| | DRAFTTFW Policy CommitteeDRAFT | Policy Priority in addition to Board Motion | Next Step/Pending | Final Action/Product/Target Date TBD |
|----------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 10/21/2015 AWM | Type F Matrix - Board Motion to Completion | | Completed | Policy Action/Decision |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

| 0/21/2015 AWM | | Туј | e F Matrix - Board Motion to Completion | | | | | Completed | | Policy Action/Decision | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---------------|
| Board Mo | otion Language | Board Motion Language or TFW Policy Identified Task | Status/Plan/Assignee | Target Date | Intermediate Task/Assignee | Target Date | Outcome/Product/Decision maker | Process Informed | Target Date | Final Policy Recommendations | Targe Date |
| 1. a. Development of "best practices" recommendations regarding protocol survey electrofishing, including an evaluation of relevant literature, minimizing potential site-specific impacts to Incidental Take Permit covered species, and options for reducing the overall extent of the surveys' use. 1. Policy is directed to complete recommendations for options on a permanent water typing rule, beginning with two tasks to be completed and reported to the Board at the May, 2014 meeting: 1. a.i Not Part of Board Motion - Review of Physicals Criteria 1. b. An evaluation of the current rule process to identify off-channel habitat (OCH) under the interim water typing rule, including recommended clarifications in field implementation guidance, or rule language. The evaluation must be based, in part, on field review of approved FPAs and WTMFs. Desktop Review of approved WTMF | Protocol E-fishing lit : | Protocol E-fishing lit synthesis | Policy, with the support of the AMPA, convenes a technical group of practitioners with representation from caucuses to identify best practices regarding electrofishing within the context of protocol surveys (including a literature synthesis), including: How to reduce site-specific impacts of practices of protocol survey electrofishing How to reduce overall extent of the surveys' use. | Aug-15 | Cochairs and AMPA present technical group product to Policy to include identification of any gaps in science and any areas of suggested focus in order to identify or address BMPs, methods to minimize survey's use and site specific impacts to ITP species. | | Policy take action to propose rule change (may include a proposal initiation that results in new research, a look past research findings, or a policy | | | | |
| | Evaluation of Lit Synthesis Protocol Survey E-Fishing BMPs Minimize potential site specific impacts to ITP species Options for reducing overall | Policy reviews a draft technical group workplan which will include a list of the documents that the technical group will review/consider and also those suggested by Policy that they consider irrelevant Policy will approve the technical group's workplan with any edits necessary. | Dec-15 fr | Policy Consider recommendations from tech group and refine path forward for each (i.e. proposal initiation; propose Board Manual change; suggest areas of needed training | | analysis); guidance change (may include a change in guidance on protocol surveys or how e-fishing is used) or create new training. | Potential: Policy and/or science track (Proposal Initiation response from AMPA); Board Manual changes; training development | | For each element moving through the | | |
| | extent of survey's use Understanding the use of protocol surveys/Electro Fishing | Conduct a TFW Policy electrofishing workshop to understand the current use of protocol surveys and how electrofishing is being used. | Feb-15 | | | | | | | | |
| | | WDFW, USFWS, NOAA present the current scientific collection permit process and how E-fishing is permitted. | Jul-15 | AMPA work with WDFW, USFWS, NOAA identify potential data sharing opportunities and process to get data from scientific collection permit reports to help develop, confirm, inform model, map and protocol development/assessment | Oct-15 | | | | | | |
| | | | TFW Policy to develop | Dec-15 | | | Policy determine if physical criteria needs to change; determine if rule or Board Manual need to change; | | | adaptive management process, TFW Policy will have to decide first if we want to take action in response to the information provided by the adaptive management | |
| | | Evaluate current rule process to id OCH Recommend clarifications in field implementation, guidance and/or rule | Policy field tours on westside and eastside to see OCH protection in practice and intial review of rule language by eastside tribes and SFLOs; Policy review the existing guiding language in Act, Rule, and FFR establishing bankfull width and depth to calculate the edge of the stream and OCH, and the start | | | | — | | to take actio information; recommendi guidance, ag concurrence scientific rev | process. Presuming that TFW Policy agrees to take action in response to that information; this could include recommending rule changes, board manual guidance, agency process changes (with concurrence from the agency), additional scientific review, or any combination thereof. TFW Policy may also identify | |
| | d | of the riparian management zone Perform field reviews of approved FPAs and water type mod. forms; visits to determine if this description adequately covers off channel habitat as currently described in rule. | | DNR has developed a proposal review packet with discussion and input from Policy, to move OCH discussions into a formal procedure with timelines. | Oct-15 | TFW Policy approved on October 1, 2015 a modifidied version of the AMPA's recommendations which includes Three Tracks and associated subtasks. | Jun-16 | Jun-16 | additional issues related to this topic outsic of the scope of the original Board motion and will be developing a workplan for thos issues consistent with the adaptive management program. | | |
| | | Review the existing science based definitions of OCH connected at bankfull elevation as intended in the forest practices rules and the FFR Review OCH description developed during Policy field site visits to determine if it adequately covers OCH as described in rule | | | | | | | | | |
| | Desktop Review of approved WTMF | | DNR and Co Chairs to Develp Specific proposal | Nov-15 | | | | | | | |
| 2.b. Compare model-based water type designations to on-the-ground FPAs and WTMFs; 2. AMPA to scope and initiate a pilot project to re-run the existing hydrologic model using LiDAR data, including at least two watersheds (west and east). Objectives include: 2.b. Compare model-based water type designations to on-the-ground FPAs and WTMFs; 2.c. Investigate additional model utility, such as detection of OCH, ability to predict physicals and assess footprint effects from using different physicals; 2.d. Provide information that can inform the Board's basic administrative choices | 2.a. Develop quantitative information about the "footprint" of the interim rule; | Work with GIS experts to | Execute a contract that compares the original water type model (10 m DEM) to a 2 m LiDAR based DEM in two basins (east and west). | Nov-15 | | | Create Draft GIS hydrography map (based on an updated model) using best available data. | As determined: Develop, revise, and/or update a water-typing | | | |
| | designations to on-the-ground FPAs and WTMFs; | | Execute a contract that compares the original model (10 m DEM) and LiDAR based 2 m DEM (see above) with biological survey results from WTMFs. | | | | Identify the technical issues related to the use of the model and map. Twig/Technical group review of model/map issues. | model in accordance with the HCP and on which to base the rule of identifying Type F waters. | | | |
| | to evaluate potential improvements of a water typing model. | Test a LiDAR 2 m DEM in the two basins (east and west) to determine if OCH can be predicted. Follow up initial pilot work with field evaluation of physical habitat. Compare field data with remotely sensed data to determine if physical criteria can be predicted. | Dec-15 | | | Determine if further changes are needed to the Water Typing System. | Water Type Modification Process | | | | |
| | 2.d. Provide information that can inform the Board's basic administrative choices among "map-as-rule" vs. "guidance map with field adjustments". | Collate electrofishing work and model results to evaluate options to inform an approach for water typing. | Following the pilot LiDAR evaluation and electrofishing BMP work, a group of practitioners and scientists will need to make recommendations to TFW Policy for review of options for the Board. | May-16 | | | | | | 16 | 16-1 |