, distribution, and use by juvenile coho salmon in the Clearwater River, Washington. *In* J. M. Walton, and D. B. Houston, eds. Proceedings of the Olympic Wild Fish Conference, March 23-25, 1983. [May be obtained from J. M. Walton, Fisheries Technology Program, Peninsula College, Port Angeles, WA.] p. 215-225.

Pfankuch, D. J. 1975. Stream reach inventory and channel stability evaluation: a watershed management procedure. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Region, St. Paul, MN. 26 p.

Phillips, E. L., and W. R. Donaldson. 1972. Washington climate for these counties–Clallam, Grays Harbor, Jefferson, Pacific, Wahkiakum. Washington State University, College of Agriculture, Cooperative Extension Service, Pullman. 92 p.

Plum Creek Timber Company. 1995. Draft multi-species habitat conservation plan on forestlands owned by the Plum Creek Timber Company, L.P. in the I-90 corridor of the central Cascades mountain range, Washington. Plum Creek Timber Company, Seattle. 1 v.

Potts, D. F., and B. K. M. Anderson. 1990. Organic debris and the management of small stream channels. Western Journal of Applied Forestry. v. 5, no. 1, p. 25-28.

Powell, R. A., and W. J. Zielinski. 1994. Fisher. *In* L. F. Ruggiero, K. B. Aubry, S. W. Buskirk, et al., eds. American marten, fisher, lynx, and wolverine in the western United States. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, CO. General technical report RM-254. p. 38-73.

Pulliam, H. R. 1988. Sources, sinks, and population regulation. American Naturalist. v. 132, p. 652-661.

Pyle, R. M. 1974. Watching Washington butterflies–an interpretive guide to the state's 134 species, including most of the butterflies of Oregon, Idaho, and British Columbia. Seattle Audubon Society, Seattle. 109 p.

Pyle, R. M. 1989. Washington butterfly conservation status report and plan. Washington Department of Wildlife, Nongame Program, Olympia. 217 p.

Raedeke, K. J., ed. 1988. Streamside management: riparian wildlife and forestry interactions. University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle. Contribution 59. 277 p.

Ralph, C. J., G. L. Hunt, Jr., M. G. Raphael, and J. F. Piatt. 1995a. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet in North America: an overview. *In* C. J. Ralph, G. L. Hunt, Jr., M. G. Raphael, and J. F. Piatt, eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA. General technical report PSW-152. p. 3-22.

Ralph, C. J., S. K. Nelson, S. L. Miller, and T. E. Hamer. 1995b. Survey methods for marbled murrelets in forests protocol summary. Pacific Seabird Group, Marbled Murrelet Technical Committee. 22 p. [available from U.S. Forest Service, Redwood Sciences Laboratory, 1700 Bayview Dr., Arcata, CA].

Ralph, C. J., S. K. Nelson, M. M. Shaughnessy, et al. 1994a. Methods for surveying marbled murrelets in forests: a protocol for land management and research. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest Experiment Station, Arcata, CA.

Ralph, S. C., G. C. Poole, L. L. Conquest, and R. J. Naiman. 1994b. Stream channel morphology and woody debris in logged and unlogged basins of western Washington. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 51, no. 1, p. 37-51.

Raphael, M. G., J. A. Young, and B. M. Galleher. 1995. A landscape-level analysis of marbled murrelet habitat in western Washington. *In* C. J. Ralph, G. L. Hunt, Jr., M. G. Raphael, and J. F. Piatt, eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA. General technical report PSW-152. p. 177-189.

Raphael, M. G., J. A. Young, K. McKelvey, et al. 1994. A simulation analysis of population dynamics of the northern spotted owl in relation to forest management alternatives. *In* U.S. Department of Agriculture, and U.S. Department of the Interior. Final supplemental environmental impact statement on management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the northern spotted owl. Interagency SEIS Team, Portland, OR. v. 2, Appendix J3.

Rashin, E., and C. Graber. 1992. Effectiveness of Washington's forest practice riparian management zone regulations for protection of stream temperature. Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia. Publication no. 92-64. 1 v.

Reed, P. B., Jr. 1988. National list of plant species that occur in wetlands: Northwest (Region 9). U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. Biological report 88(26.9). 89 p.

Reed, P. B., Jr. 1993. Supplement to national list of plant species that occur in wetlands: Northwest (Region 9). U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR.

Reeves, G. H., F. H. Everest, and J. D. Hall. 1987. Interactions between the redside shiner *(Richardsonius balteatus)* and the steelhead trout *(Salmo gairdneri)* in western Oregon: the influence of water temperature. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 44, no. 9, p. 1603-1613.

