March 2015	April 2015	May 2015	June 2015	July 2015	August 2015
<ul> <li>March Policy meeting</li> <li>Mar 12/13: westside field trip and meeting</li> <li>Board Manual Section 16 and rule language update</li> <li>Legislative updates</li> <li>AMPA's quarterly report on status of CMER projects</li> <li>RSAG update: review matrix</li> <li>Debrief field trip, further discussions on Type F</li> </ul>	April Policy meeting April 9/10: eastside meeting and field trip  Review biennial budget for 15-17 (if available)  Review/approve CMER workplan for 15-17  Amphibian Buffer Shade Integrity Study  Westside Type F Buffer Effectiveness Study — review/decide on best available science and recommended approach report  Legislative updates  Update from RSAG on extensive monitoring  View video of eastside off-channel habitat (?)	May Policy meeting Room R0A-36  Review legislative appropriations; revise MPS if necessary  LEAN process report  Update from Ecology on draft Nonpoint Source Plan	June Policy meeting Room R1S-16/17  Update from RSAG on extensive monitoring (?)  Tweaks to biennial budget, if necessary  Tailed Frog Report Package	July Policy meeting Room R1S-16/17  • Update on Board Manual Section 16 revisions and unstable slopes rule language processes	August Policy meeting Room ROA-32  Complete FPA review process for unstable slopes on Board Manual Section 16
Additional meetings:	Additional meetings:	Additional meetings:	Additional meetings:	Additional meetings:	Additional meetings:
<ul> <li>Off-channel habitat field trip (westside)</li> <li>Board Manual Section 16</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Off-channel habitat field trip (eastside)</li> <li>Board Manual Section 16</li> </ul>	Board Manual Section 16     stakeholder meetings	Board Manual Section 16     stakeholder meetings	•	•
stakeholder meetings	stakeholder meetings	<ul> <li>Forest Practices Board mtg</li> <li>Review/approve CMER workplan and biennial budget for 15-17</li> </ul>			Forest Practices Board mtg  •

September 2015	October 2015	November 2015	December 2015	January 2016	February 2016
September Policy meeting	October Policy meeting	November Policy meeting	December Policy meeting	January Policy meeting	February Policy meeting
Room R1S-16/17	Room R1S-16/17	Room R0A-36	Room R1S-16/17	2015 Policy Committee	<ul> <li>Legislative updates</li> </ul>
Finalize Type F package to	Finalize Type F package to	•	Legislative updates	Accomplishments (or	
the Board	the Board			Activities)	
				Legislative updates	
Additional meetings:	Additional meetings:	Additional meetings:	Additional meetings:	Additional meetings:	Additional meetings:
•	•	•	•	•	•
		Forest Practices Board mtg			Forest Practices Board mtg
		Present Type F			•

## **Parking Lot Topics**:

- CMER streamlining
  - How to prevent science/policy decision split (consider changes from CMER; hear from Nancy Sturhan about protocols document, organization, etc.)
  - o LEAN process consider how to increase efficiency and speed up timeline
  - o Long-term CMER strategy: CMER priorities and 2-year budget/workplan (for 2015-17 biennium)
  - o Increase CMER's capacity and/or efficiency to do more projects, especially if the AMP gets more money through another funding source in the future
- CMZ Effectiveness
- Policy's procedure for when to produce a majority/minority report instead of seeking 100% consensus
- Clarify performance targets, understanding that changes to Schedule L-1 affect the HCP
- Consider adding fish experts to the CMER table, especially for the Fish Passage Rule Group
- Does the Wetlands Protection Rule Group research help answer potential effects to altering groundwater flow and temperature?
- Evaluate types of monitoring and their effectiveness
- How to make a stronger coalition for environmental issues that includes diverse stakeholder groups (like the Washington Watershed Restoration Initiative)
- Look at Westside Type F Effectiveness research questions and see how they fit into the larger Rule Group strategy
- Discuss the volatility of the B&O excise surcharge and how to adjust/plan for that (discussion between CMER, Policy, and DNR administration)
- How to expand the use of the information collected in CMER studies?
- How to fund CMER studies that must go through ISPR, which is a costly and time-consuming process.
- Further discussions on options and priorities for fish passage effectiveness.