## Timber, Fish, & Wildlife Policy Committee Policy's Task List

The following is a re-formatted task list that a subgroup of Policy revised based on input from the full Committee at the May 2016 meeting.

## Task List

Ongoing Tasks (priority levels: high; medium; low)	Tasks To Do	Completed Tasks
<u>Task</u> : Determine Type F/N regulatory break; implement permanent water typing rules; identify fish habitat <u>Owner</u> : Policy Committee; various subgroups (both technical and policy) <u>Priority</u> : High	Task: Develop an action plan to complete implementation of Type N strategy with respect to UMPPF location.  Owner: Policy Committee	Task: Develop a Wetlands Research Strategy Owner: WETSAG/CMER/Policy Date complete: January 2015
<u>Task</u> : Review and respond to the AMPA's Recommendations for Implementing the Unstable Slopes Proposal Initiation (deep-seated and shallow-rapid landslides) <u>Owner</u> : Policy Committee <u>Priority</u> : High	<u>Task</u> : Review by rule group the relevant L-1 questions, resource objectives, thresholds, and critical questions, then revisit as projects come up for approval. Type N and Wetlands rule groups are done. Review the remaining in this order: Unstable Slopes, Type F, Roads, Fish Passage, Pesticides, and CMZs. <u>Owner</u> : Policy Committee	Task: Groundwater recharge areas Owner: UPSAG Date complete: November 2014
<u>Task</u> : SFLOs Westside Alternate Template <u>Owner</u> : SFLOs Template Subgroup <u>Priority</u> : Medium	<u>Task</u> : Revisit the mass wasting research strategy with UPSAG. Include a review of the L-1 questions. <u>Owner</u> : Policy/UPSAG	
	<u>Task</u> : Review Hard Rock study chapters. <u>Owner</u> : Policy, once chapters are ready from CMER.	

## Parking Lot

(strikeouts = recommendations from subgroup to remove from parking lot)

- CMER streamlining, including:
  - o How to maintain science/policy firewall (discussion with CMER and Policy).
  - o LEAN process consider how to increase efficiency and speed up timeline.
  - o Increase CMER's capacity and/or efficiency to do more projects, especially with the additional funding in 2015-17 biennium.
- How to make a stronger coalition for environmental issues that includes diverse stakeholder groups (like the Washington Watershed Restoration Initiative).
- Review how Policy decides to move an informal conversation into a formal process/discussion (like with off-channel habitat discussion).
- Discuss risk tolerance and how much Policy decides to tolerate.
- Consider how to incorporate and improve forest health and fire prevention.
- Develop and implement an AMP communications and outreach strategy for important decisions.
- Economic impact analysis. Define and discuss need for economic impact analyses, including what, when, why, by who, etc.
- Eastside performance targets.
- Review how to proceed with extensiveness monitoring related to fish passage.
- Climate change consider if and how climate change should be considered in the AMP.
- Determine timing and coordination between compliance monitoring and effectiveness monitoring projects. Compliance monitoring is a DNR program, not part of the AMP, yet still has implications on the work of the AMP.
- Two tasks for DNR, not Policy:
  - Board Manual review/revisions
  - Oconduct an independent review every 10 years of the structure, process, and performance of the AMP. To be done by an independent 3<sup>rd</sup>-party research organization and to include considerations such as: structure and function for technical performance, fiscal efficiency, and overall accountability; performance and efficiency of the consensus-based decision process; the rigor of CMER science and responsiveness of CMER to body of Pacific Northwest science; and the interactions of science and policy.