Reid, L. M. 1981. Sediment production from gravel-surfaced forest roads, Clearwater basin, Washington. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle. 247 p.

Reid, L. M., and T. Dunne. 1984. Sediment production from forest road surfaces. Water Resources Research. v. 20, no. 11, p. 1753-1761.

Reingold, M. 1968. Water temperature affects the ripening of adult fall chinook salmon and steelhead. Progressive Fish-Culturest. v. 30, no. 2, p. 41-42.

Reiser, D. W., and T. C. Bjornn. 1979. Habitat requirements of anadromous salmonids. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-96. 54 p.

Renner, J. 1993. Northwest marine weather from the Columbia River to Cape Scott, including Puget Sound, the San Juan and Gulf Islands, and the Straits of Juan de Fuca, Georgia, Johnstone, and Queen Charlotte. Mountaineers, Seattle. 158 p.

Reynolds, R. T. 1983. Management of western coniferous forest habitat for nesting accipter hawks. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, CO. General technical report RM-102. 7 p.

Reynolds, R. T., R. T. Graham, M. H. Reiser, et al. 1991. Management recommendations for the northern goshawk in the southwestern United States. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, CO. General technical report RM-217. 90 p.

Reynolds, R. T., E. C. Meslow, and H. M. Wight. 1982. Nesting habitat of coexisting *Accipiter* in Oregon. Journal of Wildlife Management. v. 46, no. 1, p. 124-138.

Richards, K. S. 1982. Rivers: form and process in alluvial channels. Methuen and Co., New York. 358 p.

Richardson, C. J. 1994. Ecological functions and human values in wetlands: a framework for assessing forestry impacts. Wetlands. v. 14, no. 1, p. 1-9.

Richardson, J. S. 1992. Coarse particulate detritus dynamics in small, montane streams of southwestern British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 49, no. 2, p. 337-346.

Rieman, B. E., and J. D. McIntyre. 1993. Demographic and habitat requirements for conservation of bull trout. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Ogden, UT. General technical report INT-302. 37 p.

Roberts, R. G., and M. Church. 1986. The sediment budget in severely disturbed watersheds, Queen Charlotte Ranges, British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. v. 16, no. 5, p. 1092-1106.

Rodrick, E., and R. Milner, eds. 1991. Management recommendations for Washington's priority habitats and species. Washington Department of Wildlife, Olympia. 1 v.

Rot, B. 1993. Windthrow in stream buffers on coastal Washington streams. Byron Rot, [n.p.], [for] ITT-Rayonier, Inc., [Hoquiam?]. Unpublished internship report. 48 p.

Rot, B. 1995. The interaction of valley constraint, riparian landform, and riparian plant community size and age upon channel configuration of small streams of the western Cascade mountains, Washington. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle.

Rothacher, J. 1970. Increases in water yield following clear-cut logging in the Pacific Northwest. Water Resources Research. v. 6, no. 2, p. 653-658.

Rothacher, J. 1973. Does harvest in west slope Douglas-fir increase peak flow in small forest streams? U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Range and Experiment Station, Portland, OR. Research paper PNW-163. 13 p.

Sarrell, M. J., and K. P. McGuinness. 1993. Rare bats of the shrub-steppe ecosystem of eastern Washington. Washington Department of Wildlife, Olympia. 41 p.

Schamberger, M. L., J. J. Charbonneau, M. J. Hay, and R. L. Johnson. 1992. Economic analysis of critical habitat designation effects for the northern spotted owl. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 1 v.

Scheffer, V. B. 1949. Mammals of the Olympic National Park and vicinity. Northwest Fauna. v. 2, p. 5-133.

Schlichte, K., C. J. Cederholm, G. Flanigan, et al. 1991. Forest management alternatives for lands managed by the Department of Natural Resources inside the Huelsdonk Ridge/Hoh River area. Joint report of the Slope Stability Task Force. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympic Region, Forks, WA. Unpublished report. 11 p.

Schreiber, B., and D. S. deCalesta. 1992. The relationship between cavity-nesting birds and snags on clearcuts in western Oregon. Forest Ecology and Management. v. 50, no. 3/4, p. 299-316.

Schumaker, N. H. 1995. Habitat connectivity and spotted owl population dynamics. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Washington, Seattle. 126 p.

Scott, W. B., and E. J. Crossman. 1973. Freshwater fishes of Canada. Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Ottawa. Bulletin no. 184. 966 p.

Scrivener, J. C. 1988. Changes in composition of the streambed between 1973 and 1985 and the impacts on salmonids in Carnation Creek. *In* T. W. Chamberlin, ed. Proceedings of the workshop: applying 15 years of Carnation Creek results. Carnation Creek Steering Committee, Nanaimo, BC. p. 59-65.

