

January 2016	February 2016	March 2016	April 2016	May 2016	June 2016
<p>January Policy meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2015 Policy Committee Accomplishments Legislative updates Budget check-in Type F discussions Accept as final the Eastside Forest Hydrology Study Overview of changes to ENREP study design 	<p>February Policy meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Legislative updates Budget check-in Type F discussions Presentation on Buffer/Shade Effectiveness Study 	<p>March Policy meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review CMER’s tweaks to budget, if any Regular budget check-in Forested Wetlands Effectiveness TWIG update Consider how to receive Hard Rock chapters in 2016 Approve the Buffer/Shade Effectiveness Study Review the Tailed Frog Report Package 	<p>April Policy meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review changes to Master Project Schedule (to align with latest budget/workplan) Budget check-in Roads BAS alternatives analysis 	<p>May Policy meeting – hold May 4, 5, & 6 in Spokane (one-day mtg, one-day field trip)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget check-in 	<p>June Policy meeting – hold June 9 & 10 in Skagit (one-day mtg, one-day field trip)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget check-in
<p>Additional meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<p>Additional meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>Forest Practices Board mtg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<p>Additional meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<p>Additional meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<p>Additional meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>Forest Practices Board mtg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review/approve revisions to Master Project Schedule AMPA report on LiDAR pilot project 	<p>Additional meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">

July 2016	August 2016	September 2016	October 2016	November 2016	December 2016
July Policy meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget check-in 	August Policy meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget check-in 	September Policy meeting – Sept 8 instead of Sept 1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget check-in 	October Policy meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget check-in 	November Policy meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget check-in 	December Policy meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget check-in
Additional meetings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	Additional meetings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	Additional meetings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	Additional meetings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	Additional meetings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	Additional meetings: <ul style="list-style-type: none">
	Forest Practices Board mtg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 			Forest Practices Board mtg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	

Parking Lot Topics:

- CMER streamlining, including:
 - How to maintain science/policy firewall
 - LEAN process – consider how to increase efficiency and speed up timeline (CMER currently working on recommendations for Policy)
 - Long-term CMER strategy: CMER priorities and 2-year budget/workplan
 - Increase CMER’s capacity and/or efficiency to do more projects, especially with the additional funding in 2015-17 biennium
- CMZ effectiveness
- Policy’s procedure for when to produce a majority/minority report instead of seeking 100% consensus
- Clarify performance targets, understanding that changes to Schedule L-1 affect the HCP
- Consider adding fish experts to the CMER table, especially for the Fish Passage Rule Group
- Does the Wetlands Protection Rule Group research help answer potential effects to altering groundwater flow and temperature?
- Evaluate types of monitoring and their effectiveness
- How to make a stronger coalition for environmental issues that includes diverse stakeholder groups (like the Washington Watershed Restoration Initiative)
- Look at Westside Type F Effectiveness research questions and see how they fit into the larger Rule Group strategy
- Discuss the volatility of the B&O excise surcharge and how to adjust/plan for that (discussion between CMER, Policy, and DNR administration)
- How to expand the use of the information collected in CMER studies?
- How to fund CMER studies that must go through ISPR, which is a costly and time-consuming process.
- Further discussions on options and priorities for fish passage effectiveness.
- Review how Policy decides to move an informal conversation into a formal process/discussion (like with off-channel habitat discussion)
- Discuss risk tolerance and how much Policy decides to tolerate. Dick Miller will draft an outline for this discussion (volunteered at 7/9/15 meeting).