Timeline for Type Np Technical Workgroup Report

Draft v. 5-27-21

The adaptive management rule in WAC 222-12-045(2)(d)(vi) outlines the elements needed for the TFW Policy Committee to prepare and present a report to the board outlining recommended actions including additional research, rule petitions, and/or guidance recommendations. It states recommendations including rule petitions and the original CMER report(s) and/or other information, as applicable, will be forwarded by the AMPA to the board for review and action. The process and timelines for the development of the Policy committee recommendations and formal petitions for rulemaking to the board are outlined in Forest Practices Board Manual Section 22, Guidelines for Adaptive Management Program.

The following table outlines the sections from Part 3.4 of the Forest Practices Board Manual Section 22 which outline the timelines for the TFW Policy Committee to make a decision. The timeline begins upon receipt of the report, and specifies those timelines for 2021 and 2022.

Language from Board Manual Section 22, Part 3.4	Relevant Dates for Type N Technical Report
"Stage 4: Policy Committee Recommendation"	
(pages M22-13 & M22-14)	
Upon receipt, the Policy Committee has up to	Upon receipt of the Type N Workgroup Report at
180 days to develop a decision whether	the July 8, 2021 meeting: 180 days = January 4,
consensus or not and then make a	2022
recommendation to the Board. Working with the	
Administrator, the Policy Committee	
recommendations to the Board will be	
accompanied by a formal petition for rulemaking	
in accordance with WAC 222-08-100 and RCW	
34.05.330 or a non-rulemaking alternative action.	
The Policy Committee may also recommend that	
the Board take no action. The Policy Committee's	
consideration of all products from Stage 3 will be	
based on the Framework for Successful Policy	
Committee/CMER Interaction (Appendix B).	
Policy Committee's Decision to Take Action	45 days = August 22, 2021 to review the
The Policy Committee determines by consensus	Workgroup Report and decide to take action or
whether any action should be taken in response	not
to the information provided. Upon receipt of the	
findings report, the Policy Committee has 45 days	
to review the findings and to make a consensus	
decision as to whether the information merits	
taking action or not. A no action consensus skips	
the Policy Alternatives step and goes to the Final	
Policy Committee Consensus step. The Policy	
Committee consensus for taking action will	
initiate the development of action alternatives.	

Policy Committee Alternatives	60 days =Thursday October 21, 2021 to develop
The Policy Committee analyzes the alternative	alternatives 45 days = to December 5, 2021
courses of action and determines an appropriate	decide on the alternative for the Board
management response. Alternatives will include	
information necessary to show whether the	
proposal is scientifically credible, operationally	
practical and administratively feasible. The Policy	
Committee has 60 days to develop appropriate	
alternative courses of action, and an additional	
45 days to reach a consensus decision on an	
alternative to recommend to the Board.	
Final Policy Committee Consensus	30 days = January 4, 2022 finalize
The Policy Committee determines by consensus	recommendations
whether to make an adaptive management	
recommendation to the Board. In making a	
recommendation the Policy Committee will be	
mindful of factors that the Board will need to	
consider when making a decision. These factors	
include the FFR goals (listed in Part 1, Adaptive	
Management Program Overview) and statutory	
direction in chapter 76.09 RCW. If the Policy	
Committee has agreed upon an alternative, the	
Policy Committee finalizes its recommendations	
within 30 days and gives them to the	
Administrator for delivery to the Board. If the	
Policy Committee has not agreed upon an	
alternative, any Policy Committee caucus may	
1	Í

Policy Committee Recommendations to the Board Recommendations to the Board should include:

- 1. Specific recommendations and/or alternatives developed by the Policy Committee;
- 2. Any final CMER report, Policy Committee product, and/or the Administrator discussion report of potential implications to the rules and guidance;

(Regular Policy Meeting January 6, 2022)

3. Any appropriate scientific peer review reports and documentation;

invoke the dispute resolution process (see Part 5

FPB Recommendation February 2022

Dispute Resolution).

- 4. Any other information or reports as appropriate specifically generated as a result of the Adaptive Management Program process related to the original Board approved the proposal of concern;
- 5. Draft rule language when appropriate to the recommendation;

Administrator Coordination: The Administrator will provide coordination in the development and presentation of the Policy Committee's report to the Board.