Seaman, D. E. 1995. Northern spotted owl densities in Olympic National Park. Raptor Research Foundation, Inc., annual meeting, November 1995, Duluth, MN. Unpublished presentation.

Seaman, D. E., M. J. Fasching, G. C. Hunter et al. 1994. Spotted owl inventory-monitoring, October 1993-August 1994. Olympic National Park, Port Angeles, WA. Unpublished progress report.

Seaman, D. E., R. J. Frederickson, D. B. Houston, et al. 1992. Northern spotted owl inventory. Olympic National Park, Port Angeles, WA. Unpublished progress report. 41 p.

Sedell, J. R., P. A. Bisson, F. J. Swanson, and S. V. Gregory. 1988. What we know about large trees that fall into streams and rivers. *In* C. Maser, R. F. Tarrant, J. M. Trappe, and F. Franklin, eds. From the forest to the sea: a story of fallen trees. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-229. p. 47-81.

Sedell, J. R., and K. J. Luchessa. 1982. Using the historical record as an aid to salmonid habitat enhancement. *In* N. B. Armantrout, ed. Acquisition and utilization of aquatic habitat inventory information: proceedings of a symposium. American Fisheries Society, Western Division, Portland, OR. p. 210-223.

Sedell, J. R., and F. J. Swanson. 1984. Ecological characteristics of streams in old-growth forests of the Pacific Northwest. *In* W. R. Meehan, T. R. Merrell, Jr., and T. A. Hanley, eds. Fish and wildlife relationships in old-growth forests: proceedings of a symposium. American Institute of Fisheries Research Biologists, Morehead City, NC. p. 9-16.

Seiler, D. 1995. Stream hydrology and fish production. Unpublished memorandum to K. Bauersfield dated January 25, 1995. Available at: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fish Management Program, Olympia. 3 p.

Servheen, C. W., preparer. 1993. Grizzly bear recovery plan. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Missoula, MT. 181 p.

Sessions, J. 1994. SNAP II model and assumptions. *In* A. B. Carey, and C. Elliott, compilers. Washington Forest Landscape Management Project: progress report. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. Washington Forest Landscape Management Project report no. 1. p. 35-39.

Sessions, J., and J. B. Sessions. 1994. Scheduling and Network Analysis Program: user's guide, version 2.07. Oregon State University, Corvallis.

Shaffer, J. A., and D. S. Parks. 1994. Seasonal variations in and observations of landslide impacts on algal composition of a Puget Sound nearshore kelp forest. Botanica Marina. v. 37, p. 315-323.

Sharp, B. E. 1992. Neotropical migrants on national forests of the Pacific Northwest: a compilation of existing information. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, OR. 1 v.

Shaw, S. C. 1993. Geomorphic analysis of the North Fork Green Creek, (Olympic Peninsula). Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Practices Division, Olympia. Unpublished report. 10 p.

Shaw, S. C. 1994. Implementing a watershed-analysis-based approach to timber management planning in the Hoh River basin, western Olympic Peninsula, Washington. *In* Watershed 93: a national conference on watershed management; proceedings. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA-840-R-94-002. p. 719-727.

Shaw, S. C., and D. H. Johnson. [in press] Slope morphology model derived from digital elevation data. *In* Proceedings of the Northwest ARC/INFO User's Conference, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, October 23-25, 1995. [10 p., plus appendices.]

Sheffield, S. R., J. H. Shaw, G. A. Heidt, and L. R. McClenaghan. 1992. Guidelines for protection of bat roosts. Journal of Mammalogy. v. 73, no. 3, p. 707-710.

Sherwood, K. 1993. Buffer strip dynamics in the western Oregon Cascades. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis. 185 p.

Sidle, R. C. 1985. Factors influencing the stability of slopes. *In* D. N. Swanston, ed. Proceedings of a workshop on slope stability: problems and solutions in forest management. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-180. p. 17-25.

Sidle, R. C., A. J. Pearce, and C. L. O'Loughlin. 1985. Effects of land management on soil mass movement. *In* R. C. Sidle, A. J. Pearce, and C. L. O'Loughlin. Hillslope stability and land use. American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C. Water resources monograph 11. p. 73-88.

Simenstad, C. A., D. A. Jay, and C. R. Sherwood. 1992. Impacts of watershed management on land-margin ecosystems: the Columbia River estuary. *In* R. J. Naiman, ed. Watershed management: balancing sustainability and environmental change. Springer-Verlag, New York. p. 266-306.

Slavens, K. 1992. Report on the western pond turtle 1991. Washington Department of Wildlife, Olympia. Unpublished report.

Smith, J. B. 1990. From global to regional climate change: relative knowns and unknowns about global warming. Fisheries. v. 15, no. 6, p. 2-6.

Smoker, W. A. 1955. Effects of streamflow on silver salmon production in western Washington. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Washington, Seattle. 175 p.

Spies, T. A., and J. F. Franklin. 1991. The structure of natural young, mature, and old-growth Douglas-fir forests in Oregon and Washington. *In* L. F. Ruggiero, K. B. Aubry, A. B. Carey, and M. H. Huff, tech. coords. Wildlife and vegetation of unmanaged Douglas-fir forests. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-285. p. 91-109.

Stalmaster, M. V. 1987. The bald eagle. Universe Books, New York. 227 p.

Stearns, A. 1991. Owl memo number three, interim policy and procedures for protecting the northern spotted owl. Unpublished agency memorandum. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. [7] p.

Stebbins, R. C. 1954. Amphibians and reptiles of western North America. McGraw-Hill, New York. 528 p.

Stebbins, R. C. 1985. A field guide to western reptiles and amphibians. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston, MA. 336 p.

Steinblums, I. J. 1977. Streamside bufferstrips–Survival, effectiveness, and design. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis. 193 p.

Steinblums, I. J., H. A. Froehlich, and J. K. Lyons. 1984. Designing stable buffer strips for stream protection. Journal of Forestry. v. 82, no. 1, p. 49-52.

Storm, R. M. 1960. Notes on the breeding biology of the red-legged frog (*Rana aurora aurora*). Herpetologica. v. 16, p. 51-259.

Stow, D. A., and H. H. Chang. 1987. Coarse sediment delivery by coastal streams to the Oceanside littoral cell, California. Shore and Beach. v. 55, no. 1, p. 30-40.

Sullivan, K., T. E. Lisle, C. A. Dolloff, et al. 1987. Stream channels: the link between forests and fishes. *In* E. O. Salo, and T. W. Cundy, eds. Streamside management: forestry and fishery interactions. University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle. Contribution 57. p. 39-97.

Swanson, F. J., L. E. Benda, S. H. Duncan, et al. 1987. Mass failures and other processes of sediment production in Pacific Northwest forest landscapes. *In* E. O. Salo, and T. W. Cundy, eds. Streamside management: forestry and fishery interactions. University of Washington, Institute of Forest Resources, Seattle. Contribution 57. p. 9-38.

Swanson, F. J., and C. T. Dyrness. 1975. Impact of clear-cutting and road construction on soil erosion by landslides in the western Cascade Range, Oregon. Geology. v. 3, no. 7, p. 393-396.

Swanson, F. J., and G. W. Lienkaemper. 1978. Physical consequences of large organic debris in Pacific Northwest streams. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-69. 12 p.

Swanson, F. J., and G. W. Lienkaemper. 1982. Interactions among fluvial processes, forest vegetation, and aquatic ecosystems, South Fork Hoh River, Olympic National Park. *In* E. E. Starkey, J. F. Franklin, and J. W. Mathews, tech. coords. Ecological research in national parks of the Pacific Northwest. Oregon State University, Forest Research Laboratory, Corvallis. p. 30-34.

Swanson, F. J., G. W. Lienkaemper, and J. R. Sedell. 1976. History, physical effects, and management implications of large organic debris in western Oregon streams. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. General technical report PNW-56. 15 p.

Sype, W. E. 1975. Breeding habits, embryonic thermal requirements, and embryonic and larval development of the Cascade frog *Rana cascadae* Slater. Ph.D. Dissertation, Oregon State University, Corvallis. 113 p.

Tagart, J. V. 1976. The survival from egg deposition to emergence of coho salmon in the Clearwater River, Jefferson County, Washington. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle. 101 p.

Tagart, J. V. 1984. Coho salmon survival from egg deposition to fry emergence. *In* J. M. Walton, and D. B. Houston, eds. Proceedings of the Olympic Wild Fish Conference, March 23-25, 1983. [May be obtained from J. M. Walton, Fisheries Technology Program, Peninsula College, Port Angeles, WA.] p. 173-181.

Taylor, A. L., Jr., and E. D. Forsman. 1976. Recent range extensions of the barred owl in western North America, including the first records for Oregon. Condor. v. 78, no. 4, p. 560-561.

Thomas, J. W., ed. 1979. Wildlife habitat in managed forests: the Blue Mountains of Oregon and Washington. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Wildlife Management Institute, Washington, D.C. Agriculture handbook no. 553. 512 p.

Thomas, J. W., E. D. Forsman, J. B. Lint, et al. 1990. A conservation strategy for the northern spotted owl. U.S. Interagency Scientific Committee to address the conservation of the Northern Spotted Owl, Portland, OR. 427 p.

Thomas, J. W., M. G. Raphael, R. G. Anthony, et al. 1993. Viability assessments and management considerations for species associated with late-successional and old-growth forests of the Pacific Northwest. U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Forest System, Forest Service Research, Washington, D.C. 530 p.

Thorne, C. R. 1982. Processes and mechanisms of river bank erosion. *In* R. D. Hey, J. C. Bathurst, and C. R. Thorne, eds. Gravel-bed rivers: fluvial processes, engineering, and management. John Wiley and Sons, New York. p. 227-271.

Thorsen, G. W. 1989. Landslide provinces in Washington. *In* R. W. Galster, ed. Engineering geology in Washington. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Division of Geology and Earth Resources, Olympia. Bulletin 78. v. 1, p. 71-89.

Timber/Fish/Wildlife Temperature Work Group. 1990. Evaluation of prediction models and characterization of stream temperature regimes in Washington. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Timber, Fish and Wildlife Project, Olympia. TFW-WQ3-90-006. 2 v.

Traub, W. 1995. Preliminary harvest level assessments for Experimental Forest alternatives: Olympic Region working document. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympic Region, Forks, WA. Unpublished report. 110 p.

Triska, F. J., and K. Cromack, Jr. 1980. The role of wood debris in forests and streams. *In* R. H. Waring, ed. Forests: fresh perspectives from ecosystem analysis; proceedings of the 40th annual biology colloquium. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis. p. 171-190.

Trotter, P. C. 1995. Return of nutrients to streams: the role of spawning salmonids in maintaining stream productivity. Washington Trout Report. v. 5, no. 2, p. 8-15.

U.S. Bonneville Power Administration, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 1994. Columbia River system operation overview draft environmental impact statement. Columbia River System Operation Review Interagency Team, Portland, OR. DOE/EIS-0170. 22 v.

U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1988. Final supplement to the environmental impact statement for an amendment to the Pacific Northwest regional guide. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, OR. v. 2, Appendix B, 57 p.

U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1992. Final environmental impact statement on management for the northern spotted owl in the national forests; states of Washington, Oregon, and California. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, [Portland, OR?]. 2 v.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, and U.S. Department of the Interior. 1993. Draft supplemental environmental impact statement on management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the northern spotted owl. Interagency SEIS Team, Portland, OR. 1 v.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, and U.S. Department of the Interior. 1994a. Final supplemental environmental impact statement on management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the northern spotted owl. Interagency SEIS Team, Portland, OR. 2 v.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, and U.S. Department of the Interior. 1994b. Record of decision for amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management planning documents within the range of the northern spotted owl. Interagency SEIS Team, Portland, OR. 1 v.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, and Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1995. Draft Sol Duc pilot watershed analysis. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Olympic National Forest, and Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Practices Division, Olympia. 1 v.

U.S. Department of Commerce. 1995. REIS [computer file]: Regional economic information system. U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Measurement Division, Washington, D.C. [CD-ROM.]

U.S. Department of the Interior. 1986. Pacific bald eagle recovery plan. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR. 163 p.

U.S. Department of the Interior. 1990. Procedures leading to Endangered Species Act compliance for the northern spotted owl. [Guidance for incidental take.] U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR. 1 v.

U.S. Department of the Interior. 1992a. Recovery plan for the northern spotted owl–draft. U.S. Department of the Interior, Portland, OR. 662 p.

U.S. Department of the Interior. 1992b. Recovery plan for the northern spotted owl–final draft. U.S. Department of the Interior, Portland, OR. 2 v.

U.S. Department of the Interior and U.S. Department of Commerce. 1994. (See Appendix 6 of this document for a reproduction of the No Suprises Policy.)

USFWS. 1996. Endangered, Threatened, Proposed, and Candidate Species, Critical Habitat, and Species of Concern in the Western Portion of Washington State. North Pacific Ecoregion, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Olympia, WA.

Vannote, R. L., G. W. Minshall, K. W. Cummins, et al. 1980. The river continuum concept. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. v. 37, no. 1, p. 130-137.

Van Sickle, J., and S. V. Gregory. 1990. Modeling inputs of large woody debris to streams from falling trees. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. v. 20, no. 10, p. 1593-1601.

Vickery, H. 1995. Back from the brink. Endangered Species Bulletin. v. 20, no. 4, p. 15.

Waddington, J. M., N. T. Roulet, and A. R. Hill. 1993. Runoff mechanisms in a forested groundwater discharge wetland. Journal of Hydrology. v. 147, no. 1/4, p. 37-60.

Wahl, T. R., and D. R. Paulson. 1991. A guide to bird finding in Washington. T. R. Wahl, Bellingham, WA. 178 p.

Waples, R. S. 1991. Pacific salmon, *Oncorhynchus* spp., and the definition of "species" under the Endangered Species Act. Marine Fisheries Review. v. 55, p. 11-22.

Washington Department of Ecology. 1994. List of water quality limited streams in Washington State [Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act]. Washington Department of Ecology, Water Quality Program, Olympia.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1994a. Draft management recommendations for Washington's priority habitats and species. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia. Unpublished report.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1994b. Experimental Forest classification project. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife [for] Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. Unpublished report.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1994c. Status report: Columbia River fish runs and fisheries, 1938-93. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia. 271 p.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1995a. Priority habitat management recommendations: riparian. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Priority Habitats and Species Division, Olympia. 196 p.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1995b. Priority habitats and species list. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Habitat Program, Olympia. 24 p.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1995c. Wildlife Diversity Division data systems. Interagency spotted owl database [Computer program]. Washington Division of Fish and Wildlife, Wildlife Diversity Division, Olympia. [Data retrieved May 1995, July 1995.]

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Washington Department of Ecology, Washington Department of Agriculture, et al. 1995. State of Washington wild salmonid policy–First draft, environmental impact statement, October 1995. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Department of Fisheries, Washington Department of Wildlife, and Western Washington Treaty Indian Tribes. 1993. 1992 Washington State salmon and steelhead stock inventory. Washington Department of Fisheries, Washington Department of Wildlife, and Western Washington Treaty Indian Tribes, Olympia. 2 v.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1992a. Final E.I.S. environmental impact statement for the Forest Resource Plan and appendixes, July, 1992. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Land Management Division, Olympia. 231 p.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1992b. Forest Resource Plan: policy plan, final. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Land Management Division, Olympia. 53 p.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1993. State of Washington Department of Natural Resources Smoke Management Plan 1993. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Division of Fire Control, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1994a. Endangered, threatened and sensitive vascular plants of Washington. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Natural Heritage Program, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1994b. Summary of the 1994 fire season. Unpublished memorandum. Available at: Washington Department of Natural Resources, Resource Protection Division, Olympia.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1994c. Washington mill survey, 1990. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Division of Land and Water Conservation, Olympia. Washington mill survey series report no. 12.

Washington Department of Natural Resources, and Washington Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development. 1994. Washington wildfire mitigation plan. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Resource Protection

Division, and Washington Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development, Emergency Management Division, Olympia. 24 p.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1995a. Annual report 1995. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1995b. Background and analytical framework for the proposed draft HCP. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Office of Policy Analysis and Research, Olympia. Unpublished report dated 10/16/95.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1995c. Clallam River landscape plan. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympic Region, Forks, WA. 86 p.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1995d. Geographic information system [Computer program]. [Data layers used include: land use/land cover, major public lands, soil layer]. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Information Technology Division, Geographic Information Section, Olympia.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1995e. Habitat Conservation Plan workshop: Reports to the Board of Natural Resources; preliminary staff reports, for discussion purposes only, February 2, 1995. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1995f. Habitat Conservation Plan workshop: Reports to the Board of Natural Resources, Olympic Experimental State Forest Planning Unit; preliminary staff reports, for discussion purposes only, March 7, 1995. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1996a. Draft Habitat Conservation Plan. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Department of Natural Resources. 1996b. Draft Implementation Agreement for the Habitat Conservation Plan. Washington Department of Natural Resouces, Olympia. [Draft Habitat Conservation Plan Appendix B; bound separately.]

Washington Department of Wildlife. 1991. Endangered and threatened status report. Washington Department of Wildlife, Nongame Program, Olympia. 12 p.

Washington Department of Wildlife. 1993a. Species of special concern in Washington. Washington Department of Wildlife, Olympia. 37 p.

Washington Department of Wildlife. 1993b. Status of the Larch Mountain salamander (*Plethodon larselli*) in Washington. Washington Department of Wildlife, Wildlife Management Division, Olympia. 15 p.

Washington Department of Wildlife. 1993c. Status of the North American lynx (*Lynx canadensis*) in Washington. Washington Department of Wildlife, Wildlife Management Division, Olympia. 95 p.

Washington Department of Wildlife. 1993d. Status of the Oregon silverspot butterfly (*Speyeria zerene hippolyta*) in Washington. Washington Department of Wildlife, Wildlife Management Division, Olympia. 25 p.

Washington Department of Wildlife. 1993e. Status of the western gray squirrel (*Sciurus griseus*) in Washington. Washington Department of Wildlife, Wildlife Management Division, Olympia. 33 p.

Washington Department of Wildlife. 1993f. Status of the western pond turtle (*Clemmys marmorata*) in Washington. Washington Department of Wildlife, Wildlife Management Division, Olympia. 33 p.

Washington Department of Wildlife. 1994. Priority habitats management recommendations: caves–draft, January 1994. Washington Department of Wildlife, Habitat Division, Olympia. 54 p.

Washington Department of Wildlife. 1994. Priority habitat management recommendations: caves. Washington Department of Wildlife, Olympia.

Washington Forest Practices Board. 1993. Washington forest practices: rules, WAC 222, Board manual (watershed manual not included), Forest Practices Act, RCW 76.09. Washington Forest Practices Board, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Forest Practices Board. 1994. Washington forest practices: Board manual, standard methodology for conducting watershed analysis under chapter 222-22 WAC, version 2.1. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Practices Division, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Forest Practices Board. 1995a. Draft environmental impact statement on Forest Practices rule proposals for: northern spotted owl, marbled murrelet, western gray squirrel; Part 1, environmental analysis. Washington Forest Practices Board, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Forest Practices Board. 1995b. Washington forest practices: Board manual, standard methodology for conducting watershed analysis under chapter 222-22 WAC, version 3.0, November 1995. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Practices Division, Olympia. 1 v., looseleaf.

Washington Forest Practices Board. 1995c. Washington forest practices: rules, WAC 222, Board manual (watershed manual not included), Forest Practices Act, RCW 76.09. Washington Forest Practices Board, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Forest Practices Board. 1996a. Final environmental impact statement on forest practices rule proposals for northern spotted owl, marbled murrelet, western gray squirrel. Washington Forest Practices Board, Olympia. 1 v.

Washington Forest Practices Board. 1996. Riparian function module. *In* Washington forest practices: Board manual, standard methodology for conducting watershed analysis under chapter 222-22 WAC, version 3.1, February 1996. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Practices Division, Olympia. [Module added to 1995 version 3.0, updating entire manual to version 3.1 effective February 1996.]

Washington Forest Practices Board. [in preparation] Water quality module. *Will be added to* Washington forest practices: Board manual, standard methodology for conducting watershed analysis under chapter 222-22 WAC, [*version 4.0*]. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Forest Practices Division, Olympia.

Washington Forest Practices Board. Riparian Habitat Technical Committee. 1985. Forest riparian habitat study: phase I report. Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia. Report WDOE 85-3. 203 p.

Washington Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation. 1989. Built in Washington: 12,000 years of Pacific Norhwest archaeological sites and historic builings. Washington State University Press, Pullman. 187 p.

Washington State Employment Security. 1995. Employment and payrolls in Washington State by county and industry, 1993 averages. Washington State Employment Security, Labor Market and Economic Analysis Branch, Olympia. 1 v.

Wasserman, L. J., C. J. Cederholm, and E. O. Salo. 1984. The impact of logging on benthic community structure in selected watersheds of the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. University of Washington, Fisheries Research Institute, Seattle. FRI-UW-8403. 39 p.

Waters, T. F. 1969. Invertebrate drift–ecology and significance to stream fishes. *In* T. G. Northcote, ed. Symposium on salmon and trout in streams; a symposium held at the University of British Columbia, February 22 to 24, 1968. University of British Columbia, Institute of Fisheries, Vancouver. p. 121-134.

Watson, G. 1991. Analysis of fine sediment and dissolved oxygen in spawning gravel of the upper Yakima River Basin. Final Report. Washington Department of Fisheries and Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia WA.

Webster's New World Dictionary of the American Language, 2nd ed. 1976. World Publishing Company, Cleveland, OH. 1692 p.

Welsh, H. H. 1990. Relictual amphibians and old-growth forests. Conservation Biology. v. 4, no. 3, p. 309-319.

Wemple, B. C. 1994. Hydrologic integration of forest roads with stream networks in two basins, western Cascades, Oregon. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis. 88 p.

White, R. E. 1983. A field guide to the beetles of North America: text and illustrations. Houghton Mifflin, Boston, MA. Peterson field guide series 29. 368 p.

Wilderness Society. 1993. Pacific salmon and federal lands: a regional analysis. Wilderness Society, Washington, D.C. The living landscape, v. 2: Ecological salmon report. 88 p.

Wiley, K. N. 1978. Site index tables for western hemlock in the Pacific Northwest. Weyerhaeuser Company, Forestry Research Center, Centralia, WA. Weyerhaeuser forestry paper 17. 28 p.

Wilhere, G. 1995. Summary of multispecies conservation plan for the Olympic Experimental State Forest. Unpublished memorandum [to HCP Project Director] dated March 1, 1995. Available at: Washington Department of Natural Resources, Habitat Conservation Plan Team, Olympia. 14 p.

Wilhere, G. A., S. P. Horton, and N. S. Schumaker. [in preparation] Evaluating forest management plans for conserving the northern spotted owl on the Olympic Peninsula.

Williams, J. E., J. E. Johnson, D. A. Hendrickson, et al. 1989. Fishes of North America endangered, threatened, or of special concern: 1989. Fisheries. v. 14, no. 6, p. 2-20.

Winter, B. D. 1992. Determinate migratory behavior of steelhead *(Oncorhynchus mykiss)* parr. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Washington, Seattle. 254 p.

Winter, T. C. 1988. A conceptual framework for assessing cumulative impacts on the hydrology of nontidal wetlands. Environmental Management. v. 12, no. 5, p. 605-620.

Wooldridge, D. D., and A. G. Larson. 1980. Non-point source pollution in forest streams of the western Olympic Mountains. University of Washington, College of Forest Resources, Seattle. 95 p.

Wu, T. H., and D. N. Swanston. 1980. Risk of landslides in shallow soils and its relation to clearcutting in southeastern Alaska. Forest Science. v. 26, no. 3, p. 495-510.

Wydoski, R. S., and R. R. Whitney. 1979. Inland fishes of Washington. University of Washington Press, Seattle. 220 p.

Ziemer, R. R. 1981. Roots and the stability of forested slopes. *In* T. R. H. Davies, and A. J. Pearce, eds. Erosion and sediment transport in Pacific rim steeplands: proceedings of the Christchurch Symposium, January 1981. International Association of Hydrological Sciences, Washington, D.C. IAHS publication no. 132. p. 343-361.

Zillges, G. 1977. Methodology for determining Puget Sound coho escapement goals, escapement estimates, 1977 pre-season run size prediction and in-season run assessment. Washington Department of Fisheries, Olympia. Technical report 28. 65 p.

Maps

Map 1: HCP Planning Area with Unit Boundaries



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 2: HCP Planning Units



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 3: Five West-Side Planning Units



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 4: Olympic Experimental State Forest Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 5: Three East - Side Planning Units

RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 6: Location of Uneven-Aged and Even-Aged Stands on DNR-Managed Lands Covered by the HCP



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 7: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative A within the North Puget Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 8: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative A within the South Puget Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 9: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative A within the Columbia Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 10: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative A within the Straits Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 11: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative A within the South Coast Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 12: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative B within the North Puget Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 13: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative B within the South Puget Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 14: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative B within the Columbia Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 15: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative B within the Straits Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 16: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative B within the South Coast Planning Unit



RMS 10/10/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves:

Map 17: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative C within the Straits Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 18: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative C within the South Coast Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 19: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative A within the Chelan Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 20: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative A within the Yakima Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 21: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative A within the Klickitat Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 22: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative B within the Chelan Planning Unit

DNR-Managed HCP Lands Without Spotted Owl Role

None DNR-Managed HCP Lands Designated as Dispersal Habitat

DNR-Managed HCP Lands Designated as Nesting, Roosting, and Foraging Habitat

None NRCAs and NAPs Providing Dispersal Habitat*

NRCAs and NAPs Providing Nesting, Roosting, and Foraging Habitat*

Federal Reserves (including Late Successional Reserves, Managed Late Successional Reserves, Adaptive Management Areas, Wilderness Areas, & National Parks)



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 23: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative B within the Yakima Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 24: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative B within the Klickitat Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 25: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative C within the Klickitat Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.
*Natural Resource Conservation Areas and Natural Area Preserves

Map 26: Current Land Cover from Satellite Imagery of the Olympic Experimental State Forest Planning Unit



RMS 12/28/95 (Source: WDFW, 1994)
This map for planning purposes only.

Map 27: Alternative 3 (Zoned Forest) within the Olympic Experimental State Forest Planning Unit



RMS 12/27/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map for planning purposes only.

Map 28: Spotted Owl Conservation under Alternative 1 within the Olympic Experimental State Forest Planning Unit



RMS 12/06/95 (Source: WA DNR Geographic Information System, 4/95)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 29: HCP Planning Units and Spotted Owl Provinces



RMS 12/27/95 (Source: Taken from USDI 1992a p.32)
This map is for planning purposes only.

Map 30: Current Habitat Conditions on the Olympic Peninsula

Old Growth
Pole
Non-forested
Mid-seral
High Elevation Forest
Large Saw
Sapling
Open Canopy
Small Saw
Lands not modelled
Cloud/Shadow
Water

RMS 2/14/96 (Source: 1990 and 1991 Landsat Thematic Mapper Imagery -- scale unknown)
This map is for planning purposes